

Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Communication in Science and Technology (SEF030)
No. of responses = 244 (64.04%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)




Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

English 1 (SEF009)
No. of responses = 54 (90%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme


Essential Foundation Mathematical Skills (SEF026)

No. of responses = 130 (50.19%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)




Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Form and Function in Biology (SEF031)
No. of responses = 92 (74.19%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introductory Chemistry (SEF003)
No. of responses = 91 (49.73%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)




Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Mathematics I (SEF001)
No. of responses = 110 (40.59%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)



n=110


Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Physics (Mechanics and Materials) (SEF005)
No. of responses = 131 (41.07%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



2. Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus

2.1)



