
School of Engineering and Materials Science Chemical and Biological Sensors (MAT707) No. of responses = 6 (85.71%)



	egend Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies estion text	of answers 25 25% Left pole	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
		Scale	Histogram		Quality index
	scription of quality symbol	is below the line.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I Ma	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.52
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	3 1 2 0 50% 16.7% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree n=6 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=0.98
 1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree		0%	Definitely disagree av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.45
— — 1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	$5 4 3 2 \\ \hline 6 0 0 0 0 \\ 100\% 0\% 0\% 0\% \\ \hline 5 4 3 2 \\ \hline 5 4 3 2 \\ \hline $		Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
 1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well) Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 $33.3% 16.7% 50% 0%$ $5 4 3 2$		Definitely disagree av.=3.83 md=3.5 dev.=0.98
— — 1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 $33.3% 33.3% 33.3% 0%$ $5 4 3 2$	1	Definitely disagree n=6 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89
— — 1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree Y	5 + 3 - 2 - 0 50% + 16.7% + 33.3% + 0% 5 + 4 + 3 + 2		Definitely disagree n=6 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=0.98

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Chemical and Biological Sensors, MAT707




School of Engineering and Materials Science Clinical Measurements (DEN406) No. of responses = 7 (77.78%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Mea 0 50 0 0% 50% 0 4 3 2 Histo	25 25%	Right pole	av.=N md=N dev.=: ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention
						Qualit	y index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the e.	 Mean is within tolerance for the guideline. 	the range of ne quality	P M	ean value is withir Jality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	14.3% 85.7% 5 4	0 0 0% 0% 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.38
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually st	timulating	Definitely agree	1 6 14.3% 85.7%		0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.38
^{1.3)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	0 71.4%	$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking h advance	nave been clear in	Definitely agree		28.6% 28.6%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=1.27
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has be accordance with the stated de	een returned in eadlines	Definitely agree	1 5 14.3% 71.4% 5 4	$-\frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comm	ents on my work	Definitely agree		$-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{0}$	1 14.3%		n=7 av.=3.14 md=3 dev.=1.07
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. bo have supported my learning v	ooks, online services) vell	Definitely agree		$-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{0}$	<u>0</u> 0% 	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=0.53
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module 	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 & -4 \\ 0 & -5 \\ 0 & -5 \\ 0 & -7 \\ 0 & -7 \\ -5 & 4 \end{array} $	$-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{0}$			n=7 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.49
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e. facilities, software, collections learning well	g. equipment, s) have supported my	Definitely agree		3 2	0%		n=7 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=0.53

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Clinical Measurements, DEN406




School of Engineering and Materials Science Composites (MTRM730) No. of responses = 7 (43.75%)

Queen Mary

	gend estion text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean 0 50 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25% 2 2 1 Histogram		Right pole	av= md= dev. ab.=	Mean Media =Std. Abste	Dev. ntion
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is w tolerance guideline. 	ithin the ran or the quali	ge of ty	🚺 M 💆 qu	ean value is with ality guideline.	in the	
1.	Rate this module									
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	<u>71.4%</u> 2 <u>5</u>	2 0 8.6% 0% 4 3	0 0% 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimu		Definitely agree	57.1% 4	3 0 2.9% 0% 4 3	2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53
1.3)	The module is well organised and	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	57.1% 4	<u>3</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>2.9%</u> <u>0%</u>			Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53
	The criteria used in marking have advance	e been clear in	Definitely agree	42.9% 4	<u>3</u> <u>14.3%</u> <u>4</u> <u>3</u>	2		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.76
— — 1.5)	Feedback on my work has been accordance with the stated dead	returned in lines	Definitely agree	85.7% 5	0 1 0% 14.3%	 0% 2	 	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.76
 1.6)	I have received helpful comment	s on my work	Definitely agree			14.3%		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15
 1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books have supported my learning well	s, online services)	Definitely agree		<u>-</u>	2		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
	The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree		$\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{0}$	2 0 - 0%		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53
	Module-specific resources (e.g. e facilities, software, collections) ha learning well	equipment, ave supported my	Definitely agree		$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$	1 14.3%		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Composites, MTRM730




School of Engineering and Materials Science Introduction to Solar Energy (DENM601) No. of responses = 8 (29.63%)



	egend Absolute Frequencies of Relative Frequencies of	eft pole	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of r av.=Mean md=Media dev.=Std. ab.=Abste	in Dev.
		Scale	Histogram		Quality inc	lex
De	scription of quality symbol Rean value i quality guide	s below the line.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	💽 Me	ean value is within the lality guideline.	9
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
— — 1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	2 6 0 0 25% 75% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.46
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 2 2 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
 1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree		0 	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=0.74
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=0.76
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	0 42.9% 57.1% 0% 0% 42.9% 57.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	<u>0</u> 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=0.53
	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	3 4 3 $237.5%$ $50%$ $12.5%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.71
	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	4 2 2 0 50% 25% 25% 0%	<u>0</u> 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.89

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Introduction to Solar Energy, DENM601




School of Engineering and Materials Science Medical Ethics and Regulatory Affairs (DEN7020) No. of responses = 14 (46.67%)



Legend Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	of answers Std. Dev. of answers 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
			Ĩ	Quality index
Description of quality symbol Rean value quality guid	is below the generation is below the generation is a second second second second second second second second se	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mean value is guality guideli	within the ne.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	4 8 2 0 28.6% 57.1% 14.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely disa	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.66
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	2 8 3 1 14.3% 57.1% 21.4% 7.1% 5 4 3 2	Definitely disa	ngree 1 av=3.79 1 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	7.1% 85.7% 0% 7.1% 5 4 3 2	0% 0% Definitely disa	ngree av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.62
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% Definitely disa	ngree en an-14 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.01
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 15.4% Definitely disa	n=13 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.34
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	1 3 5 7% 21.4% 7.1% 21.4% 35.7% 21.4% 5 4 3 2	2 14.3% Definitely disa	ngree n=14 av.=2.86 md=3 dev.=1.17
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online services have supported my learning well) Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n=14 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.99
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	4 8 2 0 28.6% 57.1% 14.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% 0% Definitely disa	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.66
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported m learning well	Definitely agree Y	1 4 7 2 7.1% 28.6% 50% 14.3% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2	0% 0% Definitely disa	n=14 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.83

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Medical Ethics and Regulatory Affairs, DEN7020



School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Numerical Optimisation in Engineering Design (DENM026) No. of responses = 10 (76.92%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 25 0 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Δ Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. auideline. 1. Rate this module 1 10% 0 0 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 0 0 6 60% ٨Ō ^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating 20% 0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 4 3 2 2 6 2 0 0 1.3) 20% 6<u>0%</u> 20% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 6 0 0 0% 600 0 1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ advance Õ 5 3 0 0 50% 30% 0% 1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in Definitely agree Definitely disagree • accordance with the stated deadlines 5 2 3 33.3% 0 0 3 3 33.3% 33.3% ^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 0 55.6% 22.2% 11 11.1% 0% 1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ have supported my learning well Õ 5 Λ 3 2 2 0 6 0 60% 1.8) 20% 0% 0% 20% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree : contribution to this module 0 0 55 2 0 1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, Definitely agree Definitely disagree : facilities, software, collections) have supported my

learning well

5

n=10

n=10

av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.67

n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.67

n=10

av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.03

n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.74

n=9

av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.87


n=9 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.87

n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.67

n=9

av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71

av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.57




School of Engineering and Materials Science Physiology for Medical Engineers (MELM009) No. of responses = 7 (38.89%)

Queen Mary

Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean 0%50% 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25% 25% 2 1 Histogram	Right	pole av. md de' ab.	=Mean =Media /.=Std. I =Abster	Dev. ntion
							ality ind	
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	below the e.	 Mean is w tolerance guideline. 	vithin the rang for the quality	ge of y	Mean value is with quality guideline.	nin the	9
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	14.3% 5 14.3% 5	4 2 57.1% 28.6%	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually s	timulating	Definitely agree		4 3		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.3)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	0 0% 1 5	7 0 100% 0% 4 3		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking h advance	nave been clear in	Definitely agree		2 1 33.3% 16.7%	2 - 0 33.3% 0% - 2 2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=3.33 md=3.5 dev.=1.21
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has be accordance with the stated de	een returned in eadlines	Definitely agree	0%5	0 5 0% 100% 4 3		Definitely disagree	•	n=5 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comm	nents on my work	Definitely agree	<u>_</u>	1 4 20% 80%		Definitely disagree		n=5 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=0.45
 ^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. bo have supported my learning v 	ooks, online services) well	Definitely agree	0% 6 0% 6	4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.84
 ^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module 	e an appropriate	Definitely agree		4 3	2 0 33.3% 0% 1 2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e facilities, software, collections learning well	.g. equipment, s) have supported my	Definitely agree		2 3 33.3% 50% 4 3		Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=3.67 md=3.5 dev.=0.82

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Physiology for Medical Engineers, MELM009




School of Engineering and Materials Science Renewable Energy Materials (MAT427) No. of responses = 13 (54.17%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Left		Mean Median 0 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histogram	25 25% 1	Right pole	av.=N md=N dev.=	of responses lean ledian Std. Dev. bstention
						_	y index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the e.	 Mean is within the tolerance for the que guideline. 	range of uality	💽 Me	ean value is withir ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	7 5 0 53.8% 38.5% 09 5 4 3	% 7.7%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.87
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stim		Definitely agree	7 3 1 58.3% 25% 8.3 5 4 3		1 8.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=1.22
^{1.3)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	8 4 0 61.5% 30.8% 09 5 4 3	% 7.7%		Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.88
^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking hav advance	/e been clear in	Definitely agree		3% 8.3% H	0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.03
 ^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been accordance with the stated dead 	returned in dlines	Definitely agree		7% <u>0%</u>	0%	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=0.82
^{1.6)} I have received helpful commen	ts on my work	Definitely agree	1 2 9 8.3% 16.7% 75 5 4 3	9 0% % 0%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.65
 ^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. book have supported my learning wel 	s, online services)	Definitely agree		2 7% 16.7%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.09
^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	5 - 6 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	0 1 % 8.3%		Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. facilities, software, collections) h learning well	equipment, nave supported my	Definitely agree			0 0%	Definitely disagree	■ n=10 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=0.95

School of Engineering and Materials Science, Renewable Energy Materials, MAT427



School of Engineering and Materials Science Robotics (DEN408) No. of responses = 13 (9.92%)

Queen Mary

Legend Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie Question text	Left pole	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of respo av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention	
	Scale	Histogram		Quality index	
Description of quality symbol Rean value quality gui		Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I Ma	ean value is within the Jality guideline.	
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	🚺 md	=3.82
^{1.2)} The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	4 5 3 0 33.3% 41.7% 25% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	🖌 md	=4.08
^{1.3)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 6 1 2 25% 50% 8.3% 16.7% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	📑 md	=3.83
 ^{1.4)} The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance 	Definitely agree	4 5 1 2 33.3% 41.7% 8.3% 16.7% 5 4 3 2	0% 1	🚺 md	=3.92
^{1.5)} Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	🚺 md	=3.64
^{1.6)} I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	2 3 3 3 16.7% 25% 25% 25% 5 4 3 2	1 1	📑 md	=3.17
^{1.7)} The library resources (e.g. books, online service have supported my learning well	S) Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 9.1%	🚺 md	=3.27
^{1.8)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	30% $50%$ $10%$ $10%54$ 32	0% 0%	Definitely disagree n= av. md dev	=4
^{1.9)} Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported r learning well	Definitely agree	10% 50% 20% 20% 10% 50% 20% 20% 5 4 3 2	0%	🚺 md	=3.5

02.01.2020



