




School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Computational Engineering (DEN401) No. of responses = 13 (56.52%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module . 33.3% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=12 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 5 2 3 5 <u>23.1%</u> 15.4% 38.5% 15.4% 7 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=13 Definitely agree av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=1.25 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 3 5 8 0 61.5% 7.7% 1.3) 23.1% 7.7% 0% n=13 av.=3.46 md=3 dev.=0.97 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree _ module Õ 3 0 0 53.8% 38.5% 7.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=13 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree H I Definitely disagree e my studies on the module Õ 5 3 0 30.8% 53.8% 7.7% 7. 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.86 3 5 Δ 2 6 3 0 8.3% 1.6) 16.7% 50% 0% n=12 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.08 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 2 Δ 5 1 1 15.4% . 30.8% 38.5% 7 7 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=13 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=1.12 ۲ X contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 3 16.7% 41.7% 25% 8.3% 8.3% 1.8) n=12 av.=3.25 md=3.5 dev.=1.14 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Computational Engineering (DENM004) No. of responses = 8 (50%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 4 <u>57.1%</u> 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 Õ 5 3 2 2 ٥ 37 . 5% 25% 12 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.04 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 0 3 3 0 42.9% 1.3) 0% 42.9% 14 .3% 0% n=7 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.76 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree _ module Õ 3 0 Π 62 .<u>5%</u> 12 09 25% 5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.76 Ļ Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 4 2 5 0 0 12.5% 62.5% 0 0% 25% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.64 Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree н Õ 5 Δ 3 2 0 12.5% 50% 12 1.6) 25% 0% n=8 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.07 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 0 5 1 2 0 62.5% . 12.5% 0 25% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=8 av.=3.38 md=4 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ H contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 0 4 0 57.1% 14 28.6% 0% .3% 0% 1.8) n=7 av.=3.14 md=3 dev.=0.69 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

3







2

3

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Radiation Physics and Lasers (MELM006) University of London No. of responses = 8 (88.89%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 3 37.5% 5 62.5% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 4 4 0 0 37.5% 12 5% 50% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree 1 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74 Definitely disagree ŀ • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 62.5% 1.3) 12.5% 25% 0% n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.64 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ Δ 7 0 0 Π 87.5% . 12.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . н my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 0 0 0 57.1% 42.9% 0 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree • dev.=0.53 5 Δ 3 2 2 6 0 0 0 75% 1.6) 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 14 n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.46 • F module 5 3 1 2 4 0 0 28.6% 57 14 .1% 0 0 1.7) n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.69 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ١Ż • contribution to this module 3 2 5 4 0 0 0 57.1% 42.9% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Ż, Definitely disagree • module 2 3

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Renewable Energy Sources (DEN438) No. of responses = 7 (30.43%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 42.9% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ Õ 5 3 2 6 0 0 0 85. 14 . 3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 3 1.3) 28.6% 28.6% 42.9% 0% 0% n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Λ 57. 14.3% 14 14 . 3% . 1% 3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=7 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree * Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 3 0 42.9% 28.6% 14.3% 14 09 .3% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 5 Δ 2 2 5 0 0 0 71.4% 28.6% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.49 • н module 5 3 0 0 4 3 0 . 57.1% 42.9% 0 0 1.7) n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree 4 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 28.6% 28.6% 42.9% 0% 0% 1.8) n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.35 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Renewable Energy Sources (DENM035) No. of responses = 10 (47.62%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 1 10% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 30% n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 4 7 0 0 10% 70% 20% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.7 H • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 2 0 0 6 1.3) 20% 60% 20% 0% n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.67 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 6 3 0 Π 609 30% 10% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module ð 5 4 3 5 0 0 50% 10% 0 0% 40% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=10 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.67 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • _ 3 5 Δ 2 3 0 0 33.3% 1.6) 44.4% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.83 : module 5 2 0 4 4 0 40 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.79 11 • contribution to this module 3 2 5 0 0 11 55.6% 33 .3% .1% 0% 0% 1.8) n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.67 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

3

