
Science and Engineering Foundation Programme A Closer Look at Chemistry (SEF004) No. of responses = 50 (52.08%)





	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	of answers of answers Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
					Quality index
De	scription of quality symbol	is below the eline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🚺 Mean vi 🔄 quality g	alue is within the guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		itely disagree n=48 av.=3.42 md=3 dev.=0.87
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		itely disagree av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.13
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5	2 4.3% Defin	itely disagree av.=3.39 md=4 dev.=1.02
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 Defin	itely disagree av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=0.89
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		itely disagree av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.06
 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.3%	itely disagree av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.02
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well) Definitely agree	<u>-</u> <u>-</u>	1 2.1% Defin	itely disagree av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.06
 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	5 - 4 - 3 - 4 $31.9% - 40.4% - 19.1% - 8.5%$ $5 - 4 - 3 - 2$	0%	itely disagree n=47 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.93
 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported m learning well	Definitely agree Y	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	itely disagree av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.89



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Communication in Science and Technology (SEF030) No. of responses = 21 (72.41%)

Queen Mary University of London






Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Discrete Mathematics [Foundation] (SEF015) No. of responses = 34 (24.64%)

2 Queen Mary


	gend Absolute Frequen Relative Frequen	cies of answers Std. Dev. cies of answers 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=N md=I dev.=	. of responses lean Aedian Std. Dev. Abstention
					Qual	ty index
De	scription of quality symbol	alue is below the uideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	I M	lean value is withi uality guideline.	n the
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.72
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	19 11 2 0 59.4% 34.4% 6.3% 0% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.62
— — 1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smooth	חוןע Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=32 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.62
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	n	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.81
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 	Definitely disagree	n=29 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.49
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	16 10 2 1 55.2% 34.5% 6.9% 3.4% 1 5 4 3 2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=29 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online service have supported my learning well	Ces) Definitely agree	5 15 7 $018.5%$ $55.6%$ $25.9%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.68
 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module		5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.46 md=4.5 dev.=0.58
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported learning well	Definitely agree	5 14 9 0° 17.9% $50%$ $32.1%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2	- <u>0</u>	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.71



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Diversity and Ecology (SEF033) No. of responses = 63 (86.3%)

Queen Mary


	Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Quality index
Description of quality symbol	value is below the / guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P Me	an value is within the ality guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	000%	Definitely disagree n=58 av.=4.41 md=4.5 dev.=0.65
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	20 28 9 0 35.1% 49.1% 15.8% 0%		Definitely disagree n=57 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoo	Dthly Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree md=56 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.71
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear advance 	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	20 20 12 3 35.7% 35.7% 21.4% 5.4%	1 1.8%	Definitely disagree md=4 dev.=0.98
 ^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines 		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1.9%	Definitely disagree md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my wo	−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−	19 27 10 0 33.3% 47.4% 17.5% 0%	1.8%	Definitely disagree av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.82
 ^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online ser have supported my learning well 	VICES) Definitely agree	20 13 20 2 35.1% 22.8% 35.1% 3.5%	2 3.5%	Definitely disagree n=57 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.07
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	271980 50%35.2%4.8%0%	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=54 av.=4.35 md=4.5 dev.=0.73
 ^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have support learning well 	Definitely agree	23 18 12 3 41.1% 32.1% 21.4% 5.4%		Definitely disagree av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.92



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Introduction to Business Information Systems (SEF036) No. of responses = 52 (57.14%)


12d Queen Mary


	estion text	es of answers Std. Dev. es of answers 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=l md= dev.=	o. of responses Vean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
		Scale	ristogram		Qual	ity index
De	scription of quality symbol Rean value quality gui	ue is below the ideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P N	lean value is withi uality guideline.	n the
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$5 16 16 11 \\ 10.2\% 32.7\% 32.7\% 22.4\% \\ 5 4 3 2$	1 2%	Definitely disagree	n=49 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=1
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree		1 2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=50 av.=3.34 md=3 dev.=1.08
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=47 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.01
 1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	9 11 18 9 18.4% 22.4% 36.7% 18.4% 5 4 3 2	2 4.1%	Definitely disagree	n=49 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.11
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 6.5% 1	Definitely disagree	■ n=46 av.=3.52 ■ md=4 dev.=1.13
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	10 12 15 6 20.8% 25% 31.3% 12.5% 5 4 3 2	5 10.4%	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.24
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online service have supported my learning well	2 S) Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 6.3%	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=3.04 md=3 dev.=1.13
— — 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	18		Definitely disagree	n=50 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.1
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported r learning well	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 12.5%	Definitely disagree	n=48 av.=3.42 md=4 dev.=1.29




Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Introduction to Engineering (SEF024) No. of responses = 36 (27.69%)







Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Mathematics I (SEF001) No. of responses = 54 (43.9%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 28 53.89 0 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.75 • 5 20 20 10 37.7% 37.7% 18.9% 3 .8% 1.9% ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating n=53 av.=4.06 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.95 5 4 3 2 29 15 6 0 29.4% 56.9% 11.8% 1.3) 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=51 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.78 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н • 5 27 15 0 52.9% 29.4% 9.8% 7 0 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in n=51 av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ц . advance 5 3 2 10 12 26 52% 20% 2% 1.5) 24% Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree Р av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1 : accordance with the stated deadlines 5 3 2 17 12 23.1% 19 36.5% 0 Δ 32.7% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=52 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ Õ 13 18 16 3 30.8% 3 8% 34.6% 5.8% 25% 1.7) n=52 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.14 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ have supported my learning well Õ 5 3 16 32.7% 28 3 57.1% 6.1% 1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=49 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.86 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : н contribution to this module 3 5 23 16 13 ้คั% 29 24 1% 42 1 1 9% 1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=54 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well 5 3



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Mathematics II (SEF002) No. of responses = 56 (25.23%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	of answers Std. Dev. of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Mean md=Medi dev.=Std. ab.=Abste	an Dev.
			Ŭ		Ð	
					Quality in	dex
Description o	f quality symbol Mean value quality guid	is below the eline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	💽 Mear 💆 quali	n value is within th ty guideline.	e
1. Rate this	module					
^{1.1)} The module	e is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% D D	efinitely disagree	n=53 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.57
^{1.2)} The module	e is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	24 26 3 0 45.3% 49.1% 5.7% 0%	0D	efinitely disagree	n=53 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.3)} The module	e is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0D	efinitely disagree	n=53 av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.4)} The criteria advance	a used in marking have been clear in	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0D	efinitely disagree	n=52 av.=4.37 md=4.5 dev.=0.77
^{1.5)} Feedback (accordance	on my work has been returned in e with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	5 21 5 $047.2%$ $39.6%$ $9.4%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2	2 3.8%	efinitely disagree	n=53 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.6)} I have rece	vived helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	5 + 3 + 2 = 10 $34.6% + 30.8% + 30.8% + 0%$ $5 + 4 + 3 + 2$	2 3.8%	efinitely disagree	n=52 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.01
^{1.7)} The library have suppo	resources (e.g. books, online services orted my learning well) Definitely agree	11 18 20 2 20.8% 34% 37.7% 3.8%	D	efinitely disagree	n=53 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.8)} The use of contribution	QMplus has made an appropriate n to this module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 35 14 2 0 68.6% 27.5% 3.9% 0% $5 4 3 2$	1 0% D	efinitely disagree	n=51 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.56
^{1.9)} Module-spe facilities, so learning we	ecific resources (e.g. equipment, oftware, collections) have supported m ell	Definitely agree Y	12 23.5% 45.1% 27.5% 3.9%	0	efinitely disagree	n=51 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.82



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Molecules to Cells (SEF032) No. of responses = 32 (37.21%)

Queen Mary


Legend Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of resp. av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev ab.=Abstentio	1.
				Quality index	
Description of quality symbol Quality guidelin	below the file.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	M	lean value is within the uality guideline.	
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	🖌 👬 ma	31 .=4.58 d=5 v.=0.56
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	17 11 2 $056.7%$ $36.7%$ $6.7%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2	0% 0%	🖌 👬 ma	30 .=4.5 d=5 v.=0.63
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	📕 🔤 ma	31 .=4.61 d=5 v.=0.5
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1		
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	mc 📷 mc	32 .=4.22 J=4 v.=0.71
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	7 12 11 1 22.6% 38.7% 35.5% 3.2% 5 4 3 2	0%	Ter ma	31 .=3.81 J=4 v.=0.83
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0%	ma 🖬 ma	30 .=4.23 d=4.5 v.=0.86
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	14 8 6 3 45.2% 25.8% 19.4% 9.7%	0 0%	🖌 👬 ma	31 .=4.06 J=4 vv.=1.03
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	🖌 👬 ma	31 .=4.19 d=4 v.=0.75



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Physics (Electricity and Atomic Physics) (SEF007) No. of responses = 46 (33.58%)

Queen Mary

		Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	0 50 0 25	Right pole	av.=N md=N dev.=	of responses lean ledian Std. Dev. lbstention
					_	ty index
De	scription of quality symbol	ean value is below the ality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P N	lean value is withir uality guideline.	n the
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agre	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 11 & 19 & 11 & 3\\ 25\% & 43.2\% & 25\% & 6.8\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	00%	Definitely disagree	■ n=44 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.88
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 7.3%	Definitely disagree	n=41 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.04
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs s	moothly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=42 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.79
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been c advance	lear in Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=42 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.85
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned accordance with the stated deadlines	l in Definitely agre	$\begin{array}{c} 20 & 13 & 9 & 1 \\ 45.5\% & 29.5\% & 20.5\% & 2.3\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	1 2.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.98
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my	WORK Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 13 & 10 & 15 & 3 \\ 30.2\% & 23.3\% & 34.9\% & 7\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	2 4.7%	Definitely disagree	■ n=43 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.13
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online have supported my learning well	Services) Definitely agra	13 12 18 1 29.5% 27.3% 40.9% 2.3%		Definitely disagree	■ n=44 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.89
 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an approp contribution to this module	riate Definitely agre	$\begin{array}{c} 28 & 9 & 5 & 1 \\ 65.1\% & 20.9\% & 11.6\% & 2.3\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=43 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.8
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipme facilities, software, collections) have supplearning well	nt, Definitely agre ported my	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 11 & 10 & 19 & 2 \\ 25.6\% & 23.3\% & 44.2\% & 4.7\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	1 2.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=43 av.=3.65 md=3 dev.=1



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Physics (Fields and Waves) (SEF006) No. of responses = 34 (17.44%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S	of responses an dian td. Dev. stention
					Quality	index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	I Mi	ean value is within uality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 11.8%		n=34 av.=3.18 md=3.5 dev.=1.24
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually s	stimulating	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 12.5% 1		n=32 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.27
^{1.3)} The module is well organised	d and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 12.5%		n=32 av.=3.28 md=3.5 dev.=1.2
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking advance	have been clear in	Definitely agree	7 6 9 6 21.9% 18.8% 28.1% 18.8% 5 4 3 2	4 12.5%		n=32 av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.33
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has b accordance with the stated of	een returned in deadlines	Definitely agree	9 6 11 2 30% 20% 36.7% 6.7% 5 4 3 2	2 6.7%		n=30 av.=3.6 md=3.5 dev.=1.19
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comr	nents on my work	Definitely agree	5 6 14 4 $15.2% 18.2% 42.4% 12.1%$ $5 4 3 2$	4 12.1%		n=33 av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. b have supported my learning	ooks, online services) well	Definitely agree	5 7 13 5 15.6% 21.9% 40.6% 15.6% 5 4 3 2			n=32 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.11
^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has mad contribution to this module	le an appropriate	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	- <u> </u>	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e facilities, software, collection learning well	e.g. equipment, is) have supported my	Definitely agree	2 8 15 4 6.3% 25% 46.9% 12.5%	3 9.4%		n=32 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=1.01

