Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Abnormal and Clinical Psychology (PSY251) No. of responses = 19 (20.65%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10.5 ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 10.5% 31.6% 31 .6% 21.1% 5 3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.53 md=2 dev.=1.22 been made clear in advance 8 5 5 26.3% 8 1.3) 5.3% 21 . .1% 42.1% 5.3% n=19 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 8 6 8 31.6% 42.1% 10.5% 5.3% 10.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=19 av.=2.58 md=2 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 8 5 21.1% 21.1% 36.8% 15.8% 5.3% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 0 36.8% 42.1% 1.6) 5.3% 0% n=19 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=0.83 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 6 6 3 1 15.8% 31.6% 31.6% 15.8% 5.3% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=19 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=1.12 ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 11.1% 8 6 33.3% 44.4% 5.6% 5.6% 1.8) n=18 av.=3.33 md=3.5 dev.=0.97 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

Biological and Chemical S	Sciences				0	
Basic Immunology (BMD251) No. of responses = 32 (15.31%)				PC3		IVIAry
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=M md=M dev.=S ab.=Al	of responses an edian itd. Dev. stention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range o tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 💽 Mea 💆 qual	n value is within ity guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	22 8 2 0 68.8% 25% 6.3% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.67
²⁾ The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.34 md=5 dev.=0.9
^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			n=31 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.0
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 62.5\% \\ 31.3\% \\ 6.3\% \\ 0\% \\ \hline 54 \\ 32 $		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.9
^{.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=31 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	juality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.70

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Basic Immunology, BMD251

Biochemistry Communication (BIO201) No. of responses = 9 (15.52%)




Legend Question text			Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=M md=N dev.=S	of responses ean edian Std. Dev. ostention
					_	y index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 🚺 M	ean value is within uality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 12 5 4 3	1 0 5% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree		0 1 % 12.5% 2 1		n=8 av.=3.88 md=4.5 dev.=1.46
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	85.7% 0% 0% 14.	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=1.13
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	62.5% 12.5% 0% 12.	1 1 5% 12.5% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4 md=5 dev.=1.6
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		1 0 5% 0%		n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.13
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module 	sources for the	Definitely agree		2 0 0%		n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.16
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module 	n appropriate	Definitely agree		3 0 5% 0% 2 1		■ n=8 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.28
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quind module	uality of the	Definitely agree		1 0 5% 0% 2 1		n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.89

Biomedical Pharmacology (BMD225) No. of responses = 40 (19.05%)




		Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean 0 50 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 1 2 Histogram	25 25%	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of re Mean Media Std. I Abste	Dev.
		🛱 Maan yalua ia k	aclow the	💽 Mean is v	within the	ronge of			lity ind	
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	e.	tolerance guideline	e for the q		di 🖉	lean value is with uality guideline.		3
1.	Rate this module									
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	23 57.5%		4 1 0% 2.5%	00%	Definitely disagree	•	n=40 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	module have	Definitely agree	15 37.5%		6 2 5% 5% 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.85
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the	Definitely agree	10 25%		0 3 5% 7.5% 1 3 2	1 2.5% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=40 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice an my studies on the module	id support with	Definitely agree	28.2% 5	41% 25	0 1 6% 2.6% 1 3 2	1 2.6% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=39 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.5)	The module is well organised and r	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	26 65%	27.5% 5	2 1 % 2.5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.71
1.6)	I had access to good learning reson module	urces for the	Definitely agree	23 57.5%		2 0 % 0% 3 2	1 2.5% 1	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.81
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate	Definitely agree	25 62.5%	27.5% 7.	3 0 5% 0% 3 2	1 2.5% 1	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.85
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quali module	ty of the	Definitely agree	22 55%		4 0 0% 0% 3 2	1 2.5%	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.87



Biological and Chemical Science	s, Clinical Microbiology, BMD231
---------------------------------	----------------------------------

Biological and Chemical Scier	nces			0	
Clinical Microbiology (BMD231) No. of responses = 27 (14.75%)			POr	Queen University of Long	vlary
				-	5 o 26. ⁻¹ -
	blute Frequencies of answers ative Frequencies of answers Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. c av=Me md=Me dev=S1 ab.=Ab	dian d. Dev. stention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🚺 Mear 💆 quali	n value is within ity guideline.	the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 10 & 11 & 3 & 2 \\ 37\% & 40.7\% & 11.1\% & 7.4\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	1 3.7% D	efinitely disagree	n=27 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the m been made clear in advance	odule have Definitely agree	11 9 4 3 40.7% 33.3% 14.8% 11.1% 5 4 3 2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.02
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback module	c during the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 11 & 7 & 7 & 2 \\ 40.7\% & 25.9\% & 25.9\% & 7.4\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 D D D	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module 	support with Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 40.7\% \\ 37\% \\ 18.5\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 18.5\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0D	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and rur	IS SMOOTHIY Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.64
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resource module	ces for the Definitely agree	77 9 1 0 63% 33.3% 3.7% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 D 1	efinitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.57
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an app contribution to this module 	ropriate Definitely agree	1680 59.3% 29.6% 7.4% 0%	1 3.7% 1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.93
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	of the Definitely agree	15 6 4 2 55.6% 22.2% 14.8% 7.4%	 	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=0.98



Developmental Psychology (PSY223) No. of responses = 17 (18.68%)




	requencies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	ean value is below the ality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mea dual	in value is within the lity guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00%	Definitely disagree av.=4.94 md=5 dev.=0.24
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the modu been made clear in advance 	le have Definitely agree	11 5 0 1 64.7% 29.4% 0% 5.9% 1 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.8
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback du module	ring the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.24 md=5 dev.=0.97
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	Dort with Definitely agree	9 5 3 0 52.9% 29.4% 17.6% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs sr	noothly Definitely agree	16 1 0 0 94.1% 5.9% 0% 0% 1 1 0 0 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.94 md=5 dev.=0.24
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources to module	or the Definitely agree	12 5 0 0 70.6% 29.4% 0% 0% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an approprion contribution to this module 	iate Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.62
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	ne Definitely agree	13 4 0 0 76.5% 23.5% 0% 0% 1 1 1 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44

Biological and Chemical Scient Ecological Interactions (BIO293) No. of responses = 7 (9.59%)	ces		<u>k</u>	Queen N University of Londo	lary
	ute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. ive Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of av.=Mear md=Medi dev.=Std. ab.=Abste	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🌒 Mear Sequali	n value is within th ty guideline.	le
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mo been made clear in advance	dule have Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 42.9\% & 28.6\% & 28.6\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0% 0% 1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback module	during the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 42.9\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 0\% \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3$	0D D 1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and s my studies on the module	upport with Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs	smoothly Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resource module	es for the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 57.1\% & 28.6\% & 14.3\% & 0\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% D 1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an approceed to this module	Opriate Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 42.9\% & 57.1\% & 0\% & 0\% \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$	0 D 1	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality c module	of the Definitely agree	57.1% 42.9% 0% 0%	0 0% D	efinitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Ecological Interactions, BIO293

10.04.2017





Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Evolutionary Psychology (PSY227) No. of responses = 15 (16.85%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=15 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 3 33.3% 13.3% 13.3% 20% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.87 md=3 dev.=1.3 been made clear in advance 8 5 3 28.6% 21.4% 1.3) 14.3% 21.4% 14.3% n=14 av.=2.93 md=3 dev.=1.38 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module 8 5 6 3 13.3% 40% 20% 6.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=15 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 6 26.7% 6.7% 13.3% 13.3% 40% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=15 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.41 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 6 6.7% 33.3% 6.7% 1.6) 13.3% 40% n=15 av.=3.2 md=4 dev.=1.26 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 6 5 1 0 33.3% 6 0 1.7) n=15 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.88 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 0 21.4% 21.4% 7 50% 0% 1.8) .1% n=14 av.=3.21 md=4 dev.=1.31 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

Explanations in Psychology (PSY231) No. of responses = 18 (18.56%)



	Prequencies of answers Std. Dev. Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
				Quality index
Description of quality symbol	lean value is below the uality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean va	lue is within the uideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ely disagree n=18 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.99
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mod been made clear in advance 	ule have Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 5.6% Definite	ely disagree av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.08
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback d module	uring the Definitely agree	2 6 7 2 11.8% 35.3% 41.2% 11.8% 5 4 3 2		ely disagree av.=3.47 md=3 dev.=0.87
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and summy studies on the module	oport with Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 5.9% Definite	ely disagree means av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.92
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs s	smoothly Definitely agree	3 8 3 3 16.7% 44.4% 16.7% 16.7% 5 4 3 2		ely disagree av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.15
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources module	for the Definitely agree	3 16.7% 38.9% 27.8% 16.7% 5 4 3 4 3 2 3 3 3 4 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		ely disagree ely disagree md=4 dev.=0.98
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropropropropropropropropropropropropro	Driate Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%Definite	n=15 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module	the Definitely agree	2 5 4 5 11.1% 27.8% 22.2% 27.8% 5 4 3 2		ely disagree ely disagree md=3 dev.=1.24





Biological and Chemical S	Sciences			١Ô.	Queen	Mary
Metabolic Pathways (BIO265) No. of responses = 14 (14.43%)				200	University of Lo	ondon
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		Mean Median 0 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	b. of responses Mean Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🌒 Mear 💆 quali	n value is withi ty guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% D	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	1	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.01
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	1	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.09
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 0% D	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=1.09
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 0% D	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	3 21.4% 35.7% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4% 21.4%	0 0% D D	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.09
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module 	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.57 dev.=1.22
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	4 5 3 1 28.6% 35.7% 21.4% 7.1% 5 4 3 2	1 7.1% 1	efinitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.2

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Metabolic Pathways, BIO265

Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Microbial Physiology and Growth (BIO231) No. of responses = 12 (16.9%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=12 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 3 0 0 1 41 33.3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 Definitely agree av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 2 5 0 0 1.3) . 58.3% 25% 16.7% 0% 0% n=12 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.79 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч • _ module Δ 0 0 33.3% 50% 16.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=12 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=1.11 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree : my studies on the module -5 2 0 0 6 41 50% 8.3% 09 0% .7% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=12 av.=4.33 md=4 Definitely agree Y Definitely disagree • dev.=0.65 3 5 Δ 2 0 66.7% 16.7% 8.3% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=1 • module 3 5 3 1 1 0 . 58.3% 8 8 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=12 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.98 Ч • contribution to this module 5 3 2 6 0 33.3% 8.3% 8.3% 50% 0% 1.8) n=12 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=1.19 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н module 3 2




Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary Physical & Quantum Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE204B) No. of responses = 11 (12.5%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 36.4% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 8 ٥ 72.7% 9.1% 9 9.1 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 3 0 27.3% 1.3) 9.1% 45.5% 18.2% 0% n=11 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 2 Λ 45 .<u>5%</u> 27.3% 18.2% 9.1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.93 . F my studies on the module Õ 5 2 5 18 .2% 45.5% 18.2% 9.1% 9.1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 1 6 0 9.1% 54.5% 18.2% 1.6) 18.2% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 2 5 2 1 45.5% 18.2% 9.1% 9.1% 18.2% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 45.5% 3 0 27.3% 9.1% 18.2% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.9 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ module Õ


Biological and Chemical S	ciences			4		
Practical Chemistry (CHE201) No. of responses = 19 (15.97%)				<u>LQ</u>	QUEEN University of Lo	Mary
No. of responses = $19(15.97\%)$						
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi	t pole	Mean Median 0% 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	av.=N md=N dev.=	. of responses lean fedian Std. Dev. .bstention
		Scale	Histogram			ty index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l		 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mea 🖬 Mea	n value is withir ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		2 10.5%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=2.95 md=3 dev.=1.22
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.8%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.4
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	dback during the	Definitely agree	2 3 5 5 10.5% 15.8% 26.3% 26.3% 5 4 3 2	4 21.1% H	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=2.68 md=3 dev.=1.29
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	2 3 6 5 10.5% 15.8% 31.6% 26.3% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.23
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	2 10.5% 15.8% 21.1% 31.6% 5 4 3 4 6 10.5% 15.8% 21.1% 31.6% 5 4 3 2 3 4 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=2.63 md=2 dev.=1.3
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	sources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 11.1%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.28 md=3 dev.=1.13
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module 	n appropriate	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	3 8 5 1 15.8% 42.1% 26.3% 5.3% 5 4 3 2	10.5%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.17
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	ality of the	Definitely agree	2 3 5 6 11.1% 16.7% 27.8% 33.3% 5 4 3 2		- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=18 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.2


Biological and Chemical Sciences, Practical Chemistry, CHE201


Problem Solving in Chemistry (CHE205) No. of responses = 10 (11.36%)











Biological and Chemical Sciences Queen Mary The Business of Pharmacology (BMD271) University of London No. of responses = 6 (28.57%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 0% n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 0 1 66. 16.7% 16.7% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 1.3) 50% 33.3% 16.7% 0% 0% n=6 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.82 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 2 Δ 0 0 0 33.3% 66.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=6 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 0 0 0 <u>66.7%</u> 33.3% 09 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.52 5 Δ 3 2 2 3 0 0 33.3% 50% 16.7% 1.6) 0% n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.75 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 2 3 1 0 0 33.3% . 16.7% 509 0 0 1.7) n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.75 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree 17 • contribution to this module 3 2 5 3 0 0 0 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

3

Biological and Chemical Sciences		0
Transmission Genetics (BIO241) No. of responses = 20 (18.18%)	PC3	Queen Mary University of London
		in subgestion in the set of an official of the design of the
Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Meai Quali	n value is within the ity guideline.
1. Rate this module		
^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree $5 \frac{5}{25\%} \frac{6}{30\%} \frac{5}{25\%} \frac{4}{20\%} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$	0 0% D D	Definitely disagree av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 5 4 3 2	 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.04
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module $Definitely agree$ 5 4 3 2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{3}{30\%} \frac{3}{15\%} \frac{6}{30\%} \frac{5}{25\%}$		Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree $5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 25\%$	2 10% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.15 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.35
1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module	 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=1.1
1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	 1	Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree 5 3 30% 30% 30% 5 4 3 2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.35 md=3 dev.=1.18

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Transmission Genetics, BIO241