
Costume Drama (DRA264) No. of responses = 17 (80.95%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality



n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.63





Making Contemporary Theatre (DRA220) No. of responses = 8 (53.33%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality







n=4 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.26

Performing Illness and Disability (DRA267) No. of responses = 15 (71.43%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality








n=12 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=1.24

Performing Shakespeare (DRA205) No. of responses = 7 (46.67%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality



The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)




n=4 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.5 Group_Practical_Project_DRA242_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 13 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=1.48 9.1% 18.2% 54.5% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.09 md=1 dev.=1.45 5 27.3% 9.1% 18.2% 45.5% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 av.=2.36 md=2 dev.=1.57 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 54.5% 9.1% Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.55 md=3 dev.=1.21 accordance with the stated deadlines 16.7% 8.3% 33.3% 33.3% I have received helpful comments on my work n=12 av.=2.42 md=2 dev.=1.51 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 41.7% 33.3% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=1.19 have supported my learning well 16.7% 33.3% n=12 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=1.38 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 36.4% 27.3% 9.1% 27.3% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.69 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my įĕį learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=12 av.=1.83 md=1 dev.=1.34 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module :






London_Performance_Now_DRA261_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 29 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=27 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1.18 39.1% 47.8% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=23 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 3 n=25 av.=4.12 md=5 dev.=1.17 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 87.5% Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.83 md=5 accordance with the stated deadlines dev.=0.48 18 78.3% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=23 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 10 38.5% 26.9% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.16 have supported my learning well 32 14.3% 46.4% n=28 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.94 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 25.9% 22.2% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=27 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=26 av.=4.23 md=5 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

