Interventions (DRA120) () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 56 (%)							<u>k</u>	Queen University of L	n N	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of al Relative Frequencies of al Lef		Mean 0 50 0% 50% 4 3	Media 0 0% 2 Histogra	25 25% H		Right pole	av = md dev. ab.=	lo. of r Mean Media Std. Abste	Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin		Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the				Mean value is with quality guideline.	in th	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	33 58.9%	13 23.2%	6 10.7% 3	4 7.1% 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=56 av.=4.34 md=5 dev.=0.94
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimu	lating	Definitely agree	33 60%	9 16.4%	9 16.4% 1	4 7.3% 2		Definitely disagree		n=55 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.99
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and	I runs smoothly	Definitely agree	29 52.7%	8 14.5%	12 21.8%	6 10.9% 2	 	Definitely disagree		n=55 av.=4.09 md=5 dev.=1.09
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking on th been made clear in advance	le module have	Definitely agree	24 45.3%	10 18.9%	11 20.8%	6 11.3% 2	2 3.8% 1	Definitely disagree		n=53 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.21
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments	s on my work	Definitely agree	27 48.2%	11 19.6%	11 19.6%	4 7.1% 2	3 5.4%	Definitely disagree		n=56 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=1.21
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books have supported my learning well	, online services)	Definitely agree	18 34%	10 18.9%	18 34%	5 9.4% 2	2 3.8% 1	Definitely disagree		n=53 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.15
^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	27 49.1%	15 27.3%	10 18.2% H	3 5.5%	 	Definitely disagree		n=55 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. e facilities, software, collections) ha learning well	quipment, ive supported my	Definitely agree	20 37%	14 25.9%	16 29.6%	3 5.6% 2	 1.9% 1	Definitely disagree		n=54 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.10)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	ality of the	Definitely agree	28 51.9%	10 18.5%	8 14.8%	7 13%	1.9% 1.9%	Definitely disagree		n=54 av.=4.06 md=5 dev.=1.17



Legend Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of response: av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	ue is below the	Mean is within the range of		Quality index
Description of quality symbol	ideline.	tolerance for the quality guideline.	dual	ity guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	17 15 1 0 51.5% 45.5% 3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=33 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree n=33 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Y Definitely agree	17 12 2 1 53.1% 37.5% 6.3% 3.1% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	17 13 3 0 51.5% 39.4% 9.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.1
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	9 13 11 0 27.3% 39.4% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree md=4 dev.=0.
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online service have supported my learning well	es) Definitely agree	6 12 15 0 18.2% 36.4% 45.5% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=33 av=3.7 md=4 dev.=0.
^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	9 19 5 0 27.3% 57.6% 15.2% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av=4.1 md=4 dev=0.
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported i learning well	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	Definitely disagree av=3.8 md=4 dev=0.
^{1.10)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	14 15 4 0 42.4% 45.5% 12.1% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=33 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.