


School of Engineering and Materials Science Engineering Mechanics: Dynamics (DEN4108) No. of responses = 45 (18%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Left		Mean 0 50 0% 50% 4 3		Right pole	av.= md= dev.	o. of responses Mean Median Std. Dev. Abstention
						_	lity index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	within the rang e for the quality e.	e of 🚺 N	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	4 8.9%	25 11 55.6% 24.4% 1	5 0 1.1% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=45 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually st	timulating	Definitely agree	13 29.5%	21 6 47.7% 13.6%	4 0 9.1% 0%	Definitely disagree	■ n=44 av.=3.98 ■ md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.3)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 		$\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{0}$	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking h advance	nave been clear in	Definitely agree	55	14 31.8% 31.8% 1	2 1 6 5 3.6% 11.4%	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.18 md=3 dev.=1.17
 ^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has be accordance with the stated de 	en returned in eadlines	Definitely agree	5 		2 1 6 3 3.6% 6.8%	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comm	ents on my work	Definitely agree	5 6 - 13.3%	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 & 3 \\ \hline 7 & 23 \\ 15.6\% & 51.1\% & 1 \end{array} $	$ \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{4} $ 1.1% 8.9% 	Definitely disagree	n=45 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.08
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. bo have supported my learning v	oks, online services) vell	Definitely agree	9.1%	19 43.2% 27.3% 1	7 2 5.9% 4.5%	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.01
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module 	e an appropriate	Definitely agree	5 	4 3 19 5 44.2% 11.6% 44.2% 11.6%	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=43 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e. facilities, software, collections learning well	g. equipment, s) have supported my	Definitely agree	5 <u>2</u> 4.5% 5	$-\frac{1}{17} - \frac{1}{18}$	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=0.85


School of Engineering and Materials Science, Engineering Mechanics: Dynamics, DEN4108



School of Engineering and Materials Science Engineering Mechanics: Statics (DEN4102) No. of responses = 52 (19.77%)




	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	Left pole	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of resp av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. De ab.=Abstentio	v.
		Scale	Histogram		Quality index	5
	scription of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Q q	lean value is within the uality guideline.	
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Delinitely disagree av	=46 v.=3.28 id=3 ev.=0.93
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	7 25 11 4 14.9% 53.2% 23.4% 8.5% 5 4 3 2	0%	Delinitely disagree av	=47 v.=3.74 id=4 ev.=0.82
— — 1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	7 17 13 $1014.6% 35.4\% 27.1\% 20.8\%5 4 3 2$		Delinitely disagree av	=48 v.=3.4 id=3.5 ev.=1.05
	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2.2%	Delinitely disagree av	=45 v.=3.42 id=3 ev.=0.94
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2.1% 1	Delinitely disagree av	=47 v.=3.4 id=3 ev.=0.85
— — 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 6.5%	Delinitely disagree av	=46 v.=2.87 id=3 ev.=0.88
— — 1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well	S) Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 10.9%	Delinitely disagree av	=46 v.=3.22 id=3 ev.=1.13
	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.3%	Delinitely disagree av	=47 v.=3.7 id=4 ev.=1.06
	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported n learning well	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 6.1%	Delinitely disagree av	=49 v.=3.41 id=4 ev.=0.93




School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Introduction to Chemical Reaction Design (DEN4403) No. of responses = 13 (27.08%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 1 8.3% 41.7 1.1) The module is well taught n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=1.11 H • 5 3 0 30.8% 38.5% 7.7% 23.1% 09 ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.17 Õ 5 Δ 3 2 0 . 33.3% 8.3% 8.3% 1.3) 50% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=1.19 30.8% 7 15.4% 38.5% 7 7% 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in n=13 av.=2.85 md=3 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree advance 1 5 3 0 11 0 0 8.3% 91.7% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in n=12 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=0.58 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines ĨŤÌ 5 3 2 0 9 0 16.7% 8.3% 75% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=12 Definitely agree av.=3.08 md=3 dev.=0.51 Definitely disagree ۲ Õ 0 6 4 0 33.3% 16.7% 0% 50% 0% 1.7) n=12 av.=3.33 md=3.5 dev.=0.78 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree V. ۲ н have supported my learning well Õ Λ 3 0 58.3% 8.3% 8.3% 0% 1.8) 25% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=12 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.08 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e contribution ĨěÌ to this module 3 0 58 16.7% 3% 16 7% 0 1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=12 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ facilities, software, collections) have supported my Ĩ learning well 5 3


School of Engineering and Materials Science, Introduction to Chemical Reaction Design, DEN4403



School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Materials Science 2: Processing and Applications (MAT206) No. of responses = 6(2.01%)University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% 1 16.7% 83.39 1.1) The module is well taught n=6 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.82 • 0 0 66.7% 16.7% 16.7% 0 ٨Ō ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree F • dev.=0.84 5 4 3 2 0 0 0 1.3) 40% 60% 0% 0% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=5 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.55 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree • 0 0 16. 0% . 66.7% 0% 16.7% 7% 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in n=6 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ advance Õ 5 3 2 3 0 0 60% 20% 20% 0% 0% 1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in n=5 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree accordance with the stated deadlines ĨŤÌ 5 3 2 Δ 0% 5 83.3% 0% 0 . 16.7% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=6 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ×. ۲ Õ 0 0 40% 20% 40% 0% 0% 1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) n=5 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : have supported my learning well 5 0 33.3% 33.3% 16.7% 16.7% 0% 1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=6 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e contribution ĨěÌ to this module 3 0 0 16 . 66.7% 16 7% 0 1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=6 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ż facilities, software, collections) have supported my Ĩ learning well 5 3 2




School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Materials Selection and Mechanical Modelling (MAT102) No. of responses = 6(37.5%)University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 1 20% 0 0% 0 0 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.45 Õ 5 0 2 0 0 0% 33 .3% 66.7% 0 ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating 0° n=6 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree ++ Definitely disagree ۲ Õ 5 4 3 2 0 2 0 0 33.3% <u>66.7%</u> 1.3) 0% 0% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=6 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree ē 3 0 0 0 60% 40% 09 0 0% 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in n=5 av.=3.8 md=3 dev.=1.1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥ ۲ advance Õ 5 3 2 0 4 0 0 80% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in n=5 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=0.45 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н accordance with the stated deadlines Ĩ 5 3 2 Δ 0 0 Δ <u>66.7%</u> 0% . 16.7% 0% 16.7% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=6 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥. 8 0 3 2 0 0 60% 40% 0% 0% 0% 1.7) n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.55 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree H. Definitely disagree ۲ have supported my learning well Õ Λ 3 0 0 25% 25% 1.8) 50% 0% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=4 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree : H contribution to this module 5 0 0 0 800 20% 0 1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, n=5 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.45 4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my Ĩ learning well 5 3




School of Engineering and Materials Science Mathematics for Materials Scientists (MAT115)

No. of responses = 10 (27.03%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science, Mathematics for Materials Scientists, MAT115




School of Engineering and Materials Science Student Centred Learning 1 (MAT106) No. of responses = 17 (77.27%)



		Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
	scription of quality symbol	value is below the	Mean is within the range of		Quality index
			 tolerance for the quality guideline. 	le nu	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=17 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	8 6 3 0 47.1% 35.3% 17.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree n=17 av=4.29 dev.=0.7
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoo	othly Definitely agree	4 7 5 1 23.5% 41.2% 29.4% 5.9% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree md=17 av=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clea advance	r in Definitely agree		$-\frac{1}{0}$	Definitely disagree av =3.76 md=4 dev.=1.0
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	2 3 6 6 11.8% 17.6% 35.3% 35.3% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree mt=17 av=3.06 mt=3 dev.=1.0
 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my wo	rk Definitely agree	3 4 7 0 18.8% 25% 43.8% 0% 5 4 3 2	2 12.5%	Definitely disagree mt=16 av=3.38 mt=3 dev.=1.2
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online ser have supported my learning well	Vices) Definitely agree	0 3 13 1 0% 17.6% 76.5% 5.9% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree md=3 dev.=0.49
 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	e Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.8
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have support learning well	Definitely agree	7 9 1 0 41.2% 52.9% 5.9% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree md=17 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.6

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Student Centred Learning 1, MAT106



School of Engineering and Materials Science Thermodynamics I (DEN107) No. of responses = 46 (19.25%)

Queen Mary

		uencies of answers Std. Dev. uencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=M md=M dev.=S				
					_	/ index			
De	Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline.								
1.	Rate this module								
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 17 & 21 & 2 & 0 \\ 42.5\% & 52.5\% & 5\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.59			
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.4%	Definitely disagree	n=42 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.85			
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smo	othly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 20 & 18 & 2 & 0 \\ 50\% & 45\% & 5\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0%	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.45 md=4.5 dev.=0.6			
 1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clea advance	ar in Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} - & - & 10 \\ 24.4\% & 51.2\% & 24.4\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0%	Definitely disagree	n=41 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71			
 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	15 16 7 $237.5%$ $40%$ $17.5%$ $5%5$ 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=40 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.87			
 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my wo	Definitely agree	5 21 10 2 12.5% 52.5% 25% 5%	2 5%		n=40 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.95			
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online se have supported my learning well	rvices) Definitely agree	6 9 16 4 6 23.7% 42.1% 10.5%			n=38 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.11			
 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriat contribution to this module	e Definitely agree			Definitely disagree	n=42 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.77			
 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have suppor learning well	Definitely agree	8 16 15 3 19% 38.1% 35.7% 7.1%			■ n=42 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.87			

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Thermodynamics I, DEN107

