Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Abnormal and Clinical Psychology (PSY251) No. of responses = 19 (20.65%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10.5 ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 10.5% 31.6% 31 .6% 21.1% 5 3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.53 md=2 dev.=1.22 been made clear in advance 8 5 5 26.3% 8 1.3) 5.3% 21 . .1% 42.1% 5.3% n=19 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 8 6 8 31.6% 42.1% 10.5% 5.3% 10.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=19 av.=2.58 md=2 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 8 5 21.1% 21.1% 36.8% 15.8% 5.3% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 0 36.8% 42.1% 1.6) 5.3% 0% n=19 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=0.83 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 6 6 3 1 15.8% 31.6% 31.6% 15.8% 5.3% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=19 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=1.12 ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 11.1% 8 6 33.3% 44.4% 5.6% 5.6% 1.8) n=18 av.=3.33 md=3.5 dev.=0.97 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

| Biological and Chemical S | Sciences | | | | 0 | |
|---|---|------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Basic Immunology (BMD251) No. of responses = 32 (15.31%) | | | | PC3 | | IVIAry |
| Legend Question text | Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let | | Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | av.=M md=M dev.=S ab.=Al | of responses an edian itd. Dev. stention |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is quality guidelir | below the ne. | Mean is within the range o tolerance for the quality guideline. | of 💽 Mea 💆 qual | n value is within ity guideline. | the |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | | Definitely agree | 22 8 2 0 68.8% 25% 6.3% 0% 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.67 |
| ²⁾ The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance | the module have | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.34 md=5 dev.=0.9 |
| ^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module | edback during the | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | n=31 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.0 |
| ⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module | e and support with | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.9 |
| ^{.5)} The module is well organised a | nd runs smoothly | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 62.5\% \\ 31.3\% \\ 6.3\% \\ 0\% \\ \hline 54 \\ 32 $ | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.6 |
| ^{.6)} I had access to good learning r module | esources for the | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.9 |
| ^{.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module | an appropriate | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=31 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.7 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module | juality of the | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=32 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.70 |

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Basic Immunology, BMD251

Biochemistry Communication (BIO201) No. of responses = 9 (15.52%)




| Legend Question text | | | Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | av.=M md=N dev.=S | of responses ean edian Std. Dev. ostention |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | _ | y index |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is t quality guideline | pelow the e. | Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. | of 🚺 M | ean value is within uality guideline. | the |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | | Definitely agree | 37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 12 5 4 3 | 1 0 5% 0% | Definitely disagree | n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance | the module have | Definitely agree | | 0 1 % 12.5% 2 1 | | n=8 av.=3.88 md=4.5 dev.=1.46 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module | dback during the | Definitely agree | 85.7% 0% 0% 14. | 2 1 | Definitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=1.13 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module | and support with | Definitely agree | 62.5% 12.5% 0% 12. | 1 1 5% 12.5% 2 1 | Definitely disagree | n=8 av.=4 md=5 dev.=1.6 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar | nd runs smoothly | Definitely agree | | 1 0 5% 0% | | n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.13 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module | sources for the | Definitely agree | | 2 0 0% | | n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.16 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module | n appropriate | Definitely agree | | 3 0 5% 0% 2 1 | | ■ n=8 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.28 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quind module | uality of the | Definitely agree | | 1 0 5% 0% 2 1 | | n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.89 |

Biomedical Pharmacology (BMD225) No. of responses = 40 (19.05%)




| | | Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi | | Mean 0 50 0% 50% 4 3 | Median 0% 1 2 Histogram | 25 25% | Right pole | av.= md= dev. ab.= | o. of re Mean Media Std. I Abste | Dev. |
|------|--|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | 🛱 Maan yalua ia k | aclow the | 💽 Mean is v | within the | ronge of | | | lity ind | |
| De | scription of quality symbol | Mean value is l quality guidelin | e. | tolerance guideline | e for the q | | di 🖉 | lean value is with uality guideline. | | 3 |
| 1. | Rate this module | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1) | The module is well taught | | Definitely agree | 23 57.5% | | 4 1 0% 2.5% | 00% | Definitely disagree | • | n=40 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.78 |
| 1.2) | The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance | module have | Definitely agree | 15 37.5% | | 6 2 5% 5% 3 2 | 0 0% 1 | Definitely disagree | | n=40 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.85 |
| 1.3) | I have been given adequate feedba module | ack during the | Definitely agree | 10 25% | | 0 3 5% 7.5% 1 3 2 | 1 2.5% 1 | Definitely disagree | • | n=40 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1 |
| 1.4) | I have received sufficient advice an my studies on the module | id support with | Definitely agree | 28.2% 5 | 41% 25 | 0 1 6% 2.6% 1 3 2 | 1 2.6% 1 | Definitely disagree | • | n=39 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.94 |
| 1.5) | The module is well organised and r | runs smoothly | Definitely agree | 26 65% | 27.5% 5 | 2 1 % 2.5% | 0 0% | Definitely disagree | | n=40 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.71 |
| 1.6) | I had access to good learning reson module | urces for the | Definitely agree | 23 57.5% | | 2 0 % 0% 3 2 | 1 2.5% 1 | Definitely disagree | | n=40 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.81 |
| 1.7) | The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module | ppropriate | Definitely agree | 25 62.5% | 27.5% 7. | 3 0 5% 0% 3 2 | 1 2.5% 1 | Definitely disagree | | n=40 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.85 |
| 1.8) | Overall I am satisfied with the quali module | ty of the | Definitely agree | 22 55% | | 4 0 0% 0% 3 2 | 1 2.5% | Definitely disagree | | n=40 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.87 |



| Biological and Chemical Science | s, Clinical Microbiology, BMD231 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|

| Biological and Chemical Scier | nces | | | 0 | |
|--|---|--|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Clinical Microbiology (BMD231) No. of responses = 27 (14.75%) | | | POr | Queen University of Long | vlary |
| | | | | - | 5 o 26. ⁻¹ - |
| | blute Frequencies of answers ative Frequencies of answers Left pole 5 Scale | Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | n=No. c av=Me md=Me dev=S1 ab.=Ab | dian d. Dev. stention |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is below the quality guideline. | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | 🚺 Mear 💆 quali | n value is within ity guideline. | the |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 10 & 11 & 3 & 2 \\ 37\% & 40.7\% & 11.1\% & 7.4\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$ | 1 3.7% D | efinitely disagree | n=27 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the m been made clear in advance | odule have Definitely agree | 11 9 4 3 40.7% 33.3% 14.8% 11.1% 5 4 3 2 | 0% 1 | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.02 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback module | c during the Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 11 & 7 & 7 & 2 \\ 40.7\% & 25.9\% & 25.9\% & 7.4\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$ | 0 D D D | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module | support with Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 40.7\% \\ 37\% \\ 18.5\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 18.5\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 0D | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.86 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and rur | IS SMOOTHIY Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.64 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resource module | ces for the Definitely agree | 77 9 1 0 63% 33.3% 3.7% 0% 5 4 3 2 | 0 D 1 | efinitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.57 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an app contribution to this module | ropriate Definitely agree | 1680 59.3% 29.6% 7.4% 0% | 1 3.7% 1 | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.93 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality module | of the Definitely agree | 15 6 4 2 55.6% 22.2% 14.8% 7.4% | | Definitely disagree | n=27 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=0.98 |



Developmental Psychology (PSY223) No. of responses = 17 (18.68%)




| | requencies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole | Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention |
|--|---|---|-------------|---|
| Description of quality symbol | ean value is below the ality guideline. | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | Mea dual | in value is within the lity guideline. |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 00% | Definitely disagree av.=4.94 md=5 dev.=0.24 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the modu been made clear in advance | le have Definitely agree | 11 5 0 1 64.7% 29.4% 0% 5.9% 1 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.8 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback du module | ring the Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.24 md=5 dev.=0.97 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module | Dort with Definitely agree | 9 5 3 0 52.9% 29.4% 17.6% 0% 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.79 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs sr | noothly Definitely agree | 16 1 0 0 94.1% 5.9% 0% 0% 1 1 0 0 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.94 md=5 dev.=0.24 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources to module | or the Definitely agree | 12 5 0 0 70.6% 29.4% 0% 0% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an approprion contribution to this module | iate Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.62 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module | ne Definitely agree | 13 4 0 0 76.5% 23.5% 0% 0% 1 1 1 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44 |

| Biological and Chemical Scient Ecological Interactions (BIO293) No. of responses = 7 (9.59%) | ces | | <u>k</u> | Queen N University of Londo | lary |
|--|---|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | ute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. ive Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale | Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | n=No. of av.=Mear md=Medi dev.=Std. ab.=Abste | an . Dev. ention |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is below the quality guideline. | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | 🌒 Mear Sequali | n value is within th ty guideline. | le |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 0% 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mo been made clear in advance | dule have Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 42.9\% & 28.6\% & 28.6\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$ | 0% 0% 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback module | during the Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 42.9\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 0\% \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3$ | 0D D 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and s my studies on the module | upport with Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs | smoothly Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resource module | es for the Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 57.1\% & 28.6\% & 14.3\% & 0\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$ | 0 0% D 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an approceed to this module | Opriate Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c} 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 42.9\% & 57.1\% & 0\% & 0\% \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$ | 0 D 1 | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality c module | of the Definitely agree | 57.1% 42.9% 0% 0% | 0 0% D | efinitely disagree | n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53 |

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Ecological Interactions, BIO293

10.04.2017





Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Evolutionary Psychology (PSY227) No. of responses = 15 (16.85%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=15 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 3 33.3% 13.3% 13.3% 20% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.87 md=3 dev.=1.3 been made clear in advance 8 5 3 28.6% 21.4% 1.3) 14.3% 21.4% 14.3% n=14 av.=2.93 md=3 dev.=1.38 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module 8 5 6 3 13.3% 40% 20% 6.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=15 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 6 26.7% 6.7% 13.3% 13.3% 40% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=15 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.41 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 6 6.7% 33.3% 6.7% 1.6) 13.3% 40% n=15 av.=3.2 md=4 dev.=1.26 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 6 5 1 0 33.3% 6 0 1.7) n=15 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.88 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 0 21.4% 21.4% 7 50% 0% 1.8) .1% n=14 av.=3.21 md=4 dev.=1.31 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

Explanations in Psychology (PSY231) No. of responses = 18 (18.56%)



| | Prequencies of answers Std. Dev. Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale | Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | Quality index |
| Description of quality symbol | lean value is below the uality guideline. | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | Mean va | lue is within the uideline. |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | ely disagree n=18 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.99 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mod been made clear in advance | ule have Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 5.6% Definite | ely disagree av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.08 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback d module | uring the Definitely agree | 2 6 7 2 11.8% 35.3% 41.2% 11.8% 5 4 3 2 | | ely disagree av.=3.47 md=3 dev.=0.87 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and summy studies on the module | oport with Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 5.9% Definite | ely disagree means av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.92 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs s | smoothly Definitely agree | 3 8 3 3 16.7% 44.4% 16.7% 16.7% 5 4 3 2 | | ely disagree av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.15 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources module | for the Definitely agree | 3 16.7% 38.9% 27.8% 16.7% 5 4 3 4 3 2 3 3 3 4 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | ely disagree ely disagree md=4 dev.=0.98 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropropropropropropropropropropropropro | Driate Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0%Definite | n=15 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module | the Definitely agree | 2 5 4 5 11.1% 27.8% 22.2% 27.8% 5 4 3 2 | | ely disagree ely disagree md=3 dev.=1.24 |





| Biological and Chemical S | Sciences | | | ١Ô. | Queen | Mary |
|---|---|------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Metabolic Pathways (BIO265) No. of responses = 14 (14.43%) | | | | 200 | University of Lo | ondon |
| Legend Question text | Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let | | Mean Median 0 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram | Right pole | av.= md= dev: ab.= | b. of responses Mean Std. Dev. Abstention |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is guidelir | below the ne. | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | 🌒 Mear 💆 quali | n value is withi ty guideline. | n the |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | | Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 0% D | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.92 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance | the module have | Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 1 | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.01 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module | dback during the | Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 1 | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.09 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module | and support with | Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 0 0% D | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=1.09 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar | nd runs smoothly | Definitely agree | 5 4 3 2 | 0 0% D | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.91 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module | esources for the | Definitely agree | 3 21.4% 35.7% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% | 0 0% D D | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.09 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module | n appropriate | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.57 dev.=1.22 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module | uality of the | Definitely agree | 4 5 3 1 28.6% 35.7% 21.4% 7.1% 5 4 3 2 | 1 7.1% 1 | efinitely disagree | n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.2 |

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Metabolic Pathways, BIO265

Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Microbial Physiology and Growth (BIO231) No. of responses = 12 (16.9%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=12 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 3 0 0 1 41 33.3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 Definitely agree av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 2 5 0 0 1.3) . 58.3% 25% 16.7% 0% 0% n=12 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.79 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч • _ module Δ 0 0 33.3% 50% 16.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=12 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=1.11 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree : my studies on the module -5 2 0 0 6 41 50% 8.3% 09 0% .7% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=12 av.=4.33 md=4 Definitely agree Y Definitely disagree • dev.=0.65 3 5 Δ 2 0 66.7% 16.7% 8.3% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=1 • module 3 5 3 1 1 0 . 58.3% 8 8 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=12 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.98 Ч • contribution to this module 5 3 2 6 0 33.3% 8.3% 8.3% 50% 0% 1.8) n=12 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=1.19 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н module 3 2




Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Physical & Quantum Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE204B) No. of responses = 11 (12.5%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 36.4% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 8 ٥ 72.7% 9.1% 9 9.1 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 3 0 27.3% 1.3) 9.1% 45.5% 18.2% 0% n=11 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 2 Λ 45 .<u>5%</u> 27.3% 18.2% 9.1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.93 . F my studies on the module Õ 5 2 5 18 .2% 45.5% 18.2% 9.1% 9.1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 1 6 0 9.1% 54.5% 18.2% 1.6) 18.2% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 2 5 2 1 45.5% 18.2% 9.1% 9.1% 18.2% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 45.5% 3 0 27.3% 9.1% 18.2% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.9 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ module Õ


| Biological and Chemical S | ciences | | | 4 | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------|---|---|
| Practical Chemistry (CHE201) No. of responses = 19 (15.97%) | | | | <u>LQ</u> | QUEEN University of Lo | Mary |
| No. of responses = $19(15.97\%)$ | | | | | | |
| Legend Question text | Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi | t pole | Mean Median 0% 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 | Right pole | av.=N md=N dev.= | . of responses lean fedian Std. Dev. .bstention |
| | | Scale | Histogram | | | ty index |
| Description of quality symbol | Mean value is l | | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | Mea 🖬 Mea | n value is withir ity guideline. | n the |
| 1. Rate this module | | | | | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught | | Definitely agree | | 2 10.5% | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=2.95 md=3 dev.=1.22 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance | he module have | Definitely agree | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 15.8% | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.4 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module | dback during the | Definitely agree | 2 3 5 5 10.5% 15.8% 26.3% 26.3% 5 4 3 2 | 4 21.1% H | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=2.68 md=3 dev.=1.29 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module | and support with | Definitely agree | 2 3 6 5 10.5% 15.8% 31.6% 26.3% 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.23 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised an | d runs smoothly | Definitely agree | 2 10.5% 15.8% 21.1% 31.6% 5 4 3 4 6 10.5% 15.8% 21.1% 31.6% 5 4 3 2 3 4 5 4 3 2 | | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=2.63 md=2 dev.=1.3 |
| ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule | sources for the | Definitely agree | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2 11.1% | Definitely disagree | n=18 av.=3.28 md=3 dev.=1.13 |
| ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module | n appropriate | — — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree | 3 8 5 1 15.8% 42.1% 26.3% 5.3% 5 4 3 2 | 10.5% | Definitely disagree | n=19 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.17 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module | ality of the | Definitely agree | 2 3 5 6 11.1% 16.7% 27.8% 33.3% 5 4 3 2 | | - — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | n=18 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.2 |


Biological and Chemical Sciences, Practical Chemistry, CHE201


Problem Solving in Chemistry (CHE205) No. of responses = 10 (11.36%)











Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary The Business of Pharmacology (BMD271) University of London No. of responses = 6 (28.57%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 0% n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 0 1 66. 16.7% 16.7% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 1.3) 50% 33.3% 16.7% 0% 0% n=6 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.82 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 2 Δ 0 0 0 33.3% 66.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=6 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 0 0 0 <u>66.7%</u> 33.3% 09 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.52 5 Δ 3 2 2 3 0 0 33.3% 50% 16.7% 1.6) 0% n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.75 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 2 3 1 0 0 33.3% . 16.7% 509 0 0 1.7) n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.75 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree 17 • contribution to this module 3 2 5 3 0 0 0 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

3

| Biological and Chemical Sciences | | 0 |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Transmission Genetics (BIO241) No. of responses = 20 (18.18%) | PC3 | Queen Mary University of London |
| | | in subgestion in the set of an official of the design of the |
| Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole | Right pole | n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention |
| Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | Meai Quali | n value is within the ity guideline. |
| 1. Rate this module | | |
| ^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree $5 \frac{5}{25\%} \frac{6}{30\%} \frac{5}{25\%} \frac{4}{20\%} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 0% D D | Definitely disagree av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.1 |
| ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 5 4 3 2 | 1 | Definitely disagree av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.04 |
| ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module $Definitely agree$ 5 4 3 2 | 0% 1 | Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.19 |
| ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{3}{30\%} \frac{3}{15\%} \frac{6}{30\%} \frac{5}{25\%}$ | | Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.19 |
| ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree $5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 25\%$ | 2 10% 1 | Definitely disagree av.=3.15 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.35 |
| 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module | 0% 1 | Definitely disagree av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=1.1 |
| 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module | 1 | Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=1 |
| ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree 5 3 30% 30% 30% 5 4 3 2 | 0% 1 | Definitely disagree av.=3.35 md=3 dev.=1.18 |

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Transmission Genetics, BIO241