School of Politics and International Relations

American Politics, Carceral State and Social Movements (POL398)
No. of responses = 29 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Doing International Politics (POL315)

No. of responses = 36 (73.47%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Gender and Politics (POL361)
No. of responses = 37 (48.05%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Global Politics of Health and Disease (POL317)

No. of responses = 95 (97.94%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Justice in the Global City (POL393)
No. of responses = 46 (88.46%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=35
av.=4.37
md=5
dev.=0.77

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=34
av.=4.41
md=5
dev.=0.82

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=37
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=37
av.=3.62
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=34
av.=4.29
md=5
dev.=0.94

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=40
av.=3.93
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=38
av.=3.68
md=4
dev.=1.12

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=35
av.=4.14
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=37
av.=3.89
md=4
dev.=0.91

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Latin American Politics (POL369)
No. of responses = 59 (100%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	13 40.6%	13 40.6%	3 9.4%	3 9.4%	0 0%	n=32 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	15 45.5%	12 36.4%	5 15.2%	1 3%	0 0%	n=33 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.83
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	4 13.3%	12 40%	10 33.3%	2 6.7%	2 6.7%	n=30 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.04
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	10 32.3%	7 22.6%	11 35.5%	3 9.7%	0 0%	n=31 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.02
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	5 16.1%	4 12.9%	22 71%	0 0%	0 0%	n=31 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=0.77
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	4 13.8%	3 10.3%	20 69%	2 6.9%	0 0%	n=29 av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=0.81
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	2 6.5%	13 41.9%	5 16.1%	8 25.8%	3 9.7%	n=31 av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.16
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	8 23.5%	11 32.4%	7 20.6%	7 20.6%	1 2.9%	n=34 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.16
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	6 20%	8 26.7%	12 40%	4 13.3%	0 0%	n=30 av.=3.53 md=3 dev.=0.97

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Nationalism and Ethnicity in International Relations (POL371)

No. of responses = 38 (47.5%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=35
av.=3.8
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



n=35
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.83

1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=29
av.=3.52
md=4
dev.=1.06

1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



n=32
av.=3.5
md=3.5
dev.=0.98

1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



n=32
av.=2.88
md=3
dev.=1.29

1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



n=29
av.=2.97
md=3
dev.=1.02

1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



n=36
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



n=33
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=0.77

1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



n=32
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=0.84

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Politics of South Asia: Independent Research (POL320)

No. of responses = 10 (76.92%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Populism in 21st-century Europe (POL313)

No. of responses = 9 (69.23%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Race and Racism in World Politics: Independent Research (POL390)

No. of responses = 12 (75%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The module is intellectually stimulating



1.3) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.4) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance



1.5) Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines



1.6) I have received helpful comments on my work



1.7) The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well



1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.9) Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Radical Politics Today - Independent Study (POL316)

No. of responses = 5 (83.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=4 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.5
1.2)	The module is intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=3 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.58
1.3)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=3 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
1.4)	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance	Definitely agree	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
1.5)	Feedback on my work has been returned in accordance with the stated deadlines	Definitely agree	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=4 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.5
1.6)	I have received helpful comments on my work	Definitely agree	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=4 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
1.7)	The library resources (e.g. books, online services) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=5 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.55
1.8)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=5 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.45
1.9)	Module-specific resources (e.g. equipment, facilities, software, collections) have supported my learning well	Definitely agree	2 (66.7%)	0 (0%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	Definitely disagree	n=3 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1.15

1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Politics and International Relations

Utopia and Dystopia: Political, Economic and Literary Dreamworlds (POL380)

No. of responses = 35 (79.55%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



1.10) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module