




School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Energy Conversion Systems (DEN4006) No. of responses = 61 (36.31%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 19.7% 31 50.8% 16 0 26.2% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=61 av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 11 18 8 13.1% 29.5% 37.7% 1.6% 18% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=61 Definitely agree av.=3.49 md=3 dev.=0.99 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 18 29.5% 23 37.7% 6 12 19.7% 1.3) 9.8% 3.3% n=61 av.=3.23 md=3 dev.=0.99 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 3 18 30% C 18 14 23 30% .3% 1 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=60 Definitely agree × Definitely disagree . av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.05 my studies on the module ð 5 3 15 31 12 0 25% 51.7% 20% 0% 3 3% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=60 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 26 42.6% 13 5 3 21.3% 1.6) 23% 4 .9% n=61 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.06 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 13 32 12 4 0 <u>21.3%</u> 52 19.7% 6 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=61 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=61 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.82 Ż. ۲ contribution Õ to this module 3 5 10 28 47.5% 14 6 23.7% 10.2% 1.7% 16.9% 1.8) n=59 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ı module Õ


3

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Engineering Design Methods (MAT4002) No. of responses = 133 (42.22%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 26 66 30 6 1 0.8% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 20.2% n=129 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 19 43 40 18 34. 1% 31 7% 14 3% 15.1% 4 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=126 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.06 been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 21 55 6 32 15 1.3) 4.7% 16.3% 42.6% 24.8% 11.6% n=129 av.=2.78 md=3 dev.=1.01 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree k module : 5 3 31 25% 60 48.4% 12 15 2.1% 9.7% 12 4 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=124 av.=3.23 md=3 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree 1Ť Definitely disagree . my studies on the module ð 5 3 2 23 48 35 11 8 18.4% 38.4% 28% 8.8% 6.4% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=125 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 53 42.4% 24 38 10 0 19.2% 30.4% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=125 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.86 module íĕì 5 3 39 53 21 9 4 16.7% 7 31% 42 3 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=126 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.02 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 21 52 34 14 4 27.2% 11 41.6% 3.2% 16.8% .2% 1.8) n=125 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ









School of Engineering and Materials Science

Mechanics of Fluids I (DEN4101) No. of responses = 131 (45.02%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=M md=M dev.=: ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l	below the e.	 Mean is within the rang tolerance for the quality guideline. 	e of 💽 N	lean value is withir uality guideline.	i the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	25 61 29 19.4% 47.3% 22.5% 3 5 4 3	11 3 8.5% 2.3%	Definitely disagree	n=129 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.95
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking o been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree	21 43 35 16.7% 34.1% 27.8% 5 4 3	24 3 19% 2.4% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=126 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.05
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	10 24 50 7.6% 18.3% 38.2% 2 5 4 3	32 15 44.4% 11.5%	Definitely disagree	n=131 av.=2.86 md=3 dev.=1.09
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advis my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	7 53 35 $5.5%$ $41.4%$ $27.3%$ 1	25 8 9.5% 6.3% H 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=128 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.02
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	30 57 28 23.3% 44.2% 21.7%	9 7% <u>3.9%</u> 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=129 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.01
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	33 61 20 25.4% 46.9% 15.4%	12 4 9.2% 3.1% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=130 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.02
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	40 63 15 30.8% 48.5% 11.5% 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=130 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	21 53 36 16.2% 40.8% 27.7% 1 5 4 3	17 3 3.1% 2.3% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=130 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=0.99