
Creative_Writing_Prose_Fiction_ESH6043_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 20 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.91 33.3% 44.4% 16.7% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=18 av.=4.06 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree dev.=0.87 27.8% 33.3% 11.1% 11.1% 16.7% n=18 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.2 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance Feedback on my work has been returned in n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.75 accordance with the stated deadlines 38.9% 50% I have received helpful comments on my work n=18 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 10 52.6% 5 26.3% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.11 md=3 dev.=0.99 have supported my learning well 42.1% 10.5% n=19 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=0.9 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution ĕ to this module 22.2% 50% 16.7% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=18 av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=1.04 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my įĕį learning well 57 31 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=19 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

n=17 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.62






Critical_Aesthetics_ESH338_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 19 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.








n=17 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.72

All in the Mind: Literature and Consciousness (ESH6049) No. of responses = 12 (66.67%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality








Cultures of Inequality: Narrating Class 1815-1914 (ESH6034) No. of responses = 15 (83.33%)



Legend

Question text



md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention


n=No. of responses


Quality index


Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality








n=9 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.17

Digitizing Eighteenth-Century Literature (ESH6059) No. of responses = 6 (33.33%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality quideline



n=4 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0






Guillotines, Ghosts and Laughing Gas: Literature in the 1790s (ESH6041) No. of responses = 8 (44.44%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality



n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.75






Herman Melville's Moby Dick (ESH6020) No. of responses = 13 (72.22%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality








Heroes and Outlaws in History and Fiction, 1100-1600 (ESH6029) No. of responses = 15 (83.33%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality



n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0






James Joyce's Ulysses (ESH314) No. of responses = 14 (77.78%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.








Page 2

Thomas Hardy and the Victorian Modern (ESH300) No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality



n=10 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.27






Writing Modern London (ESH394)

No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 81.8% 18 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.82 md=5 been made clear in advance dev.=0.4 72.7% 9.1% Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.82 accordance with the stated deadlines n 0 n ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.73 md=5 dev.=0.47 9.1% 54.5% n=11 av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=1.01 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree \vdash have supported my learning well 0 0 36.4% 63.6% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the 100% Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 module






Writing Muslims (ESH6026) No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality








Feminism(s)_ESH393_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 42 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality quideline.










Late_Victorian_Literature_ESH315_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 25 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=25 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.71 16 64% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=25 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree بر Definitely disagree 33.3% 58.3% n=24 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.78 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 84% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=25 av.=4.84 md=5 dev.=0.37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ** accordance with the stated deadlines 62.5% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=24 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.72 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ц 5 n n=25 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.93 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree have supported my learning well 12 0 48% n=25 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=1.05 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 0 32% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=25 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my įĕį learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=25 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.85 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

n=21 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1






Modernism_&_Democracy_ESH350_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 21 (%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)




n=16 av.=4.13 md=5 dev.=1.15 Poetry_&_Media_ESH6051_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 20 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module The module is well taught n = 20Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.44 16 0 84.2% 15.8% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=4.84 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree dev.=0.37 21.1% 68.4% 10.5% n=19 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.69 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 66.7% 33 Feedback on my work has been returned in n=18 av.=4.33 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines dev.=0.97 2 10.5% 13 68.4% I have received helpful comments on my work n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 5 26.3% n 21 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.96 have supported my learning well 31.6% 57.9% n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.7 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 4 21.1% 0 68.4% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=19 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.69 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 15 0 78.9% 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=19 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.42 Definitely agree -Definitely disagree module

n=17 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.61

The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)




Shakespeare:the_Play_Word_Book_ESH366_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 18 (%)



Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



n=15 av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=0.92






Thresholds_of_America_ESH6037_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 19 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality quideline.



1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)




n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51

Time Narrative & Culture ESH387 Sem2 2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 21 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality auideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36 0 81% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=4.81 md=5 dev.=0.4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 n=20 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.97 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 16.7% 33.3% 44.4% Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.61 md=3.5 dev.=0.85 accordance with the stated deadlines 27.8% 16.7% 55.6% I have received helpful comments on my work n=18 av.=3.72 md=3 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • įĕį 5 6 28.6% n n 28.6% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.85 have supported my learning well 66.7% 19% n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.75 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree щ contribution to this module 6 28.6% 0 61.9% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 0 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=21 av.=4.81 md=5 dev.=0.4 Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree module

1.11) The module has helped me develop skills which will be useful to me beyond my degree (QMUL Model modules only)




Virginia_Woolf_ESH330_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 28 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught n=28 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.88 16 57.1% 10.7% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=28 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=1.05 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 3 29.6% 51.9% 14.8% n=27 av.=4.26 md=5 dev.=0.98 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 10 38.5% 23 34.6% Feedback on my work has been returned in n=26 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.06 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines n=25 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.05 I have received helpful comments on my work Definitely agree Definitely disagree • įĕį 5 n 50% The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.15 md=4.5 dev.=1.05 Н have supported my learning well 18.5% 48.1% n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.99 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 42.9% 28.6% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=28 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=28 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module






Writing_South_Africa_ESH379_Sem2_2018-19 () Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 23 (%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.56 16 69.6% 26.1% 1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=23 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.57 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree 30.4% 56.5% n=23 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.73 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 18 81.8% 18 Feedback on my work has been returned in n=22 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.39 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines 18 78.3% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=23 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=22 Definitely agree av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely disagree have supported my learning well 12 54.5% 31.8% 9.1% n=22 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.85 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н contribution to this module 30.4% 26.1% 39.1% Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=23 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my įĕį learning well 61.9% 33. 1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=21 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.6 Definitely agree ¥ Definitely disagree module





n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.81