Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Accounting for Lawyers (CCLE030)
No. of responses = 14 (155.56%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Advanced Medical Negligence 1 (QLLM459)

No. of responses = 11 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=11
av.=4.09
md=5
dev.=1.64

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=11
av.=3.91
md=5
dev.=1.87

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=10
av.=4.2
md=5
dev.=1.32

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=11
av.=3.45
md=3
dev.=1.44

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=11
av.=3.73
md=3
dev.=1.1

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=11
av.=3.55
md=4
dev.=1.63

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=11
av.=4
md=5
dev.=1.26

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=11
av.=4.09
md=5
dev.=1.22

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=11
av.=3.91
md=4
dev.=1.3

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Alternative Dispute Resolution:Theory and Context (QLLM385)

No. of responses = 23 (69.7%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Art and Governance (QLLM401)
No. of responses = 9 (60%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.73
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	4 44.4%	4 44.4%	1 11.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.71
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	3 33.3%	6 66.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.5
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	3 37.5%	0 0%	1 12.5%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.63 md=3.5 dev.=1.41
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	2 22.2%	2 22.2%	3 33.3%	0 0%	2 22.2%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=1.48
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	5 55.6%	1 11.1%	2 22.2%	0 0%	1 11.1%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4 md=5 dev.=1.41
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.73
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	5 55.6%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.22 md=5 dev.=1.09

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Art and Intellectual Property Law (QLLM405)

No. of responses = 21 (87.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Art Transactions (QLLM402)
No. of responses = 10 (62.5%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	1 10%	7 70%	1 10%	1 10%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	4 40%	3 30%	2 20%	1 10%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.05
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	2 22.2%	2 22.2%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.13
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	1 10%	1 10%	1 10%	5 50%	2 20%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=2.4 md=2 dev.=1.26
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	1 10%	0 0%	6 60%	1 10%	2 20%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=2.7 md=3 dev.=1.16
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	1 10%	0 0%	4 40%	3 30%	2 20%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=1.18
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	3 33.3%	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.78
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	5 50%	5 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	3 30%	2 20%	5 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.8 md=3.5 dev.=0.92

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Basic Principles of English Law, Evidence and Practice (IPLM028)

No. of responses = 6 (22.22%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Basic Principles of English Law, Practice and Evidence (IPLC024)
No. of responses = 14 (15.56%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=14
av.=4.43
md=5
dev.=1.09

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=14
av.=4.43
md=5
dev.=1.09

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=14
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=13
av.=4.46
md=5
dev.=1.13

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=14
av.=3.36
md=3
dev.=1.01

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=14
av.=2.93
md=3
dev.=1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0.96

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=14
av.=3.43
md=4
dev.=1.22

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=3.14
md=3
dev.=1.17

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Carriage of Goods (QLLM302)
No. of responses = 23 (92%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=23
av.=4.78
md=5
dev.=0.42

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=23
av.=4.65
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=23
av.=4.74
md=5
dev.=0.54

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=23
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.56

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=23
av.=4.48
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=22
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=22
av.=4.59
md=5
dev.=0.67

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=23
av.=4.65
md=5
dev.=0.57

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.56

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Cartels, Collusions and Competition Law (QLLM305)

No. of responses = 6 (42.86%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Charterparties: Law and Practice (QLLM182)

No. of responses = 13 (65%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

		Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	
1.1)	The module is well taught	9 (69.2%), 3 (23.1%), 1 (7.7%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.65
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	8 (66.7%), 4 (33.3%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	9 (69.2%), 3 (23.1%), 1 (7.7%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.65
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	9 (69.2%), 1 (7.7%), 2 (15.4%), 1 (7.7%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.04
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	7 (53.8%), 1 (7.7%), 5 (38.5%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.15 md=5 dev.=0.99
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	8 (61.5%), 1 (7.7%), 4 (30.8%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.95
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	6 (54.5%), 5 (45.5%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.52
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	9 (69.2%), 1 (7.7%), 3 (23.1%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.88
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	10 (83.3%), 1 (8.3%), 1 (8.3%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.62

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Chinese Business Law (QLLM316)

No. of responses = 8 (80%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=8
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=8
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=8
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=8
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.76

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=8
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=8
av.=4.63
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4
md=4.5
dev.=1.2

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=8
av.=4.13
md=4.5
dev.=1.13

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.07

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Comparative Law of Patents and Trade Secrets (QLLM332)

No. of responses = 43 (76.79%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Competition Law (IPLC020)
No. of responses = 76 (84.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=72
av.=3.31
md=3
dev.=1.04

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=74
av.=3.77
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=74
av.=3.22
md=3
dev.=1.04

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=73
av.=3.67
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=75
av.=4.57
md=5
dev.=0.66

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=73
av.=4.37
md=5
dev.=0.75

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=71
av.=3.31
md=3
dev.=0.86

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=75
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.82

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=75
av.=2.87
md=3
dev.=0.92

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Compliance Systems in Practice (QLLM480)

No. of responses = 11 (68.75%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	4 (36.4%)	6 (54.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.87
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	4 (36.4%)	5 (45.5%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 (27.3%)	5 (45.5%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.08
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	3 (30%)	3 (30%)	3 (30%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.03
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	0 (0%)	1 (11.1%)	8 (88.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.11 md=3 dev.=0.33
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	0 (0%)	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=0.44
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	6 (54.5%)	4 (36.4%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.69
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	3 (27.3%)	5 (45.5%)	3 (27.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.77
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	3 (27.3%)	7 (63.6%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.6

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies
Copyright and Trademark in China (QLLM389)
No. of responses = 9 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=9
av.=4.44
md=4
dev.=0.53

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=9
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.67

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=8
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.76

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=9
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=9
av.=3.56
md=4
dev.=1.42

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=9
av.=3.56
md=4
dev.=1.42

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Corporate Finance Law (QLLM372)

No. of responses = 82 (118.84%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=81
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=0.87

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=80
av.=4.45
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=79
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=79
av.=3.96
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=70
av.=3.63
md=3
dev.=1.07

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=71
av.=3.65
md=3
dev.=1.06

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=78
av.=4.19
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=79
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=81
av.=4.12
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Corporate Rescue and Cross-border Insolvency (QLLM368)

No. of responses = 43 (102.38%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=41
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.4

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=43
av.=4.74
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=41
av.=4.76
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=43
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=36
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=40
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=41
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.82

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=42
av.=4.57
md=5
dev.=0.63

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=43
av.=4.47
md=5
dev.=0.8

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Cybercrime: Substantive Offences (QLLM351)

No. of responses = 17 (45.95%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=17
av.=4.47
md=4
dev.=0.51

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=17
av.=4.76
md=5
dev.=0.44

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=16
av.=4.38
md=4.5
dev.=0.72

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=17
av.=3.76
md=4
dev.=1.25

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=14
av.=3.79
md=3.5
dev.=0.89

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=15
av.=3.8
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=13
av.=3.54
md=4
dev.=1.2

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=17
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=17
av.=3.59
md=4
dev.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Cyberspace Law: Internet Jurisdiction & Dispute Resolution (QLLM358)

No. of responses = 11 (73.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Cyberspace Law: Protecting the Online Persona: Digital Rights in Cyberspace (QLLM359)

No. of responses = 13 (108.33%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

E-Commerce Transactions (QLLM412)
No. of responses = 39 (150%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Economics of Competition Law (QLLM307)

No. of responses = 7 (58.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Elements of Islamic Law (QLLM164)

No. of responses = 6 (75%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=5
av.=3.6
md=4
dev.=1.14

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4.5
dev.=0.98

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=6
av.=3.67
md=3.5
dev.=0.82

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=6
av.=3.33
md=3
dev.=1.03

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=6
av.=3.67
md=3.5
dev.=0.82

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=6
av.=3.67
md=3.5
dev.=0.82

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=6
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.55

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Energy Economics: A Legal Perspective (QLLM380)

No. of responses = 16 (84.21%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=16
av.=4.19
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=16
av.=4.13
md=4.5
dev.=1.02

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=14
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.85

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=15
av.=4.27
md=5
dev.=0.96

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=16
av.=4.25
md=4.5
dev.=0.93

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.81

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=16
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.86

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Energy Law and Ethics (QLLM382)
No. of responses = 21 (84%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	16 76.2%	5 23.8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	18 85.7%	3 14.3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	15 71.4%	4 19%	2 9.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.67
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	15 78.9%	3 15.8%	1 5.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.56
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	15 78.9%	3 15.8%	1 5.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.56
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	16 80%	3 15%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.55
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	12 60%	3 15%	3 15%	2 10%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=1.07
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	15 71.4%	5 23.8%	1 4.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.58
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	14 70%	3 15%	1 5%	2 10%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

EU Data Protection Law (QLLM353)
No. of responses = 21 (80.77%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	10 47.6%	11 52.4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.48 md=4 dev.=0.51
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	12 57.1%	9 42.9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	11 52.4%	10 47.6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.51
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	5 23.8%	12 57.1%	4 19%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.67
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	5 25%	6 30%	9 45%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	3 15.8%	6 31.6%	10 52.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.63 md=3 dev.=0.76
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	3 15%	9 45%	6 30%	2 10%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=0.88
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	4 19%	13 61.9%	4 19%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.63
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	4 19%	10 47.6%	6 28.6%	1 4.8%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.81

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

EU Financial and Monetary Law (QLLM377)

No. of responses = 13 (185.71%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.92 md=5 dev.=0.28
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.92 md=5 dev.=0.28
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9 75%	3 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	6 46.2%	2 15.4%	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.08
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	4 30.8%	2 15.4%	6 46.2%	0 0%	1 7.7%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.62 md=3 dev.=1.19
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	4 33.3%	2 16.7%	6 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.83 md=3.5 dev.=0.94
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	7 63.6%	4 36.4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	7 53.8%	6 46.2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.52
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	6 50%	3 25%	3 25%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.87

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

EU Immigration Law (QLLM100)
No. of responses = 12 (85.71%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

European Union Competition Law 1 (QLLM463)
No. of responses = 14 (73.68%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=14
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.43

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=14
av.=4.71
md=5
dev.=0.47

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=14
av.=4.71
md=5
dev.=0.47

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=14
av.=4.21
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=13
av.=3.85
md=3
dev.=0.99

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=13
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=13
av.=4.31
md=5
dev.=0.95

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=14
av.=4.14
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=4.21
md=4.5
dev.=0.89

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Global Intellectual Property: Fundamental Principles (QLLM340)

No. of responses = 24 (66.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=23
av.=4.39
md=4
dev.=0.66

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=23
av.=4.35
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=23
av.=4.3
md=5
dev.=0.82

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=21
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=24
av.=4.04
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=24
av.=4.29
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=4.3
md=4
dev.=0.63

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=24
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.74

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=24
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Human Rights of Women 1 (QLLM467)

No. of responses = 14 (73.68%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=14
av.=4.29
md=4.5
dev.=0.91

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=14
av.=4.64
md=5
dev.=0.63

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=14
av.=4.36
md=5
dev.=0.93

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=13
av.=3.85
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=14
av.=3.29
md=3
dev.=1.07

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=14
av.=3.43
md=3
dev.=1.16

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=4.43
md=4.5
dev.=0.65

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=14
av.=4.29
md=5
dev.=1.14

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=13
av.=4.23
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Intellectual Property and Fashion: Art and Design (QLLM335)

No. of responses = 17 (58.62%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Interactive Entertainment and Intellectual Property Law (QLLM342)

No. of responses = 29 (96.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International and Comparative Law of Unfair Competition (QLLM338)

No. of responses = 13 (144.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International and Comparative Petroleum Law and Contracts (QLLM179)

No. of responses = 24 (82.76%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=24
av.=4.46
md=5
dev.=0.93

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=24
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.83

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=23
av.=4.35
md=5
dev.=0.98

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=21
av.=3.81
md=4
dev.=1.25

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=21
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=0.86

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=23
av.=3.87
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=24
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=0.87

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=24
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=24
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International and Comparative Social Justice 1 (QLLM469)
No. of responses = 6 (54.55%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.52
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	3 50%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4 md=4.5 dev.=1.26
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	3 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.83 md=3.5 dev.=0.98
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	2 33.3%	2 33.3%	2 33.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	3 50%	2 33.3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 16.7%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4 md=4.5 dev.=1.55
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	2 33.3%	2 33.3%	0 0%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.64
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	2 33.3%	0 0%	3 50%	1 16.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.22

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Arbitration Law and Practice I: Theory and Context (QLLM393)
No. of responses = 60 (71.43%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Commercial Arbitration (QLLM392)
No. of responses = 50 (64.1%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Commercial Law (QLLM419)
No. of responses = 40 (61.54%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Commercial Litigation (QLLM395)
No. of responses = 31 (83.78%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

		Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	
1.1)	The module is well taught	27 (87.1%), 4 (12.9%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=31 av.=4.87 md=5 dev.=0.34
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	24 (80%), 6 (20%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=30 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	26 (83.9%), 4 (12.9%), 1 (3.2%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=31 av.=4.81 md=5 dev.=0.48
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	21 (72.4%), 3 (10.3%), 5 (17.2%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=29 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	16 (53.3%), 4 (13.3%), 9 (30%), 1 (3.3%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=30 av.=4.17 md=5 dev.=0.99
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	14 (46.7%), 4 (13.3%), 11 (36.7%), 1 (3.3%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=30 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=1
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	22 (75.9%), 4 (13.8%), 3 (10.3%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=29 av.=4.66 md=5 dev.=0.67
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	26 (83.9%), 4 (12.9%), 0 (0%), 1 (3.2%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=31 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.62
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	23 (74.2%), 6 (19.4%), 2 (6.5%), 0 (0%), 0 (0%)	5 4 3 2 1	n=31 av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.6

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Competition Law (QLLM461)
No. of responses = 7 (87.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Construction Contracts and Dispute Resolution (QLLM391)
No. of responses = 56 (70%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=56
av.=4.89
md=5
dev.=0.31

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=56
av.=4.82
md=5
dev.=0.51

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=54
av.=4.81
md=5
dev.=0.39

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=52
av.=4.46
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=51
av.=4.22
md=5
dev.=1.03

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=51
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=55
av.=4.73
md=5
dev.=0.59

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=56
av.=4.91
md=5
dev.=0.29

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=54
av.=4.76
md=5
dev.=0.51

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Economic Law (QLLM376)
No. of responses = 20 (86.96%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies
International Energy Transactions (QLLM152)
No. of responses = 34 (73.91%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Environmental Law 1 (QLLM445)
No. of responses = 14 (73.68%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Human Rights Law 1 (QLLM478)
No. of responses = 28 (87.5%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=28
av.=4.18
md=4.5
dev.=1.12

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=27
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=28
av.=4.18
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=22
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=25
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=1.19

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=24
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=4.35
md=5
dev.=0.94

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=28
av.=4.21
md=4.5
dev.=1.03

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=27
av.=3.85
md=4
dev.=1.13

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Investment Law (QLLM187)
No. of responses = 19 (105.56%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=19
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.42

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=19
av.=4.53
md=5
dev.=0.61

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=18
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.71

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=17
av.=4.29
md=5
dev.=0.85

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=18
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.08

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=19
av.=4.21
md=5
dev.=0.98

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=19
av.=4.26
md=4
dev.=0.87

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=19
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=19
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Merger Control 1 (QLLM465)
No. of responses = 16 (94.12%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=16
av.=4.88
md=5
dev.=0.34

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=15
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.96

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=15
av.=4.27
md=5
dev.=0.96

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=15
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=0.9

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=16
av.=4.75
md=5
dev.=0.58

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=16
av.=4.56
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Refugee Law (QLLM176)
No. of responses = 19 (82.61%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Regulation of Shipping (QLLM383)
No. of responses = 19 (73.08%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	14 (73.7%)	4 (21.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.76
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	10 (52.6%)	8 (42.1%)	1 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.61
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	15 (78.9%)	2 (10.5%)	2 (10.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.67
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	8 (44.4%)	7 (38.9%)	3 (16.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.75
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	13 (68.4%)	3 (15.8%)	3 (15.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.77
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	12 (63.2%)	4 (21.1%)	3 (15.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.77
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	6 (31.6%)	9 (47.4%)	3 (15.8%)	1 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.85
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	11 (57.9%)	7 (36.8%)	1 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.61
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	8 (42.1%)	6 (31.6%)	5 (26.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.83

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

International Tax Law (QLLM424)
No. of responses = 45 (67.16%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies
Internet Content Regulation (CCDM018)
No. of responses = 7 (35%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	2 28.6%	5 71.4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.49
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 42.9%	4 57.1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.57 md=3 dev.=1.13
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.41
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	3 42.9%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 28.6%	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	2 28.6%	5 71.4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.49
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 28.6%	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Introduction to Law for Science and Engineering (IPLM701U/P)

No. of responses = 51 (69.86%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	34 69.4%	15 30.6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=49 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.47
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	30 62.5%	16 33.3%	2 4.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.58
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	34 70.8%	11 22.9%	3 6.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.6
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	29 60.4%	12 25%	6 12.5%	1 2.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.8
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	17 38.6%	7 15.9%	20 45.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	17 37%	9 19.6%	20 43.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=46 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.9
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	17 38.6%	8 18.2%	19 43.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.91
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	28 58.3%	18 37.5%	2 4.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.58
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	21 42.9%	10 20.4%	18 36.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=49 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.9

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Investment Treaty Arbitration (QLLM397)

No. of responses = 39 (76.47%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	32 82.1%	6 15.4%	1 2.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.47
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	32 82.1%	6 15.4%	1 2.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.47
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	28 73.7%	10 26.3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=38 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.45
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	30 78.9%	5 13.2%	3 7.9%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=38 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.61
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	27 69.2%	5 12.8%	7 17.9%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.79
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	27 69.2%	9 23.1%	3 7.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.63
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	29 74.4%	6 15.4%	3 7.7%	0 0%	1 2.6%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.85
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	33 84.6%	5 12.8%	1 2.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.45
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	33 84.6%	5 12.8%	1 2.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.45

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

IP and Business (QLLM415)
No. of responses = 70 (66.04%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

IP and the Creative Industries 1 (QLLM417)

No. of responses = 45 (78.95%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=45
av.=4.4
md=4
dev.=0.65

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=45
av.=4.36
md=4
dev.=0.71

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=43
av.=4.28
md=5
dev.=1.01

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=44
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=1

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=43
av.=3.7
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=43
av.=3.58
md=3
dev.=1.18

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=45
av.=4.18
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=45
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=44
av.=4.07
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Jurisprudence A (QLLM112)
No. of responses = 7 (63.64%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Law and Economics I (for Lawyers) (CCLE001)
No. of responses = 14 (82.35%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Law and Ethics in Finance (QLLM374)
No. of responses = 10 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Law and Finance in Emerging Economies (QLLM364)

No. of responses = 29 (63.04%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Law of Economic Crime 1 (QLLM436)

No. of responses = 20 (58.82%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Law of Treaties 1 (QLLM447)
No. of responses = 10 (55.56%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Licensing Intellectual Property (QLLM334)

No. of responses = 49 (96.08%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Media Law (QLLM410)
No. of responses = 20 (62.5%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=20
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=20
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.66

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=20
av.=4.65
md=5
dev.=0.59

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=19
av.=4.37
md=4
dev.=0.68

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=20
av.=3.65
md=3
dev.=0.88

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=20
av.=3.75
md=3
dev.=0.91

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=19
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=20
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=20
av.=3.7
md=4
dev.=0.86

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Mental Health Law: The Mental Capacity Act (QLLM455)

No. of responses = 11 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Multinational Enterprises 1 (QLLM421)

No. of responses = 6 (20.69%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

New Medical Technologies and the Law 1 (QLLM457)
No. of responses = 10 (66.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	4 40%	4 40%	1 10%	0 0%	1 10%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.25
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	5 50%	3 30%	1 10%	1 10%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.2 md=4.5 dev.=1.03
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	3 30%	4 40%	3 30%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	3 30%	4 40%	3 30%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	3 33.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	4 44.4%	1 11.1%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.17
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	4 44.4%	3 33.3%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	3 30%	2 20%	4 40%	1 10%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.7 md=3.5 dev.=1.06
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	6 66.7%	1 11.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=0.83

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Patent Law (IPLC023)
No. of responses = 63 (70%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Policing in Local and Global Contexts (QLLM311)

No. of responses = 9 (81.82%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Principles of International Criminal Law (QLLM475)

No. of responses = 14 (36.84%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=14
av.=3.5
md=3.5
dev.=1.16

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=14
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.36

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=14
av.=3.07
md=3
dev.=1.33

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=13
av.=3.23
md=3
dev.=1.3

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=11
av.=2.91
md=3
dev.=0.7

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=12
av.=2.92
md=3
dev.=1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=13
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=14
av.=3.79
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=3.29
md=3
dev.=1.27

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Principles of Medical Jurisprudence (QLLM453)

No. of responses = 8 (114.29%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Principles of Regulation (QLLM155)
No. of responses = 17 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	9 (52.9%)	5 (29.4%)	3 (17.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.79
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	8 (47.1%)	7 (41.2%)	2 (11.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.7
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9 (52.9%)	6 (35.3%)	2 (11.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.71
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	10 (66.7%)	2 (13.3%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.83
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	10 (58.8%)	3 (17.6%)	4 (23.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.86
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	8 (47.1%)	6 (35.3%)	3 (17.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.77
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 (29.4%)	10 (58.8%)	2 (11.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.64
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	9 (52.9%)	5 (29.4%)	2 (11.8%)	1 (5.9%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.92
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	7 (41.2%)	5 (29.4%)	5 (29.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.86

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Principles of Taxation (QLLM423)
No. of responses = 20 (95.24%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	10 52.6%	8 42.1%	0 0%	1 5.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.77
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	7 35%	12 60%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.57
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	4 21.1%	12 63.2%	3 15.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.62
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	5 27.8%	7 38.9%	4 22.2%	1 5.6%	1 5.6%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.11
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	2 11.1%	8 44.4%	7 38.9%	0 0%	1 5.6%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	3 15.8%	9 47.4%	6 31.6%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.95
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	3 16.7%	6 33.3%	7 38.9%	1 5.6%	1 5.6%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.04
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	6 31.6%	7 36.8%	4 21.1%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=1.12
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 27.8%	6 33.3%	5 27.8%	1 5.6%	1 5.6%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.13

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Public International & European Air Transport Law (QLLM433)

No. of responses = 7 (87.5%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Regulation of Financial Markets (QLLM366)

No. of responses = 59 (96.72%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=58
av.=4.62
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=59
av.=4.56
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=59
av.=4.61
md=5
dev.=0.53

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=54
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=54
av.=3.74
md=3
dev.=0.96

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=56
av.=3.5
md=3
dev.=1.01

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=57
av.=4.39
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=59
av.=4.58
md=5
dev.=0.62

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=58
av.=4.31
md=4
dev.=0.73

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Strategic Decision Making for Lawyers (QLLM150)

No. of responses = 25 (92.59%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Telecommunications Policy Law and Practice (QLLM408)

No. of responses = 8 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=8
av.=4.88
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=8
av.=4.88
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=8
av.=4.88
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=8
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=8
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=8
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.71

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=8
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.53

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4.38
md=4.5
dev.=0.74

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Terrorism and Human Rights: Constitutional Perspectives (QLLM173)

No. of responses = 10 (27.03%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=10
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=10
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.42

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=10
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.48

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=10
av.=4.3
md=4.5
dev.=0.82

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=9
av.=3.56
md=3
dev.=1.51

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=9
av.=2.78
md=3
dev.=1.79

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=10
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=10
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=10
av.=4.3
md=4.5
dev.=0.82

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

The Law of Film (QLLM344)
No. of responses = 13 (86.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

The Law of the European Convention on Human Rights (QLLM326)

No. of responses = 9 (81.82%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Trade Mark Law (IPLC021)
No. of responses = 33 (36.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Transfer Pricing (QLLM195)
No. of responses = 31 (72.09%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Transnational Law and Governance (QLLM314)

No. of responses = 17 (94.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

UK Business Taxation (QLLM427)
No. of responses = 14 (116.67%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	9 64.3%	2 14.3%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.85
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	9 64.3%	2 14.3%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.85
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	7 50%	2 14.3%	4 28.6%	1 7.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.07 md=4.5 dev.=1.07
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	4 28.6%	2 14.3%	8 57.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.71 md=3 dev.=0.91
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	10 76.9%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=0.77
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	2 15.4%	0 0%	11 84.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=0.75
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	3 21.4%	7 50%	4 28.6%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	8 57.1%	3 21.4%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.84
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	3 21.4%	4 28.6%	7 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.71 md=3.5 dev.=0.83

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

UK Taxation (QLLM426)
No. of responses = 6 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=6
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=6
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=1.21

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=6
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=6
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=1.33

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4.5
dev.=0.98

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

US International Taxation (QLLM429)

No. of responses = 11 (78.57%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

Use of Force in International Law (QLLM473)

No. of responses = 12 (57.14%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Centre for Commercial Law Studies

WTO Law: Market Access and Non-Discrimination (QLLM370)

No. of responses = 20 (66.67%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	16 80%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	16 80%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	11 55%	8 40%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.61
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	13 68.4%	4 21.1%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.84
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	15 75%	3 15%	1 5%	1 5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.82
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	14 70%	3 15%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.76
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	14 73.7%	3 15.8%	2 10.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.68
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	18 90%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.31
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	15 75%	3 15%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.67

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module