A 20	iological and Chemical So dvanced Immunology (BMD35 016-17 SEM 1 o. of responses = 28 (22.76%)				<u>v</u> đư	Queen University of Lo	Mary
	egend estion text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🊺 Mea 🗮 qual	n value is withi ity guideline.	in the
1.	Rate this module						
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 3.6% 	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.96
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on th been made clear in advance	ne module have	Definitely agree	6 12 4 4 21.4% 42.9% 14.3% 14.3% 5 4 3 2		- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=28 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.2
1.3)	I have been given adequate feed module	back during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=28 av.=2.43 md=2 dev.=1.23
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	5 9 8 3 17.9% 32.1% 28.6% 10.7% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.36 md=3.5 dev.=1.22
1.5)	The module is well organised and	I runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 7.1%	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=1.06
1.6)	I had access to good learning res module	ources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.98
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=1.02
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	ality of the	Definitely agree	8 15 2 1 29.6% 55.6% 7.4% 3.7% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.94


Biological and Chemical Sciences, Advanced Immunology, BMD351

# **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Advanced Practical Chemistry 1 (CHE301) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 17 (20.99%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 58.8% 0 3 <u>17.6%</u> 2 11.8% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.85 8 5 3 23.5% 29.4% 1.2) 11.8% 17.6% 17.6% n=17 av.=2.65 md=3 dev.=1.41 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 0 5 Π 3 10 11 17.6% 58.8% 11 .8% .8% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=17 av.=1.76 md=1 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 1 5 3 1 0 5 4 29.4% 23.5% 5 0% 17.6% 29.4% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=17 av.=2.41 md=2 dev.=1.06 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ my studies on the module 8 5 Δ 3 2 0 5 29.4% 11.8% 17.6% 41.2% 1.5) 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2 md=2 dev.=1.06 8 9 2 5.9% 17 6% 52 9% 11.8% 11.8% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=17 av.=2.94 md=3 dev.=1.03 module 0 5 3 2 0 23.5% 41.2% 23.5% 11.8% 0% 1.7) n=17 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=0.97 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree F contribution Õ to this module 5 3 3 6 7.6% 35.3% 0 6 35.3% 11 17 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=17 av.=2.24 md=2 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree 1 1 Definitely disagree F module


## **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Animal Behaviour and Cognition (PSY315) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 16 (61.54%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 0 0 63% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=16 Definitely agree av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.63 H Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 4 1.2) 25% 43.8% 0% 25% 6.3% n=16 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.31 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance Õ Δ Δ Λ 31.3% 18.8% 25% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.56 md=3.5 dev.=1.21 module Õ 5 9 56.3% 18.8% 6.3% 12.5% 6.3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=4.06 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module dev.=1.34 3 2 5 Δ 1 10 Δ 2 0 0 62.5% 25% 12 1.5) 0% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=16 Definitely agree н Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.73 • 9 6 0 0 56.3% 37.5% 6.3% 0 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 Definitely agree n=16 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.63 ı¥. Definitely disagree • module 5 3 2 10 4 0 62.5% 6.3% 6.3% 25% 0% 1.7) n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.89 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree F • contribution to this module 2 5 3 12 2 2.5% 0 6.3% 12 6.3% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3 2

Biological and Chemical S Behavioural Ecology (SBS216 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 14 (30.43%)				ĿQ	Queen University of D	n N	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av = mda dev. ab.=	lo. of re Media =Std. l =Abste	in Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I Ma	ean value is with iality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.63
<sup>2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	10         4         0         0           71.4%         28.6%         0%         0%           10         1         1         1           5         4         3         2	0 	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.4
<sup>.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.21 md=4.5 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.8
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9 4 1 0 64.3% 28.6% 7.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	00%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.6
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning module	esources for the	Definitely agree	12 2 0 0 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=14 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.3
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	10     2     2     0       71.4%     14.3%     14.3%     0%       1     14.3%     14.3%     0%       5     4     3     2	0 	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.7
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>0</u> 	Definitely disagree	•	n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.6

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Behavioural Ecology, SBS216



## **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Current Topics in Psychology (PSY113) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 48 (43.64%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 25% 5 10.4% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.05 ē 5 3 17 12 11 1.2) 10.4% 35.4% 25% 22.9% 6.3% n=48 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.11 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree н been made clear in advance Õ 20 1.7% a 11 6 41 22.9% 12.5% 4.2% 18.8% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.03 ¥ ( module 1 5 3 13 17 9 8.3% 27.1% 35.4% 18.8% 10.4% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=48 av.=3.04 md=3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ dev.=1.11 5 Δ 3 2 1 19 8 7 10 3 40.4% . 14.9% 21.3% 6.4% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=47 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.4 md=4 dev.=1.19 ē 12 23 47.9% 8 4 16.7% 8 3% 2 1% 1.6) n=48 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.97 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥1 ۲ module ē 3 2 5 21 20 3 3 6.3% 2.1% 41.7% 6.3% 43.8% 1.7) n=48 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.96 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • H contribution to this module 2 5 5 10.4% 18 37.5% 15 31.3% 4.2% 16.7% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=48 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e module Ĩ



## **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Fundamentals of Organic Chemistry (CHE102A) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 58 (32.77%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0% 4% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=57 Definitely agree **X**-Definitely disagree av.=4.39 md=4 dev.=0.62 • 5 3 2 31 17 29.8% 1.2) 54.4% 8.8% .5% 3.5% n=57 av.=4.28 md=5 dev.=1.01 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ľ • been made clear in advance 5 30 52.6% 18 31.6% 8 Π 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=57 Definitely agree đ Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.79 • module 5 20 23 13 0 40.4% 35.1% 22.8% 1.8% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=57 av.=4.09 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module dev.=0.81 5 Δ 3 2 32 56.1% 21 0 0 36.8% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=57 Definitely agree Ą Definitely disagree av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.63 • 5 21 28 0 36.8% 49.1% 12.3% 1 8% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=57 Definitely agree ļÍ n=57 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.73 Definitely disagree • module 3 2 22 0 30 4 38.6% 1.8% 52.6% 7% 0% 1.7) n=57 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.71 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree P • contribution to this module 5 Δ 3 2 28 50% 0 0 7 42.9% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=56 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.62 Definitely agree Ť Definitely disagree • module

3

Biological and Chemical Scie Genes and Bioinformatics (BIO223 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 24 (22.02%)				<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
	solute Frequencies of ans elative Frequencies of ans Left p	wers 25 25%	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=  md= dev: ab.=	b. of responses Vean Median ≂Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline	elow the	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🌒 Mea	n value is withi ty guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% [	efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.96
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the r been made clear in advance	nodule have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $		lefinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=1.44
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedbac module	k during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2		efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.46 md=3 dev.=1.1
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module	support with	Definitely agree	5 7 7 4 20.8% 29.2% 29.2% 16.7% 5 4 3 2	4.2%	efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.46 md=3.5 dev.=1.14
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and ru	ns smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 4.2%	efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.93
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resou module	rces for the	Definitely agree	7 - 8 - 8 - 1 29.2% 33.3% 33.3% 4.2% 5 4 3 2	0C	efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.9
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an ap contribution to this module</li> </ul>	propriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.12
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	/ of the	Definitely agree	7 10 5 1 29.2% 41.7% 20.8% 4.2%	4.2%	efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.03

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Genes and Bioinformatics, BIO223

5

4

3

2

Hu 20	ological and Chemical Scie man Anatomy (BMD113) 16-17 SEM 1 . of responses = 76 (45.51%)	ences			<u>LÔ</u>	QUEEN University of Lo	Mary
		veolute Frequencies of ans elative Frequencies of ans Left	swers 25 25%	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=.	b. of responses Vean Median Std. Dev. Abstention
Des	cription of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range</li> <li>tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	of 💽 Me	an value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1.1	Rate this module						
1.1) -	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	58 14 2 77.3% 18.7% 2.7% 1.3 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.9
.2) - k	The criteria used in marking on the i been made clear in advance	module have	Definitely agree	62.2% 23% 10.8% 4.1	3 0 1% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=74 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.8
<sup>1.3)</sup>   r	l have been given adequate feedbar module	ck during the	Definitely agree		5 1 7% <u>1.3%</u> 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1.0
	I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module	d support with	Definitely agree	27 36% 42.7% 16% 5.3 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.8
1.5) -	The module is well organised and ru	ins smoothly	Definitely agree	51 $21$ $3$ $68%$ $28%$ $4%$ $0%$		Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.8
	I had access to good learning resou module	rces for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 0%	Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.7
C	The use of QMplus has made an ap contribution to this module	propriate	Definitely agree	33 19 17 4 44% 25.3% 22.7% 5.3 5 4 3 2	4 2 3% 2.7%	Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.00 md=4 dev.=1.0
	Overall I am satisfied with the qualit module	y of the	Definitely agree	53 17 4 70.7% 22.7% 5.3% 1.3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=75 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.6

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Human Anatomy, BMD113

## **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Human Genetics and Genomics (SBC607) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 33 (36.67%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 13 <u>39</u>.4% 5 15.2% 6 18.2% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=33 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.06 ۲ ē 5 3 13 39.4% 10 0 1.2) 30.3% 15.2% 15.2% 0% n=33 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.03 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance Õ 4 3 10 30.3% 16 6 Π 18.2% 48.5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=33 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 • module 5 11 13 5 0 33.3% 39.4% 12.1% 15.2% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=33 av.=3.91 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ dev.=1.04 3 5 Δ 2 12 0 36.4% 33.3% 18.2% 12 <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly .1% 0% n=33 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ē 5 15 12 4 2 0 45.5% 36.4% 12.1% 6 1% 0% 1.6) n=33 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.89 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree t Definitely disagree • module 3 2 11 0 19 33.3% 57.6% 6.1% 3% 0% 1.7) n=33 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.75 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч • contribution to this module 5 2 9 27.3% 6 0 11 21.2% 18.2% 33.3% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=33 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.12 Definitely agree . Definitely disagree e module ē

#### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Comparative & Integrative Physiology (BIO215) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 45 (29.22%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 18 ).9% 5 11.4% 5 11.4% 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=44 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.02 md=4 dev.=0.98 • 5 3 2 11 20 1.2) 25% 45.5% 15.9% 9.1% 4.5% n=44 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.08 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance Õ 4 3 10 22.7% 13 29.5% 16 36.4% 3 6.8% 4.5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=44 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree ۷. Definitely disagree module Õ -5 2 8 14 5 14 31.8% 18.2% 31.8% 11.4% 6.8% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=44 av.=3.43 md=3.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ dev.=1.13 5 Δ 3 2 1 19 19 6 0 0 43.2% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 43.2% 13.6% 0% 0% n=44 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.7 • 13 22 9 0 0 29 509 20.5% 0 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=44 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3 2 20 45.5% 0 0 20 4 9.1% 45.5% 0% 0% 1.7) n=44 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.65 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree -• contribution to this module 5 Δ 3 2 16 17 6 3 38.6% 6.8% 4.5% 36.4% 13.6% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=44 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=1.1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e module ē

3


Biological and Chemical S Molecular Genetics (BIO163) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 61 (27.23%)	Sciences			<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	M	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=  md= dev: ab.=	o. of re Media =Std. I Abster	Dev. htion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the le.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🂽 Mea 🗮 qual	in value is withi ity guideline.	n the	9
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	16 28 10 4 26.7% 46.7% 16.7% 6.7% 5 4 3 2	2 3.3%	Definitely disagree		n=60 av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=1
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 8.2%	Definitely disagree		n=61 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 9.8%	Definitely disagree		n=61 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.1
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	11         17         22         8           18%         27.9%         36.1%         13.1%           5         4         3         2		Definitely disagree	Ð	n=61 av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	•	n=61 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.1
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 5% 1	Definitely disagree		n=60 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				n=60 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.00
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	14     24     15     5       23%     39.3%     24.6%     8.2%       5     4     3     2	3 4.9%	Definitely disagree	•	n=61 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.03

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Molecular Genetics, BIO163


# **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Pharmaceutical Chemistry (Sem A) (CHE206A) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 23 (28.75%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 4 17.4% 56 <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=23 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.22 md=4 dev.=1.24 ē 5 3 2 10 1.2) . 17.4% 43.5% 8.7% 13% 17.4% n=23 av.=3.3 md=4 dev.=1.4 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance Õ 34 17.4% 21 8% 13% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=23 av.=3.39 md=4 dev.=1.34 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ -5 5 8 22.7% 36.4% 13.6% 18.2% 9.1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=22 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 8 34.8% 30.4% 21.7% 4 8.7% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly .3% n=23 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ ē 5 21.7% 21 7% 30.4% 8 7% 17.4% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=23 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.3 md=4 dev.=1.4 module ē 6 3 13% 8 34.8% 17.4% 26.1% 8.7% 1.7) n=23 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.31 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution Õ to this module 5 3 5 21.7% 26. 26.1% .1% 13% 13% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=23 av.=3.39 md=4 dev.=1.37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e module ē

Biological and Chemical S Positive Psychology (PSY229) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 16 (29.63%)				<u>k</u> Ół	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text			Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=/	o, of responses Mean Vedian Std. Dev. Abstention ty index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🊺 Mea 💆 quali	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	4 8 2 2 25% 50% 12.5% 12.5% 5 4 3 2	0 0% [	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.96
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 6.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.06
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 12.5%	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.21
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 13.3%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.15
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.66
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.91
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	in appropriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.7
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 18.8\% \\ 37.5\% \\ 25\% \\ 12.5\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 25\% \\ 12.$		Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.15

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Positive Psychology, PSY229



# **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Routes to Medical Progress (BMD151) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 17 (28.81%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module •8 •1<u>%</u> 5 29.4% 2 11.8% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.12 ۲ ē 5 23.5% 17.6% 1.2) 17.6% 23.5% 17.6% n=17 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.41 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance Õ 3 29.4% 17.6% 17.6% 11.8% 23.5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.35 md=4 dev.=1.37 module Õ 5 6 23.5% 11.8% 35.3% 11.8% 17.6% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=17 av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 8 5 2 0 29.4% 11.8% 47.1% 11.8% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=17 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ē 6 6 3% 35 5 9% 11.8% 35 , 3% 11.8% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=17 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.16 ۲ X module ē 5 3 2 6 6 0 35.3% 23.5% 5.9<u>%</u> 35.3% 0% 1.7) n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.94 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 5 0.4% 3 17.6% 35.3% 29 5.9% 11.8% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=17 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.1 e module ē



## **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Topics in Inorganic Chemistry (CHE303U) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 14 (18.92%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 4 28.6% 0 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.73 ē 3 2 0 6 0 28.6% 1.2) 28.6% 42.9% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.78 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree . been made clear in advance 6 42.9% Π 21 .4% 28.6% 7 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree **†** ( Definitely disagree module Õ -5 0 0 21.4% 50% 28.6% 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ dev.=0.73 5 Δ 3 0 9 0 64.3% 28.6% 0% 7 <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.77 • 3 6 7 0 0 7 1% 42.9% 50% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree n=14 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.63 t Definitely disagree • module 3 2 3 21.4% 2 0 0 14.3% 64.3% 0% 0% 1.7) n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч • contribution to this module 5 3 0 0 8 61 7 30.8% 5% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.8 Definitely agree 11 Definitely disagree • module

3

#### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Jueen Mary Topics in Physical Chemistry (CHE304U) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 11 (16.67%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 1 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 4 36.4% 0 0% 0 0 0% 63.6% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 H. • 5 3 2 5 45.5% 6 0 0 0 1.2) 54.5% 0% 0% 0% n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.52 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree Å. • been made clear in advance 0 Π 72.7% 9.1% 18.2% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module -5 2 0 0 8 0 72.7% 27.3% 09 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=4.73 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module dev.=0.47 5 Δ 3 2 0 0 7 4 0 36.4% 63.6% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=11 Definitely agree ⊢**₽** Definitely disagree av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 • 5 0 0 91% 45.5% 45.5% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 Definitely agree n=11 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.67 t Definitely disagree -• module 3 2 6 3 2 0 0 27.3% 18.2% 54.5% 0% 0% 1.7) n=11 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.81 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree Р • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 0 36.4% 63.6% 0 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree • module

3

5

