Department of Law

Commercial and Consumer Law (LAW6028)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 25 (58.14%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	12 48%	9 36%	4 16%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.75
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	10 40%	10 40%	5 20%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.76
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	11 44%	11 44%	2 8%	1 4%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	11 44%	9 36%	5 20%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.78
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	8 34.8%	7 30.4%	8 34.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.85
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	8 36.4%	8 36.4%	6 27.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.81
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	8 32%	7 28%	9 36%	1 4%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	9 36%	9 36%	7 28%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.81
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 20.8%	8 33.3%	11 45.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.79

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=25
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=25
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.8

Department of Law

Company Law (LAW6036)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 61 (51.69%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=61
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=61
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=0.82

Department of Law

Comparative Law (LAW6164)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 21 (75%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=20
av.=3.55
md=3
dev.=1.05

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=21
av.=3.67
md=4
dev.=0.86

Department of Law

Competition Law (LAW6048)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 35 (52.24%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Criminology (LAW6045) Seminar
Leader:
No. of responses = 29 (70.73%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Cultural Diversity and Law (LAW6458)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 13 (52%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	6 46.2%	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1.12
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	7 53.8%	5 38.5%	1 7.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.66
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 38.5%	5 38.5%	3 23.1%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.8
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	4 30.8%	3 23.1%	4 30.8%	2 15.4%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.11
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	2 20%	0 0%	8 80%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.84
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	2 20%	0 0%	8 80%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.84
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 45.5%	4 36.4%	2 18.2%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	8 61.5%	4 30.8%	0 0%	1 7.7%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.88
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 41.7%	3 25%	3 25%	1 8.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.04

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=13
av.=4.23
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=13
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.12

Department of Law

Equity and Trusts (LAW5003/LAW6056)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 176 (61.32%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=175
av.=4.31
md=4
dev.=0.73

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=175
av.=4.18
md=4
dev.=0.82

Department of Law

Family Law (LAW6031)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 40 (56.34%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	14 35%	16 40%	8 20%	2 5%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.88
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	16 40%	20 50%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.65
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	11 27.5%	12 30%	9 22.5%	8 20%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.1
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	12 30%	18 45%	7 17.5%	3 7.5%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.89
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	20 50%	12 30%	6 15%	2 5%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.9
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	17 42.5%	14 35%	6 15%	3 7.5%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	10 25%	16 40%	10 25%	4 10%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	13 33.3%	16 41%	8 20.5%	2 5.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=39 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.87
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	13 32.5%	13 32.5%	13 32.5%	1 2.5%	0 0%	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.88

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=40
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=40
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.93

Department of Law

Health Law (LAW6163)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 37 (62.71%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Intellectual property - Copyright and Related Rights (LAW6455B)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 50 (65.79%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=50
av.=4.46
md=5
dev.=0.61

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=50
av.=4.28
md=4
dev.=0.67

Department of Law

Jurisprudence and Legal Theory (LAW6021)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 127 (62.25%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Labour Law: Individual Protections, Human Rights and Workplace Justice (LAW6157)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 14 (53.85%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=14
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.43

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=14
av.=4.86
md=5
dev.=0.36

Department of Law

Law and Globalisation (LAW6463)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 6 (17.65%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance



n=6
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.84

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=5
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=5
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.89

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4.5
dev.=1.17

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4.17
md=4.5
dev.=0.98

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

Department of Law

Law and Religion (LAW6460)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 8 (40%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	2 25%	6 75%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.46
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	2 25%	6 75%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.46
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.88 md=3.5 dev.=0.99
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	3 50%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.83 md=3.5 dev.=0.98
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	1 20%	1 20%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=5 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=0.89
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 25%	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	3 37.5%	4 50%	1 12.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.71
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	1 12.5%	5 62.5%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.64

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=8
av.=4.38
md=4
dev.=0.52

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=8
av.=4.38
md=4.5
dev.=0.74

Department of Law

Law Justice and Ethics (LAW6155)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 21 (72.41%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=21
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.86

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=21
av.=4.38
md=5
dev.=0.97

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=21
av.=4.19
md=5
dev.=1.12

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance



n=21
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=21
av.=4.43
md=5
dev.=0.81

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=21
av.=4.19
md=5
dev.=1.03

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=20
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=21
av.=3.9
md=5
dev.=1.26

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=21
av.=3.76
md=3
dev.=1.09

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=20
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.94

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=21
av.=4.43
md=5
dev.=0.81

Department of Law

Law Modernity and the Holocaust (LAW6018)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 23 (62.16%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=23
av.=3.87
md=4
dev.=0.87

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

n=22
av.=3.59
md=3.5
dev.=1.01

Department of Law

Law of Evidence (LAW6037)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 39 (78%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=39
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=39
av.=3.97
md=4
dev.=0.81

Department of Law

Media Law (LAW6006)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 28 (50.91%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	15 (53.6%)	11 (39.3%)	2 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n=28 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.64
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	13 (46.4%)	10 (35.7%)	5 (17.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n=28 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.76
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	11 (39.3%)	16 (57.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.6%)	0 (0%)	n=28 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.67
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	9 (32.1%)	10 (35.7%)	8 (28.6%)	1 (3.6%)	0 (0%)	n=28 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.88
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	10 (37%)	5 (18.5%)	12 (44.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n=27 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	10 (37%)	4 (14.8%)	13 (48.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n=27 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.93
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	6 (22.2%)	13 (48.1%)	5 (18.5%)	3 (11.1%)	0 (0%)	n=27 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	9 (32.1%)	11 (39.3%)	7 (25%)	1 (3.6%)	0 (0%)	n=28 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.86
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	6 (23.1%)	7 (26.9%)	12 (46.2%)	1 (3.8%)	0 (0%)	n=26 av.=3.69 md=3.5 dev.=0.88

Definitely agree … Definitely disagree (5 4 3 2 1)

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=28
av.=4.18
md=5
dev.=1.06

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=28
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=0.96

Department of Law

Performing the Law (LAW6060)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 14 (66.67%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Public International Law (LAW6032)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 23 (74.19%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	9 39.1%	11 47.8%	3 13%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.69
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	11 47.8%	11 47.8%	1 4.3%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.59
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	7 30.4%	11 47.8%	3 13%	2 8.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.9
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	5 21.7%	8 34.8%	10 43.5%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.8
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	12 52.2%	7 30.4%	4 17.4%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	7 30.4%	11 47.8%	5 21.7%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	2 8.7%	12 52.2%	7 30.4%	2 8.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=0.78
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	6 26.1%	14 60.9%	2 8.7%	1 4.3%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	6 26.1%	9 39.1%	8 34.8%	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.79

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=23
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=23
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.87

Department of Law

Revenue Law (LAW6026)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 34 (57.63%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	8 23.5%	17 50%	2 5.9%	7 20.6%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=34 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.05
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	12 35.3%	14 41.2%	6 17.6%	2 5.9%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=34 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.89
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	12 35.3%	12 35.3%	5 14.7%	5 14.7%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=34 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.06
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	10 29.4%	17 50%	5 14.7%	2 5.9%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=34 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	15 45.5%	7 21.2%	9 27.3%	2 6.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=1
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	11 33.3%	8 24.2%	12 36.4%	2 6.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.97
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	8 24.2%	13 39.4%	5 15.2%	7 21.2%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.08
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	13 38.2%	9 26.5%	10 29.4%	2 5.9%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=34 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.97
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	13 39.4%	9 27.3%	9 27.3%	2 6.1%	0 0%	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.97

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=33
av.=4.12
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=33
av.=4.18
md=4
dev.=0.92

Department of Law

Securing Human Rights Compliance: A Case Study on the United Kingdom (LAW6457)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 8 (80%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=1.07
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.06
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.89
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=1.04
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.83

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

Street Law (LAW6462) Seminar
Leader:
No. of responses = 6 (100%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been made clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

The Practice of Law in a Clinical Environment (LAW6156)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 8 (40%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



Department of Law

United Kingdom Human Rights Law (LAW6010)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 13 (61.9%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=13
av.=4.46
md=4
dev.=0.52

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)



n=13
av.=4.15
md=4
dev.=0.8