


Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality index
1.1) The module is well taught	7 (41.2%)	8 (47.1%)	1 (5.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4.18, md=4, dev.=1.01
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	6 (35.3%)	10 (58.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4.18, md=4, dev.=0.95
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	6 (35.3%)	9 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4.06, md=4, dev.=1.09
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	9 (52.9%)	7 (41.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4.35, md=5, dev.=1
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	4 (23.5%)	8 (47.1%)	4 (23.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=3.82, md=4, dev.=1.01
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	4 (23.5%)	6 (35.3%)	6 (35.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=3.71, md=4, dev.=1.05
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	8 (47.1%)	6 (35.3%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4.12, md=4, dev.=1.17
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	6 (35.3%)	8 (47.1%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4, md=4, dev.=1.12
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	5 (29.4%)	9 (52.9%)	2 (11.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)		n=17, av.=4, md=4, dev.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Business and Management

Corporate Reporting (BUSM113)
No. of responses = 17 (33.33%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality Index
1.1) The module is well taught	2 (11.8%)	2 (11.8%)	8 (47.1%)	2 (11.8%)	3 (17.6%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=2.88 md=3 dev.=1.22
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	2 (11.8%)	4 (23.5%)	9 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	2 (11.8%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=2.24 md=3 dev.=1.09
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	2 (12.5%)	2 (12.5%)	7 (43.8%)	3 (18.8%)	2 (12.5%)	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=2.94 md=3 dev.=1.18
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	4 (23.5%)	2 (11.8%)	7 (41.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (11.8%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.47 md=3 dev.=1.23
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	2 (12.5%)	2 (12.5%)	9 (56.3%)	1 (6.3%)	2 (12.5%)	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=1.12
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	1 (5.9%)	3 (17.6%)	9 (52.9%)	2 (11.8%)	2 (11.8%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=2.94 md=3 dev.=1.03
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	3 (17.6%)	4 (23.5%)	5 (29.4%)	2 (11.8%)	3 (17.6%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.36
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	3 (18.8%)	6 (37.5%)	3 (18.8%)	1 (6.3%)	3 (18.8%)	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=3.31 md=4 dev.=1.4
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	3 (17.6%)	5 (29.4%)	5 (29.4%)	2 (11.8%)	2 (11.8%)	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.26

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Financial Reporting (BUSM054)

No. of responses = 18 (25.35%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Management Control (BUSM116)

No. of responses = 18 (35.29%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Managing Diversity (BUSM017)

No. of responses = 16 (32%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Business and Management


Strategic Analysis (BUSM147)

No. of responses = 5 (17.86%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.14</p>
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3 md=2 dev.=1.41</p>
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.14</p>
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=2.8 md=2 dev.=1.3</p>
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.45</p>
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.14</p>
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.14</p>
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=0.89</p>
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well		Definitely disagree	<p>n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.14</p>

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality index
1.1) The module is well taught	20 (44.4%)	17 (37.8%)	4 (8.9%)	3 (6.7%)	1 (2.2%)		n=45, av.=4.16, md=4, dev.=1
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	20 (44.4%)	20 (44.4%)	3 (6.7%)	1 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)		n=45, av.=4.27, md=4, dev.=0.86
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	23 (51.1%)	10 (22.2%)	7 (15.6%)	2 (4.4%)	3 (6.7%)		n=45, av.=4.07, md=5, dev.=1.21
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	19 (42.2%)	18 (40%)	5 (11.1%)	3 (6.7%)	0 (0%)		n=45, av.=4.18, md=4, dev.=0.89
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	17 (38.6%)	11 (25%)	14 (31.8%)	2 (4.5%)	0 (0%)		n=44, av.=3.98, md=4, dev.=0.95
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	19 (42.2%)	12 (26.7%)	13 (28.9%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0%)		n=45, av.=4.09, md=4, dev.=0.9
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	16 (35.6%)	17 (37.8%)	3 (6.7%)	8 (17.8%)	1 (2.2%)		n=45, av.=3.87, md=4, dev.=1.16
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	18 (40%)	15 (33.3%)	6 (13.3%)	4 (8.9%)	2 (4.4%)		n=45, av.=3.96, md=4, dev.=1.15
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	17 (38.6%)	16 (36.4%)	7 (15.9%)	4 (9.1%)	0 (0%)		n=44, av.=4.05, md=4, dev.=0.96

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality Index
1.1) The module is well taught	38 70.4%	13 24.1%	2 3.7%	0 0%	1 1.9%		n=54 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.74
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	34 63%	18 33.3%	1 1.9%	1 1.9%	0 0%		n=54 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.63
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	38 70.4%	13 24.1%	1 1.9%	1 1.9%	1 1.9%		n=54 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.79
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	40 74.1%	11 20.4%	1 1.9%	1 1.9%	1 1.9%		n=54 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	32 60.4%	19 35.8%	1 1.9%	0 0%	1 1.9%		n=53 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.72
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	35 67.3%	15 28.8%	1 1.9%	0 0%	1 1.9%		n=52 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.72
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	31 57.4%	18 33.3%	4 7.4%	0 0%	1 1.9%		n=54 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.79
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	32 60.4%	17 32.1%	3 5.7%	1 1.9%	0 0%		n=53 av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.7
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	31 57.4%	17 31.5%	6 11.1%	0 0%	0 0%		n=54 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.69

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

