School of Politics and International Relations

Case Studies in EU Policy Making (POLM072)
No. of responses = 13 (65%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Evaluation and Delivery in Public Policy (POLM025)

No. of responses = 21 (70%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Globalisation and the International Political Economy of Development (Paris) (POLM973)

No. of responses = 6 (42.86%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Globalisation and the International Political Economy of Development (POLM026)

No. of responses = 18 (47.37%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

International Relations of the Middle East (POLM081)

No. of responses = 9 (60%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=9
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=1.01

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=9
av.=4.44
md=5
dev.=1.01

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=9
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=7
av.=3.86
md=4
dev.=1.35

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=7
av.=3
md=3
dev.=1.53

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=6
av.=4
md=4.5
dev.=1.26

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=9
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.67

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=9
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=8
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.76

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

International Security: War and Peace in a Global Context (POLM027)

No. of responses = 27 (71.05%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	14 56%	7 28%	2 8%	1 4%	1 4%	n=25 av.=4.28 md=5 dev.=1.06
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	12 46.2%	10 38.5%	2 7.7%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	n=26 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=1.02
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	15 60%	8 32%	1 4%	1 4%	0 0%	n=25 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.77
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	7 30.4%	8 34.8%	4 17.4%	2 8.7%	2 8.7%	n=23 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.26
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	13 52%	5 20%	2 8%	3 12%	2 8%	n=25 av.=3.96 md=5 dev.=1.37
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	11 44%	7 28%	4 16%	2 8%	1 4%	n=25 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	8 34.8%	6 26.1%	6 26.1%	2 8.7%	1 4.3%	n=23 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.17
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	11 42.3%	9 34.6%	5 19.2%	0 0%	1 3.8%	n=26 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.99
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	6 26.1%	7 30.4%	8 34.8%	1 4.3%	1 4.3%	n=23 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.06

Definitely agree / Definitely disagree

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Introduction to Social Science 2: Quantitative Methods and Data (POLM083)

No. of responses = 10 (50%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Public Management and Governance (POLM093)

No. of responses = 13 (86.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=12
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.74

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=13
av.=4.15
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=13
av.=3.77
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=12
av.=4.17
md=4
dev.=0.72

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=12
av.=3.5
md=3.5
dev.=0.8

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=13
av.=3.38
md=3
dev.=0.77

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=12
av.=4.08
md=4.5
dev.=1.24

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=12
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=11
av.=3.82
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Vocational Placement (Paris) (POLM978)

No. of responses = 7 (50%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=6
av.=4.33
md=4
dev.=0.52

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=7
av.=4.71
md=5
dev.=0.49

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=6
av.=4.33
md=4
dev.=0.52

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=7
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.82

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=5
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.84

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=6
av.=4.33
md=4.5
dev.=0.82

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=5
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.84

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=6
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module