# **Quarkonia Production at Hadron Colliders**









- Physics Motivation
- Experimental Details
- J/ $\Psi$  Production
- Production of J/ $\Psi$  Pairs
- Double Parton Scattering
- $\Upsilon(nS)$  Production
- Conclusions



Eram Rizvi



QCD@LHC Workshop - DESY - Hamburg 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2013

### **Quarkonium States**







- complex system of states
- provides interesting laboratory for QCD
- production rates influenced by feed-down



QCD@LHC - Hamburg - 2013

 $\chi_{_{\rm C2}}$  (2P)

#### Introduction



In QCD quarkonia production is a multi-scale problem perturbative expansions possible at high momenta

- non-perturbative effects dominate at low momenta
- $\Rightarrow$  test interface of perturbative and non-perturbative QCD
- $\Rightarrow$  test models of confinement
- $\Rightarrow$  confront lattice QCD calculations to data
- $\Rightarrow$  probe deconfined matter in quark-gluon plasma

#### Scales:

quarkonia are non-relativistic bound states  $v/c \sim 0.3 - 0.1$ 

several associated energy scales:

- partonic mass, m
- partonic momenta, p ~ mv
- hadronic mass ~ binding energy ~  $mv^2$

production & decay occur at scale m binding occurs at scales ~ mv QCD Lamb shift occurs at scales ~ mv<sup>2</sup>



Polarisation measurements  $\rightarrow$  Pietro Faccioli's talk Deconfined matter  $\rightarrow$  Ionut Arsene's talk



Quarkonia probe production mechanisms many flavours of models:

non-relativistic QCD Colour Singlet Colour Octet Colour Evaporation Model

No model is able to describe all details: rates, polarisations...

⇒ QCD formulated as a hierarchy of effective field theories high energy scales integrated out and matched Factorisation:

qqbar production  $\Leftrightarrow$  non perturbative evolution into quarkonium

<u>Colour Singlet Model</u> meson forms if quarks produced in same ang. mom. state as meson described  $e^+e^-$  data well failed to describe high  $p_T$  TeVatron data but NLO / NNLO\* improves description

<u>Colour Octet Model</u>

qqbar produced in colour octet state singlet state evolves from non-perturbative soft gluon emission yields harder pT spectrum & larger production cross section than CSM

**Colour Evaporation Model** 

probability to produce quarkonium state independent of quark colour / spin production cross section is a fraction of the qqbar production

#### Experiments





**ATLAS** Muons measured: |η|<2.7 & pT > 4 GeV 10 μm impact par. resol<sup>n</sup>  $/\psi$  mass resol<sup>n</sup>  $\sigma$  = 46 MeV for |y|<0.75





#### Muons measured: $2.5 < \eta < 4 \& p_T > 0 GeV$ $J/\psi$ mass resol<sup>n</sup> $\sigma$ = 72 MeV

Eram Rizvi

QCD@LHC - Hamburg - 2013

ALICE also has results for electrons |y| < 0.9





- $\bullet$  High pileup / inst. lumi makes triggering low  $p_T$  muons very difficult
- Essential for many studies calibration, alignment, efficiencies etc
- $\bullet$  Large samples of J/ $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  now collected
- $\bullet$  Extended range of production measurements to high meson  $p_{\mathsf{T}}$

J/ $\psi$  production measurements  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 2 - 40 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ Y(nS) production:  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 2 - 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Y(nS) polarisation:  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$   $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} / 7 \text{ TeV} / 8 \text{ TeV}$ ALICE:  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 5 / 15 \text{ nb}^{-1} \& 1.35 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ minimum bias trigger only LHCb:  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 18 - 51 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ 

### **J/Ψ - Inclusive Production**



#### Alice: PLB 718 (2012) 295-306 Alice: JHEP 11 (2012) 065

Measure J/ $\psi$  production in pp collisions Inclusive production (prompt+non-prompt)

CO+CS NLO predicts  $p_T$  spectrum well





FONLL model describes b-quark production  $\rightarrow$  decay to b hadrons  $\rightarrow J/\psi$ 

FONLL describes inclusive production well Also described  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence

J/Ψ - Prompt and non-Prompt Production





Eram Rizvi

### J/Ψ - Non-Prompt Production





### **J/Ψ - Non-Prompt Production**



#### LHCb: JHEP 06 (2013) 064

LHCb measures forward high rapidity region

- 2.0 < y < 4.5
- $0 < p_{T,J/\psi} < 50 \text{ GeV}$
- $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$

 $\frac{d \sigma(J/\psi)}{d p_{T}} [nb/(GeV/c])$ 

10

 $10^{-1}$ 

Ε

0

 $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ 

5

10

 $10^{3} = (a)$ 

At higher y observe increased suppression at high  $p_T$ 

FONLL, 2.0 < y < 4.5

15

20

 $p_{_{\rm T}}$  [GeV/c]

non-prompt J/ $\psi$  agrees well with FONLL Large uncertainties on prediction



3

0

nburg - 2013

2

y

# Prompt J/Ψ



10

 $p_{\rm T}$  [GeV/c]









#### Charmonium Production Ψ(2S)





Eram Rizvi

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

New result from ATLAS - prompt and non-prompt production of  $~\psi(2s) \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi\pi$ 

 $\rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 

Prompt production has no significant feed-down: higher mass charmonia decay mostly to  $D\overline{D}$ NLO NRQCD describes prompt production well - perhaps too high at large  $p_T$ FONLL provides reasonable model for non-prompt production -  $p_T$  spectrum too hard

#### Charmonium Production Xc1 & Xc2

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Eram Rizvi

# **Prompt J/Ψ Pair Production**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Pair production of prompt J/ $\psi$ s is process dependent Could distinguish CO and CSM Contributions from double parton scattering may be significant Fiducial selection of J/ $\psi$ s 2.0 < y < 4.5 pT < 10 GeV

CSM works well - higher statistical precision needed

Non-prompt pair production of J/ $\psi$ s could help understand g $\rightarrow$ bb splitting analyses are underway...

### **Prompt J/\Psi + W<sup>±</sup> Production**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Associated production of J/ $\psi$ s with W<sup>±</sup>  $\rightarrow$  First observation from ATLAS at 5.3 $\sigma$ W selects different partonic initial states  $\rightarrow$  different CO / CS contributions Prediction: DD  $\rightarrow$  W + I/III is dominated by CO process  $d\sigma_W \otimes d\sigma_{J/\psi}$ Prediction:  $pp \rightarrow W + J/\psi$  is dominated by CQ process Process is sensitive to double parton scattering: W &  $\frac{1}{\psi}$  produced in separate partonic interactions 3×10<sup>-6</sup> dσ(W+J/ψ)  $pp \rightarrow prompt J/\psi + W : pp \rightarrow W$ 20 Events / bin ₹  $pp \rightarrow prompt J/\psi + W : pp \rightarrow W$ Measur **ATLAS** Preliminary,  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}, \int L dt = 4.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ď ₽<sub>2.5</sub> **ATLAS** Preliminary,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, L dt = 4.6 fb<sup>-</sup> **ATLAS** Preliminary,  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $\int L dt = 4.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ pile-up + W + prompt J/ψ data 0<|y<sub>\_J/ψ</sub>|<2.1, 8.5 < p<sub>τ J/ψ</sub> < 30 GeV 15 Estimated DPS contribution Spin-alignment uncertainty - <sup>1</sup> − 1 0 Spin-alignment uncertainty Estimated DPS contribution COM+CSM prediction BR(J/ψ→μμ) ×  $\frac{1}{\sigma(W)}$ LO CSM prediction 10 NLO COM prediction 1.5 10<sup>-9</sup> 0.5 2.5 15 20 25 10 30 0 1.5 2 3 1 J/w Transverse Momentum [GeV]  $\Delta \phi(W, J/\psi)$ 0.5 10 0 Fiducial Inclusive DPS-subtracted Theories Combined CO+CS prediction underestimates measurement

QCD@LHC - Hamburg - 2013

0.5

0

2.5

2

3

 $\Delta \phi(W, J/\psi)$ 

1.5

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

- New measurements of Y family of mesons
- Expect better theoretical understanding due to large b mass
- Use high stats samples
- Measure production cross sections and polarisation

ATLAS: PRD 87 (2013) 052004 CMS: CMS-PAS-BPH-12-006 LHCb: EPJC (2012) 72:2025 LHCb: JHEP 06 (2013) 064

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Large uncertainties due to trigger
- Good agreement with CMS & LHCb in central / forward regions

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

Eram Rizvi

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Eram Rizvi

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Production cross sections for J/ $\psi$  ,  $\psi(2S)$  ,  $\Upsilon(nS)$  measured at LHC by all experiments
- Kinematic range extended up to  $p_T \sim 70$  GeV and  $y \sim 4.5$
- Good agreement between LHC experiments and TeVatron
- Measurements are mostly systematically limited
- Prompt production well described by NRQCD and the COM
- non-prompt production well described by FONLL

Several interesting measurements underway: DPS sensitivity from J/ $\psi$  associated production with W, Z, J/ $\psi$ 

Polarisation measurements for J/ $\psi$  and Y(nS) discussed in Pietro Faccioli's talk

Quarkonia in PbPb collisions discussed in Ionut Arsene's talk

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ATLAS

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Di-muon candidates / (0.08 GeV) ATLAS Data 50 Muon system  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ L dt = 2.2 pb<sup>-1</sup> - Fit ····· Bkg. component trigger system & precision tracking 40 lyl<0.75 toroidal B-field ~ 0.5T 30 |η|<2.7  $J/\psi$  mass resolution 20 transverse impact parameter resolution  $\sigma = 10 \ \mu m$  $\sigma$  = 46 MeV for |y|<0.75 10 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4 Mass [GeV] Muon Detectors Tile Calorimeter Liquid Argon Calorimeter Inner detector Transition radiation tracker: particle ID, track finding silicon strips: momentum measurement silicon pixels: secondary vertex Solenoidal B-field = 2T|η|<2.5 **Calorimeters** coverage |n|<4.9 photons, missing energy **Triggers**: single & dimuon triggers pT > 4 GeVopposite sign muons from common vertex Toroid Magnets Solenoid Magnet SCT Tracker Pixel Detector TRT Tracker

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Eram Rizvi

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### ALICE

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

# J/Ψ - Non-Prompt Fraction From b

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Atlas: PLB 697 (2011) 294–312 CMS: PRL 107 (2011) 052302 Alice: PRL 109 (2012) 072301

Heavy ion collisions provide QCD testbed for deconfined matter Quark-gluon plasma expected to occur when energy density  $\sim 1 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ 

plasma screens the quark and anti-quark

- $\rightarrow$  suppression of quarkonia production
- $\rightarrow$  mesons melt at temperature T relative to meson binding energy
- $\rightarrow$  ground state J/ $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  are less suppressed than weakly bound excitations

 $\rightarrow$  feed-down from excitations will also affect the ground state production rates

CMS: 
$$\frac{Y(2S+3S)/Y(1S)|_{PbPb}}{Y(2S+3S)/Y(1S)|_{pp}} = 0.31^{+0.19}_{-0.15} \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (syst.)},$$

ALICE measure forward rapidity J/ $\psi$  suppression R<sub>AA</sub> = PbPb rate / pp rate scaled to same  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  and

See talk of Ionut Arsene

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

Eram Rizvi

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Y(nS) Polarisation

<u>یں</u>

Theoretical descriptions of quarkonia polarisation have traditionally been difficult

No model successfully describes production rates and polarisation

Try to understand mechanisms producing polarisation

Detector acceptance corrections can strongly depend on polarisation model chosen  $\rightarrow$  uncertainties in production rate measurements

$$\frac{dN}{d(\cos\vartheta)d\varphi} \propto 1 + \lambda_{\vartheta}\cos^2\vartheta + \lambda_{\vartheta\varphi}\sin^2\vartheta\cos\varphi + \lambda_{\varphi}\sin^2\vartheta\cos\varphi.$$

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  are the polarisation parameters in a given frame

Several different polarisation frames can be defined:

- Centre-of-mass helicity frame HX: z axis in direction of meson
- Collins-Soper frame: CS z axis in direction of relative velocity of incoming partons
- Perpendicular helicity frame: PX z axis ⊥ to Collins-Soper frame z axis

![](_page_31_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_13.jpeg)

transverse:  $\lambda_{\theta} > 0$ 

longitudinal:  $\lambda_{\theta} < 0$ 

Eram Rizvi

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Good agreement between CMS and CDF

Models do not accurately describe the measurements

 $\Upsilon(3S)$  is affected by feed-down from  $\chi_b(3P)$  ...

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

LHCb arXiv:1307.6379 Alice: PLB 108 (2012) 082001

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

- $\bullet$  Prompt J/ $\psi$  polarisation measured in HX & CS frames
- Reasonable agreement between experiments
- $\bullet$  Small polarisation observed for  $\lambda_{\theta}$
- Polarisation consistent with zero for  $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$  and  $\lambda_{\phi}$
- CS cannot describe  $p_T$  dependence
- NRQCD (CO) predicts zero polarisation closest to data

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

CMS arXiv:1307.6070

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

CMS measurement in central rapidity range: |y| < 0.6

kinematically forbidden

• No significant polarisations observed in either CS or PX frames

- Data disagree with NRQCD predictions (not shown)
- Similar measurements performed for  $\Psi(2s)$