
SED_Sem1_2018-19_Poetry_ESH124_combined () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 120 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality quideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=115 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.85 33 55 16 29.5% 49.1% 14.3% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=112 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ě 26.9% 34.3% 20.4% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=108 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.12 been made clear in advance ĕ 50 32.7% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=113 av.=4.12 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines dev.=0.98 37 31.9% 46.6% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=116 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 55 48.2% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=114 av.=3.35 md=3 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree have supported my learning well 51 44.3% 10.4% n=115 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.73 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 47 41.2% 34.2% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=114 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my įĕį learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=115 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module





n=109 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.13