

School of Engineering and Materials Science Chemical Reaction Engineering 1 (DEN5401) No. of responses = 6 (13.95%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science, Chemical Reaction Engineering 1, DEN5401




School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Composites for Aerospace Applications (MAT5030) No. of responses = 20 (28.17%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.81 • -5 6 6 0 33.3% 33.3% 22.2% 11 .1% ٨Ō ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating n=18 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ Õ 5 4 3 2 6 0 1.3) 30% 40% 25% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ē 5 15.8% 31.6% 26.3% 15.8% 10.5% 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.26 md=3 dev.=1.24 ۲ advance Õ 5 3 4 21.1% 0 47.4% 26.3% 5.3% 0% 1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in n=19 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • accordance with the stated deadlines 5 2 Δ 3 5 25% 6 3 5 30% 25% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=20 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.15 ۲ ē 10 3 0 22 55.6% 16.7% 5.6% 0% 1.7) n=18 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=0.79 The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree ۲ have supported my learning well Õ 5 3 9 0 8 42.1% 47.4% 0% 5.3% 5.3% 1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : contribution to this module 3 20% 1.9) n=20 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.06 Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, Definitely agree Definitely disagree facilities, software, collections) have supported my Õ learning well

5

3


1

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Composites for Aerospace Applications, MAT5030




School of Engineering and Materials Science Control Systems Analysis and Design (DEN5200) No. of responses = 48 (17.14%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science, Control Systems Analysis and Design, DEN5200




School of Engineering and Materials Science Engineering Materials for Design (DEN5002) No. of responses = 38 (15.14%)



	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies estion text		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of respons av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention	ses
					Quality index	
De	scription of quality symbol	is below the eline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P M	lean value is within the uality guideline.	
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=34 av=4. md=5 dev=0	4.5 5
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=36 av.=4. md=5 dev.=(4.33 5
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2.8%	Definitely disagree n=36 av=4. md=4 dev.=1	4.14 4
— — 1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	5 12 8 $515.6%$ $37.5%$ $25%$ $15.6%5$ 4 3 2	2 6.3%	Definitely disagree n=32 av.=3. md=4 dev.=1	3.41 4
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 5.9%	Definitely disagree n=34 av.=3. md=3 dev.=0	3.32 3
— — 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.1%	Definitely disagree md=3 av.=3. md=3 av.=3. dev.=0	3.3 3
 1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well) Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 $10 15 6 1$ $30.3% 45.5% 18.2% 3%$ $5 4 3 2$	1	Definitely disagree md=4 dev.=(3.97 4
— — 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2.9% 1	Definitely disagree n=35 av.=4, md=4 dev.=(1.2 4
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree Y	13 15 4 1 37.1% 42.9% 11.4% 2.9%	2 5.7%	Definitely disagree n=35 av.=4. md=4 dev.=1	4.03 4

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Engineering Materials for Design, DEN5002




School of Engineering and Materials Science Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics I (DEN5208) No. of responses = 38 (22.62%)



Leg Quest	end ion text	Absolute Frequencies of al Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean 0 50 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25 2 1 Histogram	5%	Right pole	av.= md= dev.=	o. of re <mark>Media</mark> Std. E Abster	Dev.
	in the second	Maan valua ia	below the	E Maan is u	within the read			Qual	ity ind	
Descr	ription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	ie.	 Mean is v tolerance guideline. 	for the qual		dn	ean value is withi iality guideline.		
1. R a	ate this module									
^{1.1)} Th	ne module is well taught		Definitely agree	3 8.8% (F	21 8 61.8% 23.5%	2 5.9%	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=0.71
^{1.2)} Th	e module is intellectually stimu	lating	Definitely agree	21.9%		1 3.1%	 	Definitely disagree		n=32 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.3)} Th	ne module is well organised and	I runs smoothly	Definitely agree		4 3 62.9% 25.7%	2	- <u>-</u>	Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.6
	e criteria used in marking have lvance	been clear in	Definitely agree		4 3 11 9 32.4% 26.5% 4 3		1 2.9% 1	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.05
^{1.5)} Fe ac	edback on my work has been r cordance with the stated deadli	returned in ines	Definitely agree		<u>3</u> <u>3</u> <u>9.1%</u> <u>9.1%</u> 4 3	27.3%	17 51.5%	Definitely disagree	*	n=33 av.=1.85 md=1 dev.=1.12
^{1.6)} Ih	ave received helpful comments	s on my work	Definitely agree	0 0% 5	3 8 9.1% 24.2%	5 15.2%	17 51.5%	Definitely disagree		n=33 av.=1.91 md=1 dev.=1.07
^{1.7)} Th ha	le library resources (e.g. books live supported my learning well	, online services)	Definitely agree				2 6.1%	Definitely disagree	1	n=33 av.=3.42 md=4 dev.=0.9
CO	e use of QMplus has made an ntribution this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	<u>_</u>	4 3 -20 - 1 60.6% 3% 4 3	2 6.1%		Definitely disagree		n=33 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.76
fac	odule-specific resources (e.g. e cilities, software, collections) ha arning well	quipment, ive supported my	Definitely agree	<u>6</u>		2.9%	- <u>-</u> 0%	Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.79

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics I, DEN5208




School of Engineering and Materials Science Low Speed Aerodynamics (DEN233) No. of responses = 21 (36.84%)



	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	s of answers Std. Dev. a of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I Mi	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree av=4.26 md=4 dev=0.87
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	10 8 2 0 50% 40% 10% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree av=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.68
— — 1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$7 \\ 35\% \\ 40\% \\ 20\% \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 20\% \\ 5\%$	0%	Definitely disagree av=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.89
	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	3 10 5 1 15.8% 52.6% 26.3% 5.3% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.79
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	3 5 8 1 15.8% 26.3% 42.1% 5.3% 5 4 3 2	2 10.5%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=3.32 md=3 dev.=1.16
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	2 7 5 4 11.1% 38.9% 27.8% 22.2% 5 4 3 2	1	Definitely disagree n=18 av.=3.39 md=3.5 dev.=0.98
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well	5) Definitely agree	4 6 8 0 21.1% 31.6% 42.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	1 5.3%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.01
	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 	Definitely disagree av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.83
	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported m learning well	Definitely agree	4 8 5 0 23.5% 47.1% 29.4% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.75

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Low Speed Aerodynamics, DEN233




School of Engineering and Materials Science Solid Mechanics (DEN5102) No. of responses = 23 (10.22%)

Queen Mary

Legend Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Question text Left		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	e n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol quality guideline	elow the lateral later	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mean value is within the quality guideline.
1. Rate this module			
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av=3.32 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 9 6 2 0 22.7% 40.9% 27.3% 9.1% 0% 5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree av=3.77 md=4 dev=0.92
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av=3.61 md=4 dev.=0.99
 ^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av =2.86 md=3 dev.=0.99
 ^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well 	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av.=3.55 md=3.5 dev.=0.74
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree av=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 15 3 2 0 9.1% 68.2% 13.6% 9.1% 0% 5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree av=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.75

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Solid Mechanics, DEN5102




School of Engineering and Materials Science Structural Characterisation (MAT400) No. of responses = 6 (13.33%)



		Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av-Mean md=Median dev=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	value is below the / guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean va 🕻 Mean va	alue is within the uideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	16.7% 50% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini 1	tely disagree av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.75
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	16.7% 50% 33.3% 0% 16.7% 50% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini	tely disagree av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.75
— — 1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoo	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear advance	n Definitely agree	1 1 4 0 16.7% 16.7% 66.7% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini	tely disagree av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=0.84
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tely disagree av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.1
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my wor	rk Definitely agree			tely disagree mtely disagree mtely disagree mtely av.=3 mtely av
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online ser have supported my learning well	vices) Definitely agree			tely disagree av.=3 md=3 dev.=0.89
— — 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	0 5 1 0 0% 83.3% 16.7% 0% 5 4 3 2		tely disagree av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.41
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have support learning well	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tely disagree av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.05

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Structural Characterisation, MAT400



School of Engineering and Materials Science Student Centred Learning for Chemical Engineers 2 (DEN5402) No. of responses = 29 (70.73%)

bad Queen Mary

Legend Absolute Frequenci Relative Frequenci		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev.:	o. of responses Meain Std. Dev. Abstention
				_	lity index
Description of quality symbol	ue is below the ideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	💽 M	lean value is withi uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	4 15.4% 69.2% 15.4% 0% 5 4 3 2	00%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.57
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.81
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothl	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 8%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=2.88 md=3 dev.=1.01
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 16\% \\ 24\% \\ 24\% \\ 24\% \\ 32\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array} $	1 4% 1	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.16 md=3 dev.=1.18
 ^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines 	Definitely agree	5 3 4 10 18.5% 11.1% 14.8% 37% 5 4 3 2	5 18.5% -1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=2.74 md=2 dev.=1.4
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=0.93
 ^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online service have supported my learning well 	es) Definitely agree	5 7 7 $620% 28% 28% 24%5$ 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.08
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.74
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported learning well	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.67

