

School of Engineering and Materials Science

Composites for Aerospace Applications (MAT5030)  
 No. of responses = 8 (19.51%)






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science


Control Systems Analysis and Design (DEN5200)

No. of responses = 34 (15.6%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Engineering and Materials Science

Engineering Materials for Design (DEN5002)  
No. of responses = 40 (18.18%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science


Fluid Mechanics of the Cardiovascular System (DEN5300)

No. of responses = 18 (46.15%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics I (DEN5208)  
 No. of responses = 32 (26.89%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




# School of Engineering and Materials Science

Low Speed Aerodynamics (DEN233)  
No. of responses = 23 (37.7%)



## Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Medical Physiology (MAT5222)  
No. of responses = 7 (70%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




# School of Engineering and Materials Science

Metals (MAT321)  
No. of responses = 18 (64.29%)



## Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module





School of Engineering and Materials Science

Solid Mechanics (DEN5102)  
No. of responses = 24 (11.21%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Structural Characterisation (MAT400)  
No. of responses = 8 (28.57%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Student Centred Learning 2 (MAT308)  
No. of responses = 24 (88.89%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



# School of Engineering and Materials Science


Studio Practice Module Year 2 Human and Machine (DEN212)

No. of responses = 8 (72.73%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

