School of Politics and International Relations

Background to British Politics (POL108)

No. of responses = 58 (55.24%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=56
av.=4.54
md=5
dev.=0.63

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=53
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.74

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=53
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.67

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=55
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=51
av.=4.57
md=5
dev.=0.7

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=54
av.=4.35
md=5
dev.=0.87

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=54
av.=3.93
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=50
av.=4.34
md=5
dev.=0.8

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=51
av.=3.96
md=4
dev.=0.89

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Doing International Politics (1): Theories and Actors (POLP101)

No. of responses = 6 (66.67%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Global Histories (POL109)
No. of responses = 116 (41.88%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=111
av.=4.37
md=4
dev.=0.74

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=110
av.=4.34
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=108
av.=4.26
md=4
dev.=0.81

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=103
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=102
av.=3.53
md=3
dev.=0.96

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=106
av.=3.52
md=3
dev.=0.86

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=107
av.=3.83
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=109
av.=4.39
md=5
dev.=0.78

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=112
av.=3.88
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Introduction to International Politics (POLP106)

No. of responses = 18 (78.26%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Introduction to International Relations (POL106)

No. of responses = 222 (57.66%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=214
av.=4.07
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=212
av.=4.23
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=208
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=0.81

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=205
av.=3.65
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=205
av.=3.58
md=4
dev.=1.15

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=205
av.=3.61
md=4
dev.=1.05

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=211
av.=3.82
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=208
av.=4.24
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=207
av.=3.63
md=4
dev.=0.91

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Political Analysis (POL105)
No. of responses = 165 (52.88%)

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	36 (23.2%)	66 (42.6%)	35 (22.6%)	17 (11%)	1 (0.6%)	Definitely disagree	n=155	av.=3.77	md=4 dev.=0.95
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	30 (19.9%)	63 (41.7%)	42 (27.8%)	12 (7.9%)	4 (2.6%)	Definitely disagree	n=151	av.=3.68	md=4 dev.=0.97
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	32 (21.5%)	64 (43%)	39 (26.2%)	13 (8.7%)	1 (0.7%)	Definitely disagree	n=149	av.=3.76	md=4 dev.=0.91
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	40 (26.7%)	50 (33.3%)	46 (30.7%)	13 (8.7%)	1 (0.7%)	Definitely disagree	n=150	av.=3.77	md=4 dev.=0.97
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	31 (20.8%)	59 (39.6%)	36 (24.2%)	15 (10.1%)	8 (5.4%)	Definitely disagree	n=149	av.=3.6	md=4 dev.=1.09
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	42 (27.1%)	61 (39.4%)	35 (22.6%)	13 (8.4%)	4 (2.6%)	Definitely disagree	n=155	av.=3.8	md=4 dev.=1.02
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	46 (31.1%)	64 (43.2%)	29 (19.6%)	9 (6.1%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=148	av.=3.99	md=4 dev.=0.87
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	51 (34.5%)	65 (43.9%)	32 (21.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=148	av.=4.13	md=4 dev.=0.74
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	32 (22.4%)	52 (36.4%)	48 (33.6%)	10 (7%)	1 (0.7%)	Definitely disagree	n=143	av.=3.73	md=4 dev.=0.91

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Thinking Politically: Introduction to Concepts, Theories and Ideologies (POL110)

No. of responses = 237 (54.73%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=224
av.=4.29
md=4
dev.=0.74

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=218
av.=4.21
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=221
av.=4.12
md=4
dev.=0.78

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=214
av.=3.68
md=4
dev.=1

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=212
av.=3.92
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=209
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.93

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=214
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.91

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=214
av.=4.25
md=4
dev.=0.79

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=218
av.=3.79
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module