Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

A Closer Look at Chemistry (SEF004)

No. of responses = 50 (52.08%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Communication in Science and Technology (SEF030)

No. of responses = 21 (72.41%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Discrete Mathematics [Foundation] (SEF015)
No. of responses = 34 (24.64%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Diversity and Ecology (SEF033)
No. of responses = 63 (86.3%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introduction to Business Information Systems (SEF036)

No. of responses = 52 (57.14%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=49
av.=3.27
md=3
dev.=1

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=50
av.=3.34
md=3
dev.=1.08

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=47
av.=3.36
md=3
dev.=1.01

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=49
av.=3.33
md=3
dev.=1.11

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=46
av.=3.52
md=4
dev.=1.13

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=48
av.=3.33
md=3
dev.=1.24

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=48
av.=3.04
md=3
dev.=1.13

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=50
av.=3.88
md=4
dev.=1.1

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=48
av.=3.42
md=4
dev.=1.29

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introduction to Engineering (SEF024)

No. of responses = 36 (27.69%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Mathematics I (SEF001)
No. of responses = 54 (43.9%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Mathematics II (SEF002)
No. of responses = 56 (25.23%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Molecules to Cells (SEF032)
No. of responses = 32 (37.21%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Physics (Electricity and Atomic Physics) (SEF007)
No. of responses = 46 (33.58%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=44
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=41
av.=3.63
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=42
av.=4.24
md=4
dev.=0.79

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=42
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=0.85

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=44
av.=4.14
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=43
av.=3.67
md=4
dev.=1.13

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=44
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=43
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.8

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=43
av.=3.65
md=3
dev.=1

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Physics (Fields and Waves) (SEF006)
No. of responses = 34 (17.44%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=34
av.=3.18
md=3.5
dev.=1.24

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=32
av.=3.56
md=4
dev.=1.27

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=32
av.=3.28
md=3.5
dev.=1.2

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=32
av.=3.19
md=3
dev.=1.33

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=30
av.=3.6
md=3.5
dev.=1.19

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=33
av.=3.12
md=3
dev.=1.19

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=32
av.=3.25
md=3
dev.=1.11

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=33
av.=4.12
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=32
av.=3.06
md=3
dev.=1.01

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module