


School of Business and Management

Business Law (BUS205)  
No. of responses = 56 (30.6%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality Index
1.1) The module is well taught	3 (10%)	5 (16.7%)	7 (23.3%)	3 (10%)	12 (40%)		n=30 av.=2.47 md=2.5 dev.=1.43
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	4 (13.3%)	5 (16.7%)	10 (33.3%)	1 (3.3%)	10 (33.3%)		n=30 av.=2.73 md=3 dev.=1.44
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	3 (10%)	7 (23.3%)	5 (16.7%)	5 (16.7%)	10 (33.3%)		n=30 av.=2.6 md=2.5 dev.=1.43
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	5 (16.7%)	9 (30%)	5 (16.7%)	5 (16.7%)	6 (20%)		n=30 av.=3.07 md=3 dev.=1.41
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	5 (16.7%)	10 (33.3%)	8 (26.7%)	2 (6.7%)	5 (16.7%)		n=30 av.=3.27 md=3.5 dev.=1.31
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	7 (23.3%)	7 (23.3%)	5 (16.7%)	7 (23.3%)	4 (13.3%)		n=30 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.4
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	2 (6.7%)	6 (20%)	11 (36.7%)	4 (13.3%)	7 (23.3%)		n=30 av.=2.73 md=3 dev.=1.23
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	3 (10%)	11 (36.7%)	6 (20%)	5 (16.7%)	5 (16.7%)		n=30 av.=3.07 md=3 dev.=1.28
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	1 (3.4%)	9 (31%)	11 (37.9%)	4 (13.8%)	4 (13.8%)		n=29 av.=2.97 md=3 dev.=1.09

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Ethics and Business (BUS212)

No. of responses = 36 (27.48%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module






School of Business and Management

Financial Institutions (BUS201)  
No. of responses = 13 (16.67%)






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol



1. Rate this module


Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality index
1.1) The module is well taught	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%	0%	0%	Yellow light n=13 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.04
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	30.8%	38.5%	30.8%	0%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	0%	Yellow light n=13 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.41
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	46.2%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.99
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%	0%	7.7%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.22
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	30.8%	46.2%	23.1%	0%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.76
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	46.2%	15.4%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.08
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	84.6%	7.7%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.6
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	46.2%	15.4%	38.5%	0%	0%	0%	Green light n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.95

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Microeconomics for Managers (BUS208)

No. of responses = 47 (27.01%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module





School of Business and Management


Operations Management (BUS002)

No. of responses = 55 (25.82%)



Legend




Question text



n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
md=Median  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Research Methodology (BUS007)

No. of responses = 30 (28.04%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Services Marketing (BUS240)

No. of responses = 21 (34.43%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module





Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Business and Management

Strategy (BUS204)  
No. of responses = 47 (27.17%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

