School of Business and Management

Accounting and Value Management (BUSM071)

No. of responses = 19 (35.85%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	10 52.6%	6 31.6%	2 10.5%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=1.05
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	11 57.9%	5 26.3%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=1.15
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	10 52.6%	5 26.3%	3 15.8%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.21 md=5 dev.=1.08
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	11 57.9%	7 36.8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.96
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	10 52.6%	7 36.8%	1 5.3%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=1
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	10 52.6%	6 31.6%	2 10.5%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=1.05
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	8 42.1%	9 47.4%	1 5.3%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.98
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	9 47.4%	8 42.1%	1 5.3%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.99
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	10 52.6%	6 31.6%	2 10.5%	0 0%	1 5.3%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=1.05

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Advanced Management Accounting (BUSM067)

No. of responses = 22 (27.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Applied Empirical Methods (BUSM112)

No. of responses = 27 (25.96%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Behavioural Finance and Decision Making (BUSM085)

No. of responses = 32 (32.65%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=32
av.=4.31
md=4
dev.=0.69

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=32
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=32
av.=4.31
md=4.5
dev.=0.9

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=32
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=32
av.=4.22
md=4.5
dev.=0.91

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=32
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=32
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=32
av.=4.19
md=4.5
dev.=1

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=32
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Brand Management (BUSM026)

No. of responses = 37 (18.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Contemporary Issues in Accounting (BUSM061)

No. of responses = 13 (38.24%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Corporate Governance (BUSM060)
No. of responses = 30 (23.81%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	9 30%	15 50%	6 20%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.71
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	9 30%	15 50%	3 10%	3 10%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.91
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	10 33.3%	15 50%	5 16.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.7
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	11 36.7%	13 43.3%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	11 36.7%	12 40%	7 23.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.78
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	10 33.3%	12 40%	7 23.3%	1 3.3%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.85
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	11 36.7%	15 50%	4 13.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.68
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	11 36.7%	17 56.7%	2 6.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.6
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	11 36.7%	15 50%	4 13.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.68

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Economics of Development (BUSM073)

No. of responses = 13 (36.11%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	12 (92.3%)	1 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.92 md=5 dev.=0.28
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.44
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	9 (69.2%)	4 (30.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.48
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.44

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Evidence-based Human Resource Management (BUSM136)

No. of responses = 10 (27.78%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Experiments for Business and Analytics (BUSM160)

No. of responses = 12 (42.86%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Global Supply Chain Management (BUSM091)

No. of responses = 31 (40.26%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=31
av.=4.55
md=5
dev.=0.93

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=31
av.=4.58
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=31
av.=4.58
md=5
dev.=0.92

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=31
av.=4.45
md=5
dev.=0.85

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=30
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=30
av.=4.2
md=4.5
dev.=0.92

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=31
av.=4.39
md=5
dev.=0.88

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=31
av.=4.65
md=5
dev.=0.66

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=31
av.=4.45
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Human Resource Management in the Public Services (BUSM077)

No. of responses = 27 (35.06%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

International Business Strategy (BUSM089)

No. of responses = 20 (23.26%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

International Finance (BUSM115)
No. of responses = 18 (31.03%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

International Marketing Communications (BUSM024)

No. of responses = 26 (16.46%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=26
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.23

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=25
av.=4.04
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=26
av.=3.62
md=4
dev.=1.33

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=26
av.=4.23
md=5
dev.=1.11

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=25
av.=3.52
md=3
dev.=1.16

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=25
av.=3.48
md=3
dev.=1.12

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=3.58
md=4
dev.=1.36

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=26
av.=4.27
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=3.58
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

International Reward Management (BUSM049)

No. of responses = 11 (22%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=11
av.=3.91
md=4
dev.=1.22

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=11
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=11
av.=4.27
md=5
dev.=1.01

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=11
av.=3.55
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=11
av.=3.36
md=3
dev.=1.29

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=11
av.=3.45
md=3
dev.=1.21

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=11
av.=3.64
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=11
av.=3.91
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=10
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Leadership in the Social and Public Sectors (BUSM149)

No. of responses = 25 (39.06%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Management Consulting (BUSM111)

No. of responses = 27 (19.42%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Multinationals and Global Business (BUSM028)

No. of responses = 23 (19.66%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

New Product Development and Business Ecosystems (BUSM084)

No. of responses = 28 (21.71%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Public Financial Management and Accounting (BUSM076)

No. of responses = 9 (25.71%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=9
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=9
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=9
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.22

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=9
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.6

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=9
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.67

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=9
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.71

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=9
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Research Methods for Accounting (BUSM143)

No. of responses = 23 (28.4%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=23
av.=3.48
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=23
av.=3.43
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=23
av.=3.26
md=4
dev.=1.25

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=23
av.=3.3
md=3
dev.=1.15

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=23
av.=3.35
md=3
dev.=1.03

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=23
av.=3.26
md=3
dev.=1.14

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.61
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=23
av.=3.61
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.65
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Research Methods for Human Resources Management (BUSM144)

No. of responses = 11 (22.45%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Research Methods for Management (BUSM145)

No. of responses = 50 (28.25%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Research Methods for Marketing (BUSM098)

No. of responses = 34 (17%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Risk and Crisis Management (BUSM117)

No. of responses = 15 (23.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=15
av.=4.47
md=4
dev.=0.52

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=15
av.=4.47
md=5
dev.=0.64

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=15
av.=4.47
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=15
av.=4.27
md=5
dev.=0.96

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=13
av.=4.15
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=13
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=15
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=1.18

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=15
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=15
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.72

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management

Social and Political Marketing (BUSM095)

No. of responses = 26 (17.93%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=26
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=26
av.=4.19
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=26
av.=3.54
md=4
dev.=1.3

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=26
av.=3.69
md=4
dev.=1.32

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=24
av.=3.75
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=25
av.=3.88
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=26
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=26
av.=3.31
md=3.5
dev.=1.38

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=25
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely agree

Definitely disagree

n=26
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.06

School of Business and Management

Social and Sustainable Innovation (BUSM146)

No. of responses = 14 (24.14%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	7 50%	6 42.9%	1 7.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.5 dev.=0.65
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	9 64.3%	4 28.6%	1 7.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.65
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	6 42.9%	7 50%	0 0%	1 7.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	5 35.7%	6 42.9%	1 7.1%	1 7.1%	1 7.1%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.21
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	6 42.9%	5 35.7%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.8
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	7 50%	5 35.7%	1 7.1%	1 7.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.29 md=4.5 dev.=0.91
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	5 35.7%	6 42.9%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.77
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	4 28.6%	7 50%	3 21.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	4 28.6%	8 57.1%	2 14.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.66

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module