
## School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Philosophy of Language (LIN601) No. of responses = 18 (52.94%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

## 1. Rate this module The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.43 38.9% 38.9% 1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating n=18 av.=4.17 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree dev.=0.79 .2% 17.6% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=17 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 35 17.6% The criteria used in marking have been clear in Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.12 md=4 advance dev.=0.93 29.4% 5.9% 58.8% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=17 av.=3.59 md=3 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines įĕį 5 n n <sup>1.6)</sup> I have received helpful comments on my work n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.81 md=3.5 dev.=0.91 27.8% 38.9% n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.87 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree have supported my learning well ĕ 0 44.4% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=18 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.69 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 27 44 n=18 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.86 Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely disagree

