
Interventions DRA120 Sem2 2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 23 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.


Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n = 20Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=1.38 61.9% 23.8% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 9.5% .1% 42.9% n=21 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.96 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 71.4% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines dev.=0.98 12 60% n=20 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.99 I have received helpful comments on my work Definitely agree Definitely disagree 9 42.9% 8 38.1% 0 0% n=21 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.92 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree have supported my learning well 13 61.9% 0 28.6% n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.68 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Ţ Definitely disagree contribution to this module 23.8% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=21 av.=4.19 md=5 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=20 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=1.37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

n=22 av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=1.08






Performance_Texts_in_Practice_DRA118_Sem2_2018_taught_questionnaire () Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 18 (%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.








Popular_Theatre_&_Performance_DRA119_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 26 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=22 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.48 14 0 66.7% 28.6% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=4.62 md=5 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree dev.=0.59 3 45% n=20 av.=4.45 md=4.5 dev.=0.6 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 69.6% 17.4% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=23 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines 36.4% 50% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=22 av.=4.36 md=4.5 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 0 n The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.75 have supported my learning well 0 10 n=20 av.=4.3 md=4.5 dev.=0.8 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 0 31.8% 22.7% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=22 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.81 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 66. 28.6% 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=21 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.59 Definitely agree Ŧ Definitely disagree module

n=22 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.8

