School of Politics and International Relations

Africa and International Politics (POL372)

No. of responses = 49 (50.52%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Analysing Public Policy (POL350)
No. of responses = 46 (58.23%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Global Ethics (POL385)
No. of responses = 42 (67.74%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Globalisation: Issues and Debates (POL355)

No. of responses = 41 (45.56%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Parliamentary Studies (POL373)

No. of responses = 41 (78.85%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Race and Racism in World Politics (POL377)

No. of responses = 49 (66.22%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Radical Politics Today (POL379)
No. of responses = 33 (67.35%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

The Politics of Southeast Asia (POL381)

No. of responses = 24 (50%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	20 83.3%	4 16.7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	18 78.3%	4 17.4%	1 4.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.54
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	19 82.6%	3 13%	1 4.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.52
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	18 75%	5 20.8%	1 4.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.55
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	23 95.8%	1 4.2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.96 md=5 dev.=0.2
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	19 79.2%	5 20.8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	13 54.2%	9 37.5%	2 8.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.66
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	14 58.3%	7 29.2%	3 12.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.72
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	14 58.3%	6 25%	4 16.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.78

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

The Politics of the Post-Colonial Middle East (POL365)

No. of responses = 81 (70.43%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	64 80%	14 17.5%	1 1.3%	0 0%	1 1.3%	Definitely disagree	n=80 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.61
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	65 81.3%	13 16.3%	1 1.3%	0 0%	1 1.3%	Definitely disagree	n=80 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.6
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	59 75.6%	17 21.8%	1 1.3%	1 1.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=78 av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.56
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	46 59%	21 26.9%	5 6.4%	2 2.6%	4 5.1%	Definitely disagree	n=78 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=1.06
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	57 72.2%	17 21.5%	2 2.5%	2 2.5%	1 1.3%	Definitely disagree	n=79 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.77
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	46 59%	17 21.8%	10 12.8%	5 6.4%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=78 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.94
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	34 43.6%	26 33.3%	11 14.1%	4 5.1%	3 3.8%	Definitely disagree	n=78 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1.07
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	52 65.8%	19 24.1%	6 7.6%	1 1.3%	1 1.3%	Definitely disagree	n=79 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.8
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	36 46.8%	24 31.2%	16 20.8%	0 0%	1 1.3%	Definitely disagree	n=77 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.87

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

US Foreign Policy (POL358)

No. of responses = 52 (66.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=48
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.7

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=48
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=50
av.=4.14
md=4
dev.=0.76

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=49
av.=3.69
md=4
dev.=1.14

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=47
av.=3.43
md=3
dev.=0.83

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=48
av.=3.4
md=3
dev.=0.79

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=50
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=50
av.=4.36
md=4.5
dev.=0.75

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=50
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Utopia and Dystopia: Political, Economic and Literary Dreamworlds (POL380)

No. of responses = 30 (54.55%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module