English and Drama
Cultural Politics and Performance (DRA259/4)
No. of responses = 7 (33.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



English and Drama

London Performance Now (DRA261/2) No. of responses = 15 (71.43%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

		Definitely agree					Definitely disagree	
1.1)	The module is well taught	12 (80%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=15 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.41
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	8 (61.5%)	5 (38.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.51
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	9 (69.2%)	4 (30.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.48
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	12 (85.7%)	2 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=14 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	5 (35.7%)	7 (50%)	1 (7.1%)	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	5	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.86
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	6 (40%)	7 (46.7%)	0 (0%)	2 (13.3%)	0 (0%)	5	n=15 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.99
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	8 (53.3%)	5 (33.3%)	1 (6.7%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	5	n=15 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.9
1.10)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	11 (84.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	n=13 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=14
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.43

English and Drama
Cultural Politics and Performance (DRA259/3)
No. of responses = 8 (38.1%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	4 50%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52
1.4)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	4 50%	1 12.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.71
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	7 87.5%	0 0%	0 0%	1 12.5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=1.06
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 25%	2 25%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.75 md=3.5 dev.=0.89
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	4 50%	2 25%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4.5 dev.=1.13
1.10)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	4 50%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



English and Drama
London Performance Now (DRA261/1)
No. of responses = 19 (90.48%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=17
av.=4.59
md=5
dev.=0.62

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=18
av.=4.06
md=4
dev.=1.11

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=18
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=18
av.=4.22
md=4
dev.=0.81

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=18
av.=4.56
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=18
av.=3.39
md=3
dev.=1.09

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=18
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=1.18

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=18
av.=3.44
md=3
dev.=0.86

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=18
av.=4.39
md=5
dev.=1.14

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=18
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=1.18

English and Drama
Making Contemporary Theatre (DRA220/2)
No. of responses = 9 (60%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=1.24

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=9
av.=3.22
md=3
dev.=1.3

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=9
av.=3.11
md=3
dev.=1.27

1.4) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=8
av.=3.25
md=3
dev.=0.71

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=7
av.=3.71
md=3
dev.=0.95

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=9
av.=3
md=3
dev.=1.5

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=9
av.=3.44
md=3
dev.=1.13

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=8
av.=3.63
md=4
dev.=1.19

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

n=8
av.=3
md=3
dev.=1.31

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)