


Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Sociolinguistic Theory (LIN7002)
No. of responses = 9 (112.5%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Question text	Definitely agree	4	3	2	1	Definitely disagree	Quality index
1.1) The module is well taught	7 (87.5%)	1 (12.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=8, av.=4.88, md=5, dev.=0.35
1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating	7 (77.8%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.78, md=5, dev.=0.44
1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly	6 (66.7%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.67, md=5, dev.=0.5
1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	5 (55.6%)	1 (11.1%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.22, md=5, dev.=0.97
1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	8 (88.9%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.89, md=5, dev.=0.33
1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work	7 (77.8%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.78, md=5, dev.=0.44
1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	4 (44.4%)	2 (22.2%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.11, md=4, dev.=0.93
1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	6 (66.7%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=4.67, md=5, dev.=0.5
1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	3 (33.3%)	1 (11.1%)	5 (55.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		n=9, av.=3.78, md=3, dev.=0.97

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film


Trends in Linguistic Research (LIN7027)

No. of responses = 7 (87.5%)



Legend




Question text



n=No. of responses
 av.=Mean
 md=Median
 dev.=Std. Dev.
 ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

