Aerospace Structures (DEN307) No. of responses = 7 (15.22%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef	t pole	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S	
		Scale	Histogram		Quality	index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	💽 Me	ean value is within ality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	28.6% 57.1% 14.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.69
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking o been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree	2 0 3 2 28.6% 0% 42.9% 28.6%	0		n=7 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.25
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	eedback during the	Definitely agree	2 1 3 1 28.6% 14.3% 42.9% 14.3% 5 4 3 2	0 0%		n=7 av.=3.57 md=3 dev.=1.13
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advi my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	14.3% 57.1% 28.6% 0% 14.3% 57.1% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1		n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	2 4 1 0 28.6% 57.1% 14.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 16.7% 1		n=6 av.=3.17 md=4 dev.=1.33
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate		1 2 0 1 14.3% 28.6% 0% 14.3% 5 4 3 2	3 42.9%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=2.57 md=2 dev.=1.72
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	2 2 3 0 28.6% 28.6% 42.9% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1		n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9

Aircraft Propulsion (DEN306) No. of responses = 11 (21.15%)



		ncies of answers Std. Dev. ncies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=h md=h dev= ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention y index
De	scription of quality symbol	alue is below the guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	D M	lean value is withir uality guideline.	1 the
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4 & 6 \\ 36.4\% & 54.5\% & 9.1\% & 0\% \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	00%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.65
— — 1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module haben made clear in advance	AVE Definitely agree	2 4 3 1 20% 40% 30% 10%	1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=0.95
— — 1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during to module	the Definitely agree	2 2 4 2 20% 20% 40% 20%	0	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.07
 1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	with Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 40\% & 30\% & 30\% & 0\% \\ \hline 40\% & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.88
— — 1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoot	hly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $	0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.67
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	1e Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 18.2\% & 36.4\% & 45.5\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & &$	0 0% 	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 18.2\% & 36.4\% & 45.5\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & $	0 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 5 & 3 & 0 \\ 27.3\% & 45.5\% & 27.3\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ $	0 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.77



Failure of Solids (MAT501) No. of responses = 16 (31.37%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range c tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 💽 Mean valu equality gui	e is within the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	7 9 0 0 43.8% 56.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2		v disagree n=16 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.51
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking of been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	8 4 1 0 57.1% 28.6% 7.1% 0%		v disagree n=14 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=1.14
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate f module	feedback during the	Definitely agree	<u> </u>	1 6.3%	v disagree n=16 av.=4.19 md=4.5 dev.=1.11
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient adv my studies on the module	ice and support with	Definitely agree	4 8 1 0 30.8% 61.5% 7.7% 0%	0	v disagree n=13 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	104 66.7% 26.7% 6.7% 0%		v disagree n=15 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.63
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	g resources for the	Definitely agree	6 - 6 - 3 - 0 40% + 40% + 20% + 0% 5 - 4 - 3 - 2		v disagree n=15 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.77
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module 	e an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		v disagree n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	e quality of the	Definitely agree	4 8 2 0 28.6% 57.1% 14.3% 0% 5 4 3 2		v disagree n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.66

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary High Speed Aerodynamics (DEN6405) University of London No. of responses = 15(30%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=15 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 3 8 0 21.4% 57 , 1% 14.3% 7 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=14 Definitely agree av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 3 2 5 0 21.4% 1.3) 21.4% 50% 7. 0% 1% n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.86 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 8 0 Π 57 7 35.7% . 1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.8 Definitely agree ĮĮ Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 2 1 0 0 9 64.3% 21.4% 14.3% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 T. Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.76 3 5 2 3 0 0 28.6% 50% 21.4% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.73 : module 5 0 5 3 0 33.3% . 46.7% 20 0 1.7) n=15 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.74 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 3 2 5 8 6 0 0 0 42.9% 57.1% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree N. Definitely disagree • module

3


2

Implant Design (DEN6437) No. of responses = 22 (45.83%)



	estion text		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=M md=M dev=5 ab.=Al	
De	scription of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P P P	lean value is within uality guideline.	the
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.52
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	4 7 3 5 19% 33.3% 14.3% 23.8% 5 4 3 2	2 6 9.5% 1		n=21 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.31
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	8 4 3 2 42.1% 21.1% 15.8% 10.5% 5 4 3 2	2 5 <u>10.5%</u> 1		n=19 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=1.41
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1		n=20 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.12
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.66
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.57
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.59
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 1		n=19 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.76

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Manufacturing Processes (MAT601) No. of responses = 18 (43.9%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=16 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.6 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 q 6 3 0 0 16.7% 33.3% 50% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.77 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 3 1.3) 41.2% 23.5% 17.6% 17.6% 0% n=17 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.17 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 0 Π 25% 50% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.86 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 5 12 0 0 70.6% 23.5% 0% 0% 5.9% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=1.01 5 3 2 1 10 0 0 0 37.5% 62.5% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.5 : module 5 3 6 0 10 1 0 58.89 35.3% 5.9% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H, av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.8 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 3 0 8 5 0 31.3% 18.8% 50% 0% 0% 1.8) n=16 av.=4.31 md=4.5 dev.=0.79 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module



School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Science of Biocompatibility (MAT6312) University of London No. of responses = 17 (44.74%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 3 17.6% 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 29.4% n=17 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 6 6 3 ٥ 37.5% 37 5% 18.8% 6 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.93 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 31.3% 1.3) 12.5% 43.8% 6.3% 6.3% n=16 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ Λ 43.8% 43.8% 6 6.3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree F my studies on the module ð 5 4 3 2 12 0 0 23.5% 70.6% 5.9% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=17 av.=4.18 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ł • dev.=0.53 3 5 Δ 2 7 0 37.5% 12.5% 43.8% 1.6) 0% n=16 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.81 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module íĕì 3 5 5 6 0 0 31.3% 31 37 0 0 1.7) n=16 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.85 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 9 0 0 5 31.3% 56.3% 12.5% 0% 0% 1.8) n=16 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.66 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree F module Õ

Tissue Mechanics (DEN6311) No. of responses = 13 (27.08%)



	Absolute Frequencies of answers Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole		% 50% 0%	25 25% 1 1	Right pole	av=N md=N dev= ab.=A	of responses lean ledian Std. Dev. bstention y index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below quality guideline.	w the 💽 I	Mean is within th tolerance for the guideline.		I Me	an value is withir ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Ľ	Definitely agree	3 23.1% 61.5% 1 5 4	2 0 15.4% 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.64
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	module have _c	Definitely agree	1 8.3% 58.3% 5 4	3 1 25% 8.3% 1 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the c	Definitely agree	7.7% 46.2% 3 46.2% 3 5 4	3 2	 1	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice ar my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	5 5 4	2 1 15.4% 7.7%		Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and i	runs smoothly _c	Definitely agree	4 2 30.8% 15.4% 3	5 2 38.5% 15.4%		Definitely disagree	■ n=13 av.=3.62 md=3 dev.=1.12
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the c	Definitely agree	4 6 30.8% 46.2% 1	2 1 15.4% 7.7% 		Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate _c	Definitely agree	4 7 30.8% 53.8%	1 1 7.7% 7.7% 1 3		Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quali module	ity of the c	Definitely agree	3 23.1% 5 4	3 0 23.1% 0% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71