English and Drama

Action Design (DRA245)

No. of responses = 14 (93.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



English and Drama

Performance Art in the 1970s (DRA266)

No. of responses = 7 (33.33%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



English and Drama

The Senses in Performance (DRA258)

No. of responses = 13 (61.9%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



English and Drama

Theatre Writings (DRA218)

No. of responses = 15 (71.43%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=15
av.=4.73
md=5
dev.=0.46

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=15
av.=4.87
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=15
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.68

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=15
av.=2.8
md=3
dev.=0.77

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=15
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=15
av.=3.87
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=15
av.=3.4
md=3
dev.=1.18

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=15
av.=3.93
md=4
dev.=0.7

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=15
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.49

English and Drama

Voice, Gender, Performance (DRA268)

No. of responses = 12 (57.14%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



London/Archives/Documentation
(DRA265)

No. of responses = 36

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=36
av.=4.58
md=5
dev.=0.5

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=36
av.=3.75
md=4
dev.=1.08

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=36
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=36
av.=3.97
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=36
av.=4.53
md=5
dev.=0.65

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=35
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=36
av.=3.39
md=4
dev.=1.18

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=36
av.=3.97
md=4
dev.=0.7

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=34
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=1.07

Race and Racism in Performance (DRA263)

No. of responses = 27

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	20 74.1%	6 22.2%	1 3.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.54
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	20 74.1%	6 22.2%	0 0%	1 3.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.68
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	19 70.4%	7 25.9%	1 3.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.55
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	19 70.4%	8 29.6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.47
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	20 74.1%	6 22.2%	1 3.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.54
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	12 44.4%	8 29.6%	7 25.9%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	17 63%	8 29.6%	2 7.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.64
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	11 42.3%	14 53.8%	1 3.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.57
1.10)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	19 70.4%	7 25.9%	0 0%	1 3.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.69