Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Communication in Science and Technology (SEF030)

No. of responses = 246 (77.36%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=238
av.=4.26
md=4
dev.=0.81

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=232
av.=3.37
md=3
dev.=1.2

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=233
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=230
av.=3.95
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=225
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=230
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=1

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=227
av.=3.45
md=3
dev.=1.18

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=231
av.=3.98
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=225
av.=3.75
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Computing (SEF034)
No. of responses = 60 (58.82%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

English Language I (SEF009)
No. of responses = 21 (72.41%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Essential Foundation Mathematical Skills (SEF026)

No. of responses = 110 (64.71%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=106
av.=3.51
md=4
dev.=1.09

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=105
av.=3.37
md=3
dev.=0.96

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=106
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=101
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=107
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=106
av.=2.99
md=3
dev.=1.27

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=107
av.=3.4
md=3
dev.=1.2

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=106
av.=4.27
md=4
dev.=0.81

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=104
av.=3.52
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Form and Function in Biology (SEF031)
No. of responses = 71 (77.17%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introduction to Modern Physics (SEF038)
No. of responses = 39 (65%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introductory Chemistry (SEF003)
No. of responses = 47 (47.96%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Mathematics I (SEF001)
No. of responses = 91 (40.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Physics (Mechanics and Materials) (SEF005)
No. of responses = 92 (48.17%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=88
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=1.19

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=82
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=84
av.=4.21
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=85
av.=3.99
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=86
av.=4
md=4
dev.=1.16

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=85
av.=3.26
md=3
dev.=1.2

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=84
av.=3.5
md=4
dev.=1.26

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=87
av.=4.21
md=5
dev.=1.16

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=88
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=1.19

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Principles of Mathematics (SEF014)

No. of responses = 65 (53.28%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=61
av.=4.25
md=5
dev.=0.99

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=62
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=61
av.=4.36
md=5
dev.=0.82

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=60
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.86

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=59
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=59
av.=3.54
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=62
av.=3.79
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=63
av.=4.37
md=5
dev.=0.75

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=59
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module