Senate: 13.06.24 Paper Code: SE2023.58



## Senate

Paper Title	Minutes of the last meeting of Senate held on 14 March 2024		
Outcome requested	Senate is asked to <b>approve</b> the minutes of the last meeting.		
Points for Senate members to note and further information	n/a		
Questions for Senate to consider	n/a		
Regulatory/statutory reference points	n/a		
Strategy and risk	n/a		
Reporting/ consideration route for the paper	Senate to approve.		
Authors	Jane Pallant, Director of Governance and Legal Services		
Sponsor	Professor Colin Bailey, President and Principal		



#### Senate

### Minutes of 14 March 2024

P	rese	n	t	٠

Prof Colin Bailey (Chair)
Dr Georgia Black
Prof Liam Campling
Dr Giorgio Chianello
Dr Giulia De Falco
Dr Pedro Elston
Prof Richard Grose
Dr Philippa Lloyd
Dr Ali Nankali
Prof Richard Pickersgill
Dr Simon Rawlinson
Dr Yannick Wurm

Amaan Abbas
Prof Frances Bowen
Dr Livia A Carvalho
Prof Martin Coward
Prof Panos Deloukas
Elizabeth Gillow
Prof Michael Harker
Prof Scott McCracken
Prof Mike Noon
Dr Sharri Plonski
Prof Elizabeth Tanner

Serena-Amani Al Jabbar Prof Christopher Bray Prof Sir Mark Caulfield Prof Kavita Datta Dr Jayne Dennis Prof Emma Griffin Prof Steffi Krause Prof Wayne Morrison Dr Anthony Phillips Aisha Qadi Prof Galin Tihanoy

#### In attendance:

Prof Janet De Wilde Prof Yang Hao Prof Stefan Krummaker Prof Nick Vriend Haylee Fuller Danny Hassell Jonathan Morgan Prof Tim Warner Liz Grand Michael Jannetta Jane Pallant Kaya Wiles (Secretary)

Prof Adrian Bevan

#### Apologies:

Prof Amrita Ahluwalia
Dr Erik Blair
Dr Dianne Cooper
Dr Paula Fonseca
Prof Boris Khoruzhenko
Prof Nicholas Lemoine
Prof Arunthathi Mahendran
Prof Rachael Mulheron KC
(Hon)
Jovani Palnoni
Prof Hazel Screen
Prof Christopher Tredwin

Dr Oscar Bandtlow Prof Rob Briner Dr Joseph Cronin Dr Abimbola Giwa Prof Martin Knight Prof Andrew Livingston Prof Stephanie Marshall Dr Émilie Oléron Evans

Prof Mary Collins
Dr Lei Fang
Prof Neve Gordon
Prof Ioannis Kokkoris
Dr Michaela MacDonald
Prof John Marshall
Dr Michael Page

Prof Thomas Prellberg
Dr James Strong
Prof Steve Uhlig
Prof Anthony Warrens

Prof Kathryn Richardson Prof Jason Sturgess Prof Fiona Walter

## Part 1: Preliminary items

Prof Wen Wang

## Welcome and apologies

The Chair welcomed members to the meeting and **noted** the apologies

received.

### Minutes of the last meeting on 14 December 2023

(SE2023.37)

2023.107 Senate **confirmed** the minutes of the meeting held on 14 December 2023.

Matters arising (SE2023.38)

Senate **noted** that Professor Stefan Krummaker, Deputy Vice-Principal (Education), had provided further information on Studiosity at the Directors of Education Forum and Programme Director Forum, as requested at the last Senate meeting (minute 2023.073). All Schools/Institutes had been offered the opportunity to hold deeper discussions at their level on the provision offered by Studiosity.

Senate **noted** that Simon Hayter, Head of Academic Quality and Standards, had provided Heads of Schools and Directors of Institutes with a breakdown of the Suspension of Regulations Annual Summary Report with details of the number of requests from their School/Institute, as requested at the last Senate meeting (minute 2023.082).

Senate **noted** that the Masters in Education (MEd) award had been endorsed by Senate, for addition to the Ordinances by Council. The MEd Academic Practice programme was under development and further details would be circulated to Senate when available, as discussed at the last Senate meeting (minute 2023.087).

## President and Principal's report

(SE2023.39)

- 2023.111 Senate **received** the President and Principal's report. The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Migration Advisory Committee (MAC) had been commissioned to review the Graduate visa route within a two-month timeframe. The Graduate route was an unsponsored route for international students who wished to remain in the UK for two years (three years for those graduating with a PhD) after graduation.
  - (ii) Applications for September 2025 were below target for this point in the admissions cycle, with postgraduate taught overseas applications a particular concern. Converting applications into acceptances was of particular importance.
  - (iii) The Transparent Approach to Costing (TRAC) data indicated that the University's cost recovery for teaching home undergraduate students had fallen to 86.3% in 2022–23, down from 95.7% in 2021–22. The strategic importance of teaching and assessing in an efficient manner was highlighted to members; it was noted that the implementation of the Principles of Programme Design would need to reflect these aims in order to ensure that the University remained financially stable while delivering world-class education.

## Vice-Principals' reports

(SE2023.40a-f)

- 2023.112 Senate **received** a report from the Vice-Principal (Health) (SE2023.40a). The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Faculty's full-year cash generation was forecast to be £3.3m higher than budget. This was predominantly due to higher tuition

- fee income, research overhead income and the reduction in pension contribution rates.
- (ii) The Faculty had achieved a number of KPIs within the indicative Gold and Silver Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF) range.
- (iii) The Garrod Building had been officially opened in February 2024. The launch events to mark the opening had been a great success.
- 2023.113 Senate **received** a report from the Vice-Principal (Humanities and Social Sciences) (SE2023.40b). The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Faculty had received several notable research grants. These included:
    - a. Professor Eric Heinz, Professor of Law and Humanities, for the Horizon Europe Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) Fellowship valued at £186,500;
    - b. Professor Aoife Monks, Reader in Drama, Theatre, and Performance Studies, for an Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) grant of £270,000 titled 'CREEATIF' (a collaboration with the Digital Environment Research Institute (DERI), led by Professor David Leslie, Professor of Ethics, Technology and Society); and
    - c. Dr Rob Waters, Senior Lecturer in Modern British History, who was also awarded an Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) grant valued at £165,753 for a project 'The Rise of the Border: A New History of Immigration, Race and Citizenship in Britain'.
  - (ii) The Faculty had held an open meeting to discuss the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Change Programme. The programme would aim to enhance coherence and consistency in delivery, regroup and regenerate the humanities, and deliver premium Postgraduate Taught (PGT) programmes at scale.
- 2023.114 Senate **received** a report from Deputy Vice-Principal (Science and Engineering) (SE2023.40c) on behalf of the Faculty Vice-Principal. The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Faculty had held the Night of Science and Engineering event in February 2024. The event had been successful and well attended. Thanks were expressed to those who contributed to the event.
  - (ii) The Faculty's submission to the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)'s large grant scheme on 'Accelerating the Medicines Revolutions' had been successful. The £1.74m grant on Organ-Chip technologies led by Professor Martin Knight, Faculty Dean for Research, and Professor Hazel Screen, Head of the School of Engineering and Materials Science, would further advance the Faculty's global leading research in bioengineering and help to shape the next generation of medicines.

- 2023.115 Senate **received** a report from the Vice-Principal (Education) (SE2023.40d). The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Festival of Education had taken place between 6–7 March 2024. The event explored the Active Curriculum for Excellence. Thanks were expressed to those who had contributed to the event.
  - (ii) Work to embed Mixed-Mode Education (MME) was underway, with pedagogic benefits at the centre of all discussions.
- 2023.116 Senate **received** a report from the Vice-Principal (Policy and Strategic Partnerships) (SE2023.40e). The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The shortlist for the 2024 London Higher awards had been announced and Queen Mary was pleased to have been shortlisted in five of the categories. Congratulations were expressed to those who had submitted the shortlisted proposals.
- Senate **received** a report from the Dean for Postgraduate Research and Director of the Doctoral College on behalf of the Vice-Principal (Research and Innovation) (SE2023.40f). The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) The Research Culture team had met with 13 Heads of Schools/Directors of Institutes to discuss research culture.
  - (ii) The first Enhancing Research and Innovation Cultures funding call was concluded in January 2024 and awarded approximately £210k to nineteen successful projects from across the faculties and several professional service departments.
  - (iii) The Research and Innovation Awards would be held on 02 May 2024.

## Students' Union President's report

(SE2023.41)

- 2023.118 Senate **received** the Students' Union President's report. The following points were **noted**:
  - (i) QMSU had concluded their Spring Elections. There had been a higher voting turnout, which aligned with QMSU strategy around engaging with students and the student voice. Results were available on the QMSU website.
  - (ii) Jovani Palnoni, QMSU Vice-President (Humanities and Social Sciences) had been progressing projects around accessibility. Jovani Palnoni had worked with colleagues within QMSU and Estates and Facilities (EAF) to replace doors in the Geography Building, which had been highlighted as an accessibility concern due to their weight and the difficulty that some people had experienced when opening them.
  - (iii) Aisha Qadi, QMSU Vice-President (Science and Engineering), was working on employability initiatives through the Employability Curriculum Group.

- (iv) Amaan Abbas, QMSU Vice-President (Barts and The London), had been working with colleagues across Queen Mary and within QMSU on the Garrod Building renovations. The completed building, including The Griff and the Old Library, was positively impacting the student experience.
- (v) QMSU acknowledged the impact of the current political climate on student wellbeing and explained that they were working hard to support students.

### **Part 2: Matters for Discussion**

## Queen Mary Academy overview

**Presentation** 

- Senate **received** a presentation on the work of the Queen Mary Academy (QMA) and **noted** that their work aligned with Strategy 2030. QMA provided career-wide development for staff, including supporting staff with gaining qualifications, recognition, and fellowships.
- Senate **noted** that QMA was enhancing education practice through taught programmes, a teaching programme for Postgraduate Research students who teach and inclusive practice workshops. 170 members of staff had undertaken either the Certificate in Learning and Teaching or the Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice.
- Senate **noted** that curriculum design was being supported through Queen Mary-specific resources around programme design and by delivering general and bespoke workshops. There was further work to embed the Principles of Programme Design, Queen Mary Graduate Attributes, and sustainability in the curriculum.
- Senate **noted** that QMA had delivered bespoke workshops around assessment and feedback, including on mapping and reviewing assessment and feedback, effective summative feedback and inclusive assessment and feedback.
- Senate **noted** the work around student engagement, including on active learning, Learner Engagement Analytics (LEA) support and supporting peerled team learning. Each initiative had its own evidence base. It was hoped that the impact of the initiatives would begin to be reflected in markers of student satisfaction, such as module evaluation responses and the National Student Survey (NSS). Well-placed engagement markers would also allow staff members to quickly identify students at risk of not progressing.
- Senate **noted** that QMA had introduced role-based leadership programmes for Heads of Schools/Directors of Institutes, Directors of Education, Programme Directors and Module Organisers. Programmes for Deans and Deputy Deans, Directors of Research and Principal Investigators were under consultation.
- Senate **noted** the initiatives on educational scholarships. Scholarship workshops and online resources were available to continue building a shared understanding of the opportunities and how to successfully apply for a fellowship.

- Senate **noted** that QMA had introduced Associate/Fellowship Accelerator workshops to help Queen Mary keep pace with other institutions and their number of Advance HE Associate Fellows and Fellows. QMA was now accredited to award Principal Fellowships, with one colleague in each Faculty having achieved the recognition. QMA would continue to support National Teaching Fellowships, in the hope of increasing the number of Queen Mary recipients.
- 2023.127 Senate **noted** the support offered to Postdoctoral staff. The Doctoral College led on the development opportunities available to PhD students, with these often being tailored to the student's specific career aspirations.

## Freedom of Speech compliance

Presentation

- 2023.128 Senate **received** a presentation on Freedom of Speech compliance.
- Senate **noted** that the Queen Mary Code of Practice on Free Speech had been approved by Council and published. Internal discussions were being held on the implementation of the Code and the implications of the proposed Office for Students Complaints Scheme. A group had also been established to review events procedures for external speakers.
- Senate **noted** that the University had responded to the Office for Students' (OfS) consultation on the complaints scheme. Further consideration would be given once the finalised proposals were published. Under the current proposals from the OfS, complainants would be able to refer their complaint to the OfS within 30 days, regardless of whether the internal process had concluded.
- Senate **noted** that key principles relating to freedom of speech and academic freedom would be included in the training around free speech. Members requested that some practical examples of implementing the Code would be included, however it was likely that there may be more of these available once the OfS complaints scheme had launched.

# Update on the internal governance review

**Presentation** 

- 2023.132 Senate **received** a presentation on the internal governance review.
- Senate **noted** that internal governance was one of the projects being progressed under the Professional Services Enabling Plan. The review aimed to ensure that governance was aligned with the delivery of Strategy 2030, the external regulatory environment, and changes to the University's ways of working.
- Senate **noted** that the review would consider governance effectiveness, governance infrastructure, and talent and culture.
- Senate **noted** the timeline for the review. The review was currently in the first phase where the focus was on data gathering, stakeholder engagement and analysis. Members had been invited to complete an effectiveness survey in relation to Senate and to attend workshops to share their perspectives and

inform recommendations. Proposals would be shared with Senate in June 2024.

## **Annual Report on Student Casework (2022-23)**

(SE2023.42)

- 2023.136 Senate **received** the Annual Report on Student Casework for 2022–23.
- Senate **noted** that the report included academic misconduct allegations that had been referred to the Appeals, Complaints and Conduct Office (ACCO) and those considered locally at School/Institute level. This comprehensive data allowed the team to continue tracking trends and monitor the impact of the changes in order to inform the revised Academic Misconduct Policy for 2024-25.
- Senate **noted** that the average number of days taken between the assessment submission date and referral to ACCO had been 99 days and that ACCO would continue to support Schools and Institutes with timely referrals. Once all documentation had been submitted to ACCO, cases had been concluded in an average of 43 days. This timeframe was a significant improvement on previous years. ACCO was hoping to further improve timeframes by addressing the length of time taken to schedule full panels, as these significantly increased the duration of the case handling time.
- Senate **noted** that the number of academic appeals continued to rise, as did the number of requests for a final review that students submitted following an unsuccessful appeal. ACCO would continue to consider ways to streamline the processes for handling appeals.
- Senate **noted** that the number of complaints and disciplinary misconduct allegations were relatively small, but that cases tended to be complex. The newly recruited Conduct and Complaint Officers had successfully delivered improvements in the response time for Report and Support referrals, complaints, and disciplinary matters. These staff would also be providing training and support to Schools/institutes effective responses to different types of complaint.
- Senate **noted** that there may be correlation between student engagement and some areas of casework. It was hoped that schools and institutes would consider the data from the report.

## **Approval of Academic Misconduct Chairs**

(SE2023.43)

- 2023.142 Senate **considered** the request to appoint nine Academic Misconduct Deputy Chairs. The nominations had been recommended by the Deans for Education in the respective Faculties.
- 2023.143 Senate **approved** the appointment of the following Academic Misconduct Deputy Chairs:
  - Professor Nelarine Cornelius, Professor of Organisation Studies; Associate Dean, People, Culture and Inclusion (SBM),
  - Professor Martin Laffin, Professor of Public Management (SBM),
  - Dr Antigoni Lykotrafiti, Senior Lecturer in Transport, Energy and the Law (CCLS),

- Professor Tessa Wright, Professor of Employment Relations (SBM) from 2024/25
- Professor Mark Williams, Professor of Human Resource Management (SBM) - from 2024/25
- Dr Dunja Aksentijvic, Reader in Cardiovascular Physiology and Metabolism and Director of Education (WHRI),
- Dr Sadani Cooray, FMD Deputy Dean (Undergraduate Studies), Reader in Pharmacology Education, and Director of Education (Undergraduate) (WHRI)
- Dr Jo Elliot, Reader in Learning Design (Digital Education Studio),
- Dr Lesley Robson, Reader / Head of Year 1 and 2 (IHSE).

Pay Gap Report Presentation

- Senate **received** a presentation on the Pay Gap Report 2024 and **noted** the statutory requirement to publish gender pay gap data. Queen Mary additionally reported on ethnicity and intersectionality (gender and ethnicity). The 2024 report would also be the first time that QM had published data on disability pay gaps.
- Senate **noted** that the People, Culture and Inclusion Plan had been created with the purpose of closing Queen Mary's pay gaps. The enabling plan aligned with KPI 3 which set the target of having 50% representation of women and 40% representation of Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) staff at junior, middle and senior grades.
- Senate **noted** the change in methodology for this year's report. The combined hourly rate had been calculated for staff who held multiple contracts.
- Senate **noted** that both the median and mean gender pay gap had continued to fall since 2020. Queen Mary had a lower median and mean gender pay gap that the national average for Russell Group institutions.
- Senate **noted** that the ethnicity pay gap had reduced since 2022; from a mean of 20.2% in 2022 to 19.5% in 2023. A significant difference was seen when considering the data which did not include student staff. With student staff removed, there was an increase in the median ethnicity pay gap. It was understood that this was due to there being more multiple-post holders from White backgrounds. Queen Mary's ethnicity pay gaps were higher than Russel Group benchmarks. It was noted that providing ethnicity pay gap data was voluntary and approximately 60% of UCEA member universities provided theirs. Additionally, the benchmarking did not provide a mechanism for measuring against institutions that similarly in-sourced cleaning, security and residential services. Despite the higher pay gap, Queen Mary had a higher representation of BAME staff in all the quartiles. For example, 24% of Queen Mary's upper quartile were staff from BAME backgrounds, compared to the London and South-East Russel Group benchmark of 14%.
- Senate **noted** that the full report would provide a breakdown of ethnicity, including for the intersectional pay gap data. The data presented indicated higher pay gaps for BAME women than for BAME men. The mean pay gaps had slightly reduced, but median gaps had increased.

- Senate **noted** that disability pay gaps were noticeably smaller than the gender and ethnicity pay gaps. It was noted that Queen Mary had a disclosure rate of approximately 5%, whereas national data indicated that 23% of working age adults were disabled. The low disclosure rate, which was seen across the sector, could therefore be impacting the data. The EDI team would be undertaking a disclosure campaign to encourage staff to share this information with the University.
- Senate **noted** that national Clinical Impact Awards significantly impacted the bonus pay gaps. The mean gender bonus gap, when including Clinical Impact Awards, was 52.4% compared with 9.6% when excluding the awards. Similarly, the ethnicity bonus gap was 22.5% when including the Clinical Impact Awards compared with 4.4%. Bonuses were offered to a small number of staff and so the figures tend to fluctuate. The median bonus gap remained 0.0% since 2020 as all recipients received the same bonus amount.
- 2023.152 Senate **noted** the importance of representation, particularly for Queen Mary's diverse student population. The full report would outline the actions and measurable initiatives that were being taken to improve diversity.
- Senate **noted** that it would be beneficial to see data on job applications and how this might correlate with employee data. This information was already captured and analysed for academic promotions. Colleagues across the institution were working hard to proactively encourage staff to apply, particularly those who were underrepresented at more senior levels.
- Senate **noted** that in some areas of the University, a low hiring rate would make it difficult to meet EDI targets, however it was pleasing to see that the University was moving in the right direction.

### EDI Annual Report Presentation

- Senate **received** a presentation on the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) annual report. Members **noted** that the final report would highlight key areas of work across the five portfolios. Each of the portfolios had an associated action group; the Disability Inclusion Action Group, Gender Equality Action Group, LGBTQIA+ Inclusion, Race Equality Action Group, and the Preventing and Addressing Harassment and Sexual Misconduct Working Group.
- 2023.156 Senate **noted** that the Disability Inclusion Action Group had formed in 2023 and was working to develop a Disability Strategy Statement.
- Senate **noted** that during 2022-23, the School of Economics and Finance and the School of Business and Management had achieved Bronze Athena Swan awards. The Gender Equality Action Group was now working towards submitting Athena Swan applications for two Professional Services directorates.
- Senate **noted** that the LGBTQIA+ Inclusion Group had developed an interim LGBTQIA+ Inclusion strategy that had been endorsed by the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Steering Group for 2022-23. Key areas of focus included raising awareness and supporting the LGBTQIA+ Staff Network (QMOut).

2023.159 Senate **noted** that the Preventing and Addressing Harassment and Sexual Misconduct Working Group had worked to implement a comprehensive suite of training on preventing bullying, harassment, and misconduct.

Senate **noted** that the Race Equality Action Group was working towards the University's first Race Equality Charter (REC) application. Key projects included launching the first REC Student Survey and surveying staff with a REC Pulse Survey.

Senate **noted** the work that had been done to recognise citizenship and develop staff networks. This included developing Terms of Reference for networks and creating Network Co-Chair role descriptions. Promotion of the networks had resulted in an increase in membership across all five networks.

Senate **noted** the work being done to build a comprehensive, evidence-based development programme for staff. Training on responding to disclosures and bystander training had been added to the programme. Modules on implementing reasonable adjustments and leading equality analysis were underway.

Senate **noted** that the full report would be published in April 2024.

# Approval of membership and terms of reference for sub-boards of Senate for 2023-2024 – Ethics of Research Committee

2023.164 Senate **noted** comments raised around representation on the Research Ethics Review Panels.

2023.165 Senate **approved** the Ethics of Research Committee Terms of Reference and Membership, subject to further review of representation at the next Senate meeting.

### **Part 3: Matters for Report**

## **Taught Programmes Board**

(SE2023.45)

(SE2023.44)

2023.166 Senate **noted** proposals relating to programme and module developments considered between 29 November 2023 and 26 February 2024.

#### **Education Quality and Standards Board**

(SE2023.46)

2023.167 Senate **noted** the executive summary of business considered by the Education Quality and Standards Board at the meetings on 22 November 2023 and 31 January 2024.

### **Research Degrees Programmes and Examinations Board**

(SE2023.47)

2023.168 Senate **noted** the executive summary of business considered by the Research Degrees Programmes and Examinations Board (RDPEB) from October 2023 to February 2024.

### **Ethics of Research Committee**

(SE2023.48)

2023.169 Senate **noted** the executive summary of business considered by the Research Degrees Programmes and Examinations Board (RDPEB) from October 2023 to February 2024.

2023.170 Senate **noted** the executive summary of business considered by the Ethics of Research Committee at the meeting on 29 November 2023.

## **Partnerships Board**

(SE2023.49)

2023.171 Senate **noted** the executive summary of business considered by the Partnerships Board between 14 November 2023 to 29 January 2024.

### **Indicative Annual Business Plan**

(SE2023.50)

Senate **noted** the indicative annual business plan for 2023-24 and the items provisionally on the agenda for the next meeting.

### Part 4: Other matters

## **Dates of future meetings**

2023.173 Senate **noted** the upcoming Senate meetings for 2023–24:

• Thursday 13th June 2024