


Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well.




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well.




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well.




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well.




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol



Mean value is below the quality guideline.



Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality Index	Statistics
1.1) The module is well taught	16 (16.5%)	40 (41.2%)	24 (24.7%)	12 (12.4%)	5 (5.2%)			n=97 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.07
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	14 (16.5%)	30 (35.3%)	28 (32.9%)	6 (7.1%)	7 (8.2%)			n=85 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.11
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	22 (23.7%)	44 (47.3%)	23 (24.7%)	3 (3.2%)	1 (1.1%)			n=93 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.84
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	21 (23.3%)	34 (37.8%)	27 (30%)	7 (7.8%)	1 (1.1%)			n=90 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	37 (42%)	32 (36.4%)	15 (17%)	4 (4.5%)	0 (0%)			n=88 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.87
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	24 (27.3%)	30 (34.1%)	23 (26.1%)	8 (9.1%)	3 (3.4%)			n=88 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.07
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	14 (14.7%)	23 (24.2%)	38 (40%)	12 (12.6%)	8 (8.4%)			n=95 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.12
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	47 (50.5%)	34 (36.6%)	8 (8.6%)	3 (3.2%)	1 (1.1%)			n=93 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.85
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well.	23 (24.5%)	36 (38.3%)	28 (29.8%)	5 (5.3%)	2 (2.1%)			n=94 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.95

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

