


Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


Comparative European Politics II: Issues and Performance (POL266)

No. of responses = 12 (54.55%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Doing International Politics (2) Methods - Giving an Account of International Actors


(POLP201)

No. of responses = 5 (71.43%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Politics and International Relations

European Integration (POL262)
No. of responses = 36 (73.47%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


International Relations Theory (POL251)

No. of responses = 98 (47.57%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


Modern Political Thought 2 (POL264)

No. of responses = 26 (49.06%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well




1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


Political Research (POL252)

No. of responses = 25 (46.3%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


Power in Global Governance (POL261)

No. of responses = 44 (50.57%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations


Researching Everyday Politics (POL267)

No. of responses = 113 (42.32%)






Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol




1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well




1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Politics and International Relations

War in World Politics (POL256)
No. of responses = 58 (39.19%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating




1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance




1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines




1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work




1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

