

# School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Accounting for Business Decisions (IFP3017)

No. of responses = 12 (85.71%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film


English Language and Study Skills (IFJ3000)

No. of responses = 26 (89.66%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Independent Study Project (IFP3020)

No. of responses = 67 (64.42%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module





### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




# School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Introduction to Politics (IFJ3003)  
No. of responses = 11 (45.83%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality index
1.1) The module is well taught	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.35
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	0%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=2.64 md=3 dev.=1.21
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.12
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	0%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=2.89 md=3 dev.=0.78
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.21
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=1.13
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	10%	60%	20%	10%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=0.82
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=0.82

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Management (IFP3018)  
No. of responses = 64 (83.12%)






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol



1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module






School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Marketing (IFP3019)  
No. of responses = 19 (100%)






Legend


Question text




Description of quality symbol



1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

The Human Geography of London (IFP3006)

No. of responses = 17 (50%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film


Twentieth-Century History I (IFJ3001)

No. of responses = 15 (93.75%)






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

