School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Acoustic Analysis of Speech (LIN5200)
No. of responses = 14 (63.64%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Aspects of Meaning (LIN503)
No. of responses = 27 (50%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=25
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=25
av.=3.88
md=4
dev.=0.73

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=24
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.61

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=24
av.=3.21
md=3
dev.=1.18

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=23
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=22
av.=3.68
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=22
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0.93

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=25
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=23
av.=3.35
md=3
dev.=0.88

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Language and Ethnicity (LIN504)
No. of responses = 15 (51.72%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	7 46.7%	7 46.7%	1 6.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.63
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	5 33.3%	7 46.7%	3 20%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.74
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9 60%	4 26.7%	2 13.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.74
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	4 26.7%	6 40%	5 33.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.8
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	11 73.3%	4 26.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.73 md=5 dev.=0.46
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	10 66.7%	3 20%	2 13.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.74
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 13.3%	6 40%	6 40%	0 0%	1 6.7%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.99
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	7 50%	7 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.52
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	3 20%	6 40%	6 40%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.77

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Language and Mind (LIN213)
No. of responses = 22 (40.74%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Linguistic Typology and Grammatical Theory (LIN5207)

No. of responses = 21 (87.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=20
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=20
av.=4.55
md=5
dev.=0.6

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=20
av.=4.75
md=5
dev.=0.55

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=19
av.=4.53
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=19
av.=4.89
md=5
dev.=0.32

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=20
av.=4.85
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=20
av.=3.7
md=3.5
dev.=1.08

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=19
av.=4.21
md=4
dev.=0.79

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=21
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Languages, Linguistics and Film

Sociolinguistic Variation and Change (LIN502)

No. of responses = 17 (65.38%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=15
av.=4.07
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=16
av.=3.81
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=15
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=15
av.=3.53
md=4
dev.=1.3

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=16
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=16
av.=3.56
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=15
av.=3.47
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=15
av.=3.6
md=4
dev.=1.4

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=14
av.=3.21
md=3
dev.=1.25

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module