Department of Law

Criminal Law (Level 5) (LAW5005)

No. of responses = 70 (77.78%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law of the European Union (LAW5105)

No. of responses = 215 (78.75%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=214
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=0.86
ab.=1

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=215
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=214
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.78
ab.=1

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=213
av.=3.82
md=4
dev.=0.97
ab.=2

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=199
av.=3.53
md=3
dev.=0.86
ab.=16

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=194
av.=3.48
md=3
dev.=0.83
ab.=21

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=210
av.=3.74
md=4
dev.=1.04
ab.=5

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=215
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=211
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=0.92
ab.=4

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Tort Law (LAW5001)
No. of responses = 167 (62.78%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	95 56.9%	64 38.3%	6 3.6%	0 0%	2 1.2%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=167 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.68
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	89 53.3%	70 41.9%	6 3.6%	1 0.6%	1 0.6%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=167 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.66
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	84 50.3%	67 40.1%	13 7.8%	2 1.2%	1 0.6%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=167 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.73
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	50 30.5%	69 42.1%	36 22%	7 4.3%	2 1.2%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=164 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.9 ab.=3
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	26 16.5%	33 20.9%	96 60.8%	2 1.3%	1 0.6%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=158 av.=3.51 md=3 dev.=0.8 ab.=9
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	27 17.2%	54 34.4%	70 44.6%	4 2.5%	2 1.3%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=157 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.84 ab.=10
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	41 25.5%	62 38.5%	49 30.4%	5 3.1%	4 2.5%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=161 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.94 ab.=6
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	74 44.6%	63 38%	25 15.1%	2 1.2%	2 1.2%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=166 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.84 ab.=1
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	62 38%	48 29.4%	47 28.8%	5 3.1%	1 0.6%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=163 av.=4.01 md=4 dev.=0.92 ab.=4

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module