Department of Law

Commercial and Consumer Law (LAW6028A)

No. of responses = 29 (82.86%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Company Law (LAW6036)
No. of responses = 101 (73.72%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=101
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.56

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=101
av.=4.48
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=101
av.=4.66
md=5
dev.=0.53

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=101
av.=3.96
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=99
av.=3.64
md=3
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=97
av.=3.69
md=3
dev.=0.95
ab.=4

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=99
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=101
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.69

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=100
av.=4.07
md=4
dev.=0.91
ab.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Competition Law (LAW6048)
No. of responses = 46 (86.79%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=46
av.=4.61
md=5
dev.=0.54

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=46
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.63

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=46
av.=4.54
md=5
dev.=0.72

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=46
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=1.14

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=44
av.=3.66
md=3
dev.=0.83
ab.=2

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=44
av.=3.61
md=3
dev.=0.97
ab.=2

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=46
av.=3.65
md=3.5
dev.=1.02

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=46
av.=3.7
md=4
dev.=1.07

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=45
av.=3.67
md=4
dev.=1.09
ab.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Criminology (LAW6045)
No. of responses = 30 (76.92%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Equality and the Law (LAW6061)
No. of responses = 6 (85.71%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Equity and Trusts (LAW5003/LAW6056)
No. of responses = 153 (57.95%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=153
av.=4.52
md=5
dev.=0.62

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=151
av.=4.41
md=5
dev.=0.71
ab.=2

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=153
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.66

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=149
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.83
ab.=4

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=144
av.=3.69
md=3
dev.=0.91
ab.=9

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=143
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=0.97
ab.=10

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=151
av.=4.04
md=4
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=151
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.71
ab.=2

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=148
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.86
ab.=5

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Equity and Trusts (Level 6) (LAW6056)
No. of responses = 41 (83.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Family Law (LAW6031)

No. of responses = 55 (77.46%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law
Health Law (LAW6163)
No. of responses = 30 (76.92%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Intellectual property - copyright and related rights (LAW6455)

No. of responses = 96 (76.8%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

International Environmental Law (LAW6459)
No. of responses = 18 (50%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=18
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=18
av.=4.78
md=5
dev.=0.43

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=18
av.=4.28
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=18
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.71

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=18
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=0.97

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=18
av.=4.39
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=17
av.=4.35
md=4
dev.=0.7
ab.=1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=17
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.94
ab.=1

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=16
av.=3.81
md=4
dev.=0.98
ab.=2

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

International Human Rights Law (LAW6034)

No. of responses = 27 (64.29%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=27
av.=4.74
md=5
dev.=0.53

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=27
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.55

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=27
av.=4.59
md=5
dev.=0.57

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=27
av.=4.19
md=4
dev.=0.62

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=24
av.=3.58
md=3
dev.=0.83
ab.=3

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=25
av.=3.68
md=3
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=4.04
md=4
dev.=0.87
ab.=1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=27
av.=4.3
md=4
dev.=0.91

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=3.85
md=4
dev.=0.92
ab.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Jurisprudence and Legal Theory (LAW6021)

No. of responses = 161 (70.61%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Labour Law: Contract Law, Dismissal Rights and Workplace Justice (LAW6159)

No. of responses = 11 (39.29%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law and Globalisation: Companies, International Trade and Human Rights (LAW6003)

No. of responses = 29 (58%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=29
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=29
av.=4.31
md=4
dev.=0.6

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=28
av.=3.11
md=3
dev.=1.07
ab.=1

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=29
av.=3.66
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=26
av.=3.46
md=3
dev.=0.81
ab.=3

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=25
av.=3.48
md=3
dev.=1.05
ab.=4

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=29
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=28
av.=3.57
md=4
dev.=1.29
ab.=1

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=29
av.=3.48
md=3
dev.=0.87

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law and Literature: Justice in Crisis (LAW6015)

No. of responses = 21 (70%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law in Asia (LAW6461)
No. of responses = 23 (85.19%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

		Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	
1.1)	The module is well taught	6 (27.3%), 8 (36.4%), 7 (31.8%), 1 (4.5%), 0 (0%)		n=22 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.89 ab.=1
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	8 (36.4%), 12 (54.5%), 1 (4.5%), 1 (4.5%), 0 (0%)		n=22 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.75 ab.=1
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	7 (31.8%), 7 (31.8%), 6 (27.3%), 1 (4.5%), 1 (4.5%)		n=22 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.1 ab.=1
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	7 (31.8%), 7 (31.8%), 7 (31.8%), 0 (0%), 1 (4.5%)		n=22 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.04 ab.=1
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	5 (23.8%), 3 (14.3%), 12 (57.1%), 0 (0%), 1 (4.8%)		n=21 av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=1.03 ab.=2
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	6 (28.6%), 2 (9.5%), 11 (52.4%), 1 (4.8%), 1 (4.8%)		n=21 av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=1.12 ab.=2
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	10 (45.5%), 5 (22.7%), 6 (27.3%), 0 (0%), 1 (4.5%)		n=22 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=1.09 ab.=1
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	9 (40.9%), 9 (40.9%), 2 (9.1%), 2 (9.1%), 0 (0%)		n=22 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.94 ab.=1
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	7 (33.3%), 6 (28.6%), 6 (28.6%), 2 (9.5%), 0 (0%)		n=21 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.01 ab.=2

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law Modernity and the Holocaust (LAW6018)

No. of responses = 24 (60%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	3 13%	11 47.8%	6 26.1%	3 13%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=0.89 ab.=1
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	11 45.8%	7 29.2%	5 20.8%	1 4.2%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	4 16.7%	5 20.8%	7 29.2%	7 29.2%	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=1.17
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	2 8.3%	9 37.5%	11 45.8%	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.42 md=3 dev.=0.88
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	4 16.7%	3 12.5%	16 66.7%	1 4.2%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.42 md=3 dev.=0.83
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	6 25%	4 16.7%	12 50%	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.54 md=3 dev.=1.06
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	9 37.5%	7 29.2%	7 29.2%	1 4.2%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	14 58.3%	6 25%	2 8.3%	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=1.08
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	8 34.8%	5 21.7%	7 30.4%	1 4.3%	2 8.7%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.26 ab.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Law of Evidence (LAW6037)

No. of responses = 34 (75.56%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=34
av.=3.97
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=34
av.=4.15
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=33
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=1
ab.=1

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=34
av.=3.82
md=4
dev.=1.09

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=32
av.=3.56
md=3
dev.=0.88
ab.=2

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=32
av.=3.69
md=3
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=33
av.=3.61
md=4
dev.=1.09
ab.=1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=34
av.=4.06
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=34
av.=3.59
md=3
dev.=1.1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Media Law (LAW6006)
No. of responses = 13 (22.03%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	9 69.2%	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.04
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	9 69.2%	2 15.4%	2 15.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	5 38.5%	6 46.2%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.9
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	5 38.5%	3 23.1%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.3
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	1 9.1%	1 9.1%	9 81.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=0.65 ab.=2
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	1 9.1%	1 9.1%	9 81.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=0.65 ab.=2
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	2 15.4%	2 15.4%	8 61.5%	1 7.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=0.87
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	4 30.8%	5 38.5%	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.24
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	5 38.5%	1 7.7%	5 38.5%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.62 md=3 dev.=1.33

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law
Medical Negligence (LAW6013)
No. of responses = 22 (81.48%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Public International Law (LAW6032)
No. of responses = 25 (80.65%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=25
av.=3.68
md=4
dev.=1.07

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=25
av.=4.48
md=5
dev.=0.65

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=25
av.=2.76
md=3
dev.=1.27

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=24
av.=2.88
md=3
dev.=1.12
ab.=1

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=23
av.=3.35
md=3
dev.=1.07
ab.=2

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=22
av.=3.14
md=3
dev.=1.13
ab.=3

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=24
av.=3.96
md=4
dev.=0.91
ab.=1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=25
av.=3.48
md=4
dev.=1.36

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.48
md=3
dev.=0.9
ab.=2

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Revenue Law (LAW6026)

No. of responses = 37 (62.71%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

Securing Human Rights Compliance: A Case Study on the United Kingdom (LAW6457)

No. of responses = 8 (80%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=8
av.=4.63
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=8
av.=4.88
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=7
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=1.07
ab.=1

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=8
av.=3
md=2.5
dev.=1.51

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=7
av.=3.29
md=3
dev.=0.76
ab.=1

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=8
av.=3.5
md=3
dev.=0.76

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.07

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=8
av.=4.38
md=4.5
dev.=0.74

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=7
av.=4.57
md=5
dev.=0.53
ab.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

The Practice of Law in a Clinical Environment (LAW6156)

No. of responses = 22 (95.65%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	15 68.2%	6 27.3%	0 0%	1 4.5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.73
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	16 72.7%	4 18.2%	1 4.5%	1 4.5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.8
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	14 63.6%	6 27.3%	1 4.5%	0 0%	1 4.5%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.96
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	12 54.5%	8 36.4%	2 9.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.67
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	13 61.9%	4 19%	4 19%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.81 ab.=1
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	11 52.4%	6 28.6%	4 19%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=21 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.8 ab.=1
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	9 40.9%	6 27.3%	5 22.7%	2 9.1%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.02
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	9 40.9%	9 40.9%	2 9.1%	1 4.5%	1 4.5%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=1.06
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	10 45.5%	7 31.8%	5 22.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.81

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

United Kingdom Human Rights Law (LAW6010)

No. of responses = 23 (74.19%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=23
av.=4.43
md=5
dev.=0.66

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=23
av.=4.52
md=5
dev.=0.59

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=23
av.=4.65
md=5
dev.=0.57

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=23
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=22
av.=3.45
md=3
dev.=0.86
ab.=1

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=22
av.=3.73
md=4
dev.=0.77
ab.=1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.7
md=4
dev.=0.82

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=23
av.=4.35
md=4
dev.=0.65

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.91
md=4
dev.=0.73

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Department of Law

United Kingdom Human Rights Law (LAW6010A)

No. of responses = 20 (83.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	12 60%	6 30%	0 0%	1 5%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=1.09
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	7 35%	11 55%	1 5%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	13 65%	6 30%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.95
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	8 40%	6 30%	4 20%	1 5%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=1.15
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	5 25%	4 20%	10 50%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=1.05
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	4 20%	4 20%	10 50%	1 5%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=1.05
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	7 35%	9 45%	3 15%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=1
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	8 40%	11 55%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.91
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	7 35%	6 30%	6 30%	0 0%	1 5%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.07

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module